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Foreword

The Clinical Practice Guidelines: Pregnancy Care (the Guidelines) continue the process of providing high quality evidence-based guidance to maternity service providers and the consumers of their care. This process started in 2008 with the development of Module I (Clinical Practice Guidelines: Antenatal Care), and its subsequent release in 2012. This was followed by Module II, which was released in 2014.

This edition brings together the two previous modules and contains updates of a number of topics from them, including weight and body mass index (weight monitoring reviewed), fetal growth and wellbeing, risk of preterm birth, risk of pre-eclampsia (risk factors and prediction reviewed), family violence, hyperglycaemia (early testing reviewed), hepatitis C, thyroid dysfunction, vitamin D status and chromosomal anomalies (cell-free DNA testing reviewed). The new topic substance use has also been incorporated.

The Guidelines were developed to help ensure that women in Australia are provided with consistent, high-quality, evidence-based maternity care. The Guidelines are intended for all health professionals who contribute to pregnancy care including midwives, obstetricians, general practitioners, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health workers and allied health professionals.

The next challenge is to facilitate the uptake of the Guidelines and their incorporation into routine care so that the women of Australia receive the highest possible quality of maternity care. To assist with this uptake, the Australian Government Department of Health is funding the development of health professional and consumer summary sheets and the development of an electronic version of the guidelines that has intuitive navigation and is mobile device accessible.

We acknowledge the contributions of the Expert Advisory Committee together with the Secretariat and Project Management provided by the Department of Health and, in particular, the expertise of the methodologist (Philippa Middleton, South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute) and technical writer and expert evidence reviewer (Jenny Ramson, Ampersand Health Science Writing), who prepared this edition.

The recommendations in these Guidelines have been endorsed by the National Health and Medical Research Council as meeting the Council’s standards for the preparation of evidence-based recommendations.

We commend this latest edition of the Pregnancy Guidelines, a process that started in 2008 and is continuing in 2018 with the support of the Department of Health with the preparation of additional chapters and review of previous topics.

We trust that the Guidelines will contribute to greater consistency in pregnancy care and improve the experience and outcomes of pregnancy care for women and their families.

Prof Caroline Homer AO

Prof Jeremy Oats

On behalf of the Expert Advisory Committee
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Summary

Antenatal care is a usual part of pregnancy for most women who give birth in Australia. Women receive antenatal care in community and hospital-based settings and see a range of health professionals. Effective models of antenatal care have a focus on the individual woman’s needs and preferences, collaboration and continuity of care. These national Clinical Practice Guidelines on Pregnancy Care provide evidence-based recommendations to support high quality, safe antenatal care in all settings. This document combines Module I, published in 2012 and Module II, published in 2014. Some chapters were reviewed and updated in 2016-17.

Within the diversity of women that make up the Australian population, some face greater disadvantage, experience difficulties in accessing health services and may experience poorer outcomes. The broader context of a woman’s life should be considered in planning and providing pregnancy care. Taking a woman-centred approach also ensures that a woman’s social, emotional, physical, psychological, spiritual and cultural needs and expectations are considered and respected. Throughout the pregnancy, women should be given information in an appropriate form to support them to make choices about their care.

This document highlights specific approaches to pregnancy care for a range of groups, with a focus on improving the experience of antenatal care for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women, migrant and refugee women and women with severe mental illness.

The topics covered in these Guidelines cover core practices in antenatal care that are relevant to antenatal care for healthy pregnant women (ie those who do not have identified pre-existing conditions or are at higher risk of complications such as in multiple pregnancy). This includes:

• discussing health and wellbeing during pregnancy (eg nutrition, physical activity)
• providing information to support parents to prepare for the rest of pregnancy, childbirth and parenthood
• promoting and supporting breastfeeding
• assessing fetal wellbeing (eg offering an 18-20 week ultrasound scan, discussing fetal movements and assessing fetal growth)
• assessing the health of the woman, in particular factors indicating that additional care may be required (eg for women at increased risk of preterm birth or pre-eclampsia)
• assessing for any condition that may affect the health of the woman or the unborn baby (eg anaemia, diabetes, sexually transmitted infections, mental health disorders)
• providing advice on symptoms that are common during pregnancy (eg reflux and haemorrhoids)
• discussing and offering testing for chromosomal anomalies
• providing opportunities for women to raise any issues they wish to discuss
• providing ongoing support
• enabling consultation and referral when required.

A planned schedule of antenatal visits should be agreed early in pregnancy, based on the individual woman’s needs. Assessment of a woman’s risk and any requirement for additional care continues throughout pregnancy. These Guidelines are not intended as a textbook of antenatal care. A process of prioritisation was used to decide which topics were relevant to the Australian context. While many of these topics involve clinical assessment and maternal health testing, the management of any conditions identified is not generally discussed. Health professionals are directed to appropriate resources or other relevant guidelines where available.

The Guidelines provide a reliable and standard reference for health professionals providing antenatal care. By providing a summary of the currently available evidence on many aspects of antenatal care, they aim to promote consistency of care and improve the experience and outcomes of pregnancy care for all families.
Summary of recommendations and practice points

The recommendations in these Guidelines were developed by the Expert Advisory Committees (EACs) (see Appendices A and B) based on systematic reviews of the available evidence. Sets of systematic reviews were conducted in 2010-2011, 2012-2013, 2016-2017 and 2018-2019. Where sufficient evidence was available, this was graded according to the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Levels of Evidence and Grades for Recommendations for Developers of Guidelines (2009) (see below) for the 2010-2011 and 2012-2013 reviews and using GRADE methods for the 2016-2017 and 2018-2019 reviews. Recommendations were approved by the NHMRC in December 2011, June 2014, October 2017 and April 2019, respectively. Topics prioritised for future review are included in Appendix C and marked as under review in this summary of recommendations.

For all reviews, where evidence was limited or lacking, consensus-based recommendations (CBRs) were developed. Some recommendations and CBRs from other national guidelines have also been included, where these were based on systematic review of the evidence.

For areas beyond the scope of the systematic reviews, practice points (PPs) were developed by the EAC, the Working Group for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Women’s Antenatal Care and/or the Working Group for Migrant and Refugee Women’s Antenatal Care (see Appendices A and B).

The evidence-based recommendations and practice points focus on core practices in antenatal care, lifestyle considerations, and clinical and physical aspects of care. This care is provided following principles that endorse the protection, promotion and support necessary for effective antenatal care as outlined in Chapter 1. These include taking a holistic approach that is woman-centred, culturally sensitive and enables women to participate in informed decision-making at all stages of their care.

Definition of grades of recommendations

**Definition of grades of recommendations (2010-11 and 2012-13 reviews)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade A:</td>
<td>Body of evidence can be trusted to guide practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade B:</td>
<td>Body of evidence can be trusted to guide practice in most situations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade C:</td>
<td>Body of evidence provides some support for recommendation(s) but care should be taken in its application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade D:</td>
<td>Body of evidence is weak and recommendation must be applied with caution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBR:</td>
<td>Recommendation formulated in the absence of quality evidence (where a systematic review of the evidence was conducted as part of the search strategy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP:</td>
<td>Area is beyond the scope of the systematic literature review and advice was developed by the EAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Adapted from NHMRC (2009) Levels of Evidence and Grades for Recommendations for Developers of Guidelines and NHMRC (2011) Procedures and Requirements for Meeting the 2011 NHMRC Standard for Clinical Practice Guidelines.

**Definition of grades of recommendations (2016-17 and 2018-19 reviews)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evidence-based recommendation (EBR)</td>
<td>Body of evidence can be trusted to guide practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualified evidence-based recommendation (QEBR)</td>
<td>Body of evidence can be trusted to guide practice in most situations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>Recommendation formulated in the absence of quality evidence (where a systematic review of the evidence was conducted as part of the search strategy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>Area is beyond the scope of the systematic literature review and advice was developed by the EAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Recommendations and practice points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation/practice point</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Optimising pregnancy care</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy care for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adopt a respectful, positive and supportive approach in providing antenatal care to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women, working in partnership with women, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health professionals and communities. This should be informed by cultural safety training for health professionals.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy care for migrant and refugee women</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The care needs of migrant and refugee women can be complex. The first point of contact (eg first antenatal visit) is important and care should be undertaken with an accredited health interpreter. Wherever possible, antenatal care should involve a multicultural health worker.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health professionals should take the initiative in organising for an accredited health interpreter wherever necessary, and reassure the woman of the benefits if she is reluctant.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy care for women with severe mental health illness</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For women with borderline personality disorder who have often experienced complex trauma, trauma-informed care and specific support for health professionals in dealing with challenging behaviours is a priority.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For women with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder or borderline personality disorder, a multidisciplinary team approach to care in the antenatal period is essential, with clear communication, advance care planning, a written plan, and continuity of care across different clinical settings.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Where possible, health professionals providing care in the antenatal period should access training to improve their understanding of care for women with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and borderline personality disorder.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core practices in antenatal care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal visits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determine the schedule of antenatal visits based on the individual woman’s needs. For a woman’s first pregnancy without complications, a schedule of ten visits should be adequate. For subsequent uncomplicated pregnancies, a schedule of seven visits should be adequate.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early in pregnancy, provide women with information in an appropriate format about the likely number, timing and content of antenatal visits associated with different options of care and the opportunity to discuss this schedule.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparing for pregnancy, childbirth and parenthood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advise parents that antenatal education programs are effective in providing information about pregnancy, childbirth and parenting but do not influence mode of birth.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Recommendations are numbered using Arabic numerals (eg 1, 2, 3), consensus-based recommendations using Roman numerals (eg I, II, III) and practice points using letters (eg A, B, C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation/practice point</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Include psychological preparation for parenthood as part of antenatal care as this has a positive effect on women’s mental health postnatally.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisting parents to find an antenatal education program that is suitable to their learning style, language and literacy level may improve uptake of information.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preparing for breastfeeding</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routinely offer education about breastfeeding as part of antenatal care.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lifestyle considerations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nutrition (under review)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating the recommended number of daily serves of the five food groups and drinking plenty of water is important during pregnancy and breastfeeding.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reassure women that small to moderate amounts of caffeine are unlikely to harm the pregnancy.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For women who are underweight, additional serves of the five food groups may contribute to healthy weight gain.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For women who are overweight or obese, limiting additional serves and avoiding energy-dense foods may limit excessive weight gain. Weight loss diets are not recommended during pregnancy</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nutritional supplements (under review)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inform women that dietary supplementation with folic acid, from 12 weeks before conception and throughout the first 12 weeks of pregnancy, reduces the risk of having a baby with a neural tube defect and recommend a dose of 500 micrograms per day.</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific attention needs to be given to promoting folic acid supplementation to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women of childbearing age and providing information to individual women at the first antenatal visit.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advise women that taking vitamin A, C or E supplements is not of benefit in pregnancy and may cause harm.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advise women who are pregnant to take an iodine supplement of 150 micrograms each day. Women with pre-existing thyroid conditions should seek advice from their medical practitioner before taking a supplement.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not routinely offer iron supplementation to women during pregnancy.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advise women with low dietary iron intake that intermittent supplementation is as effective as daily supplementation in preventing iron-deficiency anaemia, with fewer side effects.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women at high risk of iron deficiency due to limited access to dietary iron may benefit from practical advice on increasing intake of iron-rich foods.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical activity (under review)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advise women that low- to moderate-intensity physical activity during pregnancy is associated with a range of health benefits and is not associated with adverse outcomes.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation/practice point</td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td>Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>At the first antenatal visit:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• assess the woman’s smoking status and exposure to passive smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• give the woman and her partner information about the risks to the unborn baby associated with maternal and passive smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• if the woman smokes, emphasise the benefits of quitting as early as possible in the pregnancy and discuss any concerns she or her family may have about stopping smoking.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Offer women who smoke referral for smoking cessation interventions such as cognitive behavioural therapy.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>At each antenatal visit, offer women who smoke personalised advice on how to stop smoking and provide information about available services to support quitting, including details on when, where and how to access them.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>If, after other options have been explored, a woman expresses a clear wish to use nicotine replacement therapy, discuss the risks and benefits with her.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>If nicotine replacement therapy is used during pregnancy, intermittent-use formulations (gum, lozenge, inhaler and tablet) are preferred to continuous-use formulations (nicotine patches).</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking status should be monitored and smoking cessation advice, encouragement and support offered throughout pregnancy.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health care professionals involved in the care of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women should be aware of the high prevalence of smoking in some communities, and take account of this social norm when discussing smoking and supporting women to quit.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Culturally appropriate smoking cessation services should be offered.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In discussing smoking and supporting Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women to quit smoking, health professionals should draw on the expertise of anti-tobacco workers where available.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol(^1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advise women who are pregnant or planning a pregnancy that not drinking is the safest option as maternal alcohol consumption may adversely affect the developing fetus.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advise women that use of prescription and over-the-counter medicines should be limited to circumstances where the benefit outweighs the risk as few medicines have been established as safe to use in pregnancy.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Therapeutic Goods Administration Category A medicines have been established to be safe in pregnancy.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health professionals should seek advice from a tertiary referral centre for women who have been exposed to Category D or X medicines during pregnancy.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Few herbal preparations have been established as being safe and effective during pregnancy. Herbal medicines should be avoided in the first trimester.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Adapted from NHMRC (2009) *Australian Guidelines to Reduce Health Risks from Drinking Alcohol*. Canberra: National Health and Medical Research Council (currently under review).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VII Early in pregnancy, assess a woman’s use of illicit substances and misuse of pharmaceuticals and provide advice about the associated harms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U Asking about substance use at subsequent visits is important as some women are more likely to report sensitive information only after a trusting relationship has been established.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oral health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 At the first antenatal visit, advise women to have oral health checks and treatment, if required, as good oral health is important to a woman’s health and treatment can be safely provided during pregnancy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sexual activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 Advise pregnant women without complications that safe sexual activity in pregnancy is not known to be associated with any adverse outcomes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Travel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16 Inform pregnant women about the correct use of seat belts; that is, three-point seat belts ‘above and below the bump, not over it’.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Inform pregnant women that long-distance air travel is associated with an increased risk of venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism, although it is unclear whether there is additional risk during pregnancy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V Pregnant women should be advised to discuss considerations such as air travel, vaccinations and travel insurance with their midwife or doctor if they are planning to travel overseas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 If pregnant women cannot defer travel to malaria-endemic areas, advise them to use insecticide-treated bed nets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W Beyond the first trimester, mefloquine is approved for use to prevent malaria. Neither malarone nor doxycycline are recommended for prophylaxis at any time during pregnancy. Chloroquine (or hydroxycalorooquine) plus proguanil is safe but less effective so seldom used. For areas where only vivax is endemic, chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine alone is appropriate.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical assessments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight and body mass index (under review)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII Measure women’s weight and height at the first antenatal visit and calculate their body mass index (BMI) to inform gestational weight gain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX Give women advice about appropriate weight gain during pregnancy in relation to their pre-pregnancy BMI (if recorded) or their BMI at the first antenatal visit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Adopting a respectful, positive and supportive approach and providing information about healthy eating and physical activity in an appropriate format may assist discussion of weight management. This should be informed by appropriate education for health professionals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X At every antenatal visit, offer women the opportunity to be weighed and encourage self-monitoring of weight gain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI At every antenatal visit, discuss weight change, diet and level of physical activity with all women.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation/practice point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gestational age</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fetal development and anatomy</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fetal growth restriction</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation/practice point</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GG</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refer women after 24 weeks gestation with a fundal height ≥3cm less than expected, a single fundal height which plots below the 10th centile or serial measurements that demonstrate slow or static growth by crossing centiles for ultrasound measurement of fetal size.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refer women in whom measurement of fundal height is inaccurate (for example: BMI &gt;35, large fibroids, polyhydramnios) for serial assessment of fetal size using ultrasound.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fetal movements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XVI</th>
<th>CBR</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>10/2017-10/2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early in pregnancy provide women with verbal and written information about normal fetal movements. This information should include a description of the changing patterns of movement as the fetus develops, normal wake/sleep cycles and factors that may modify the mother’s perception of fetal movements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XVII</th>
<th>CBR</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>10/2017-10/2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advise women with a concern about decreased fetal movements to contact their health care professional immediately.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>II</th>
<th>PP</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>10/2017-10/2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emphasise the importance of maternal awareness of fetal movements at every antenatal visit.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XVIII</th>
<th>CBR</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>10/2017-10/2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do not advise the use of kick charts as part of routine antenatal care.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JJ</th>
<th>PP</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>10/2017-10/2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal concern about decreased fetal movements overrides any definition of decreased fetal movements based on numbers of fetal movements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fetal heart rate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XIX</th>
<th>CBR</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>10/2017-10/2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If auscultation of the fetal heart rate is performed, a Doppler may be used from 12 weeks and either Doppler or a Pinard stethoscope from 28 weeks.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XX</th>
<th>CBR</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>10/2017-10/2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do not routinely use electronic fetal heart rate monitoring (cardiotocography) for fetal assessment in women with an uncomplicated pregnancy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Risk of preterm birth**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XXI</th>
<th>CBR</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>10/2017-10/2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When women are identified as being at risk of giving birth preterm based on the presence of risk factors, provide advice about modifiable risk factors.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XXII</th>
<th>CBR</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>4/2019-4/2024</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If a woman’s cervical length is measured at the 18-20 week ultrasound and is &lt;25 mm, assess other risk factors for preterm birth and seek expert advice if her risk of preterm birth appears to be high.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Blood pressure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measure blood pressure at a woman’s first antenatal visit to identify existing high blood pressure.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Proteinuria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Routinely offer testing for proteinuria at the first antenatal visit, regardless of stage of pregnancy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For point-of-care testing, use an automated analyser if available, as visual inspection of a urinary dipstick is the least accurate method to detect true proteinuria.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Risk of pre-eclampsia (under review)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation/practice point</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>24</strong> Early in pregnancy, assess all women for clinical risk factors for pre-eclampsia.</td>
<td>EBR</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>25</strong> Advise women at high risk of developing pre-eclampsia that calcium supplementation is beneficial if dietary intake is low.</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KK</strong> If a woman has a low dietary calcium intake, advise her to increase her intake of calcium-rich foods.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>26</strong> Advise women at moderate-high risk of pre-eclampsia that low-dose aspirin from early pregnancy may be of benefit in its prevention.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>27</strong> Advise women that vitamins C and E are not of benefit in preventing pre-eclampsia.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XXIV</strong> Routinely measure blood pressure to identify new onset hypertension.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XXV</strong> Recommend testing for proteinuria at each antenatal visit if a woman has risk factors for or clinical indications of pre-eclampsia, in particular, raised blood pressure.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LL</strong> Give women information about the urgency of seeking advice from a health professional if they experience: headache, visual disturbance (such as blurring or flashing before the eyes), epigastric pain (just below the ribs), vomiting and/or rapid swelling of the face, hands or feet.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Social and emotional screening

**Depression and anxiety**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation/practice point</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>28</strong> Use the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) to screen women for a possible depressive disorder.</td>
<td>EBR</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>29</strong> Arrange further assessment of woman with an EPDS score of 13 or more.</td>
<td>EBR</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XXVI</strong> Conduct screening as early as practical in pregnancy and repeat at least once later in pregnancy.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XXVII</strong> For a woman with an EPDS score between 10 and 12, monitor and repeat the EPDS in 4-6 weeks as her score may increase subsequently.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XXVIII</strong> Repeat the EPDS at any time in pregnancy if clinically indicated.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XXIX</strong> For a woman with a positive score on Question 10 on the EPDS, undertake or arrange immediate further assessment and, if there is any disclosure of suicidal ideation, take urgent action in accordance with local protocol/policy.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XXX</strong> When screening Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women, consider language and cultural appropriateness of the tool.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XXXI</strong> Use appropriately translated versions of the EPDS with culturally relevant cut-off scores.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XXXII</strong> Be aware that anxiety disorder is very common in the perinatal period and should be considered in the broader clinical assessment.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XXXIII</strong> As part of the clinical assessment, use anxiety items from other screening tools (eg EPDS items 3, 4 and 5; Depression Anxiety Stress Scale anxiety items; and Kessler Psychological Distress Scale items 2, 3, 5 and 6) and relevant items in structured psychosocial assessment tools (eg the Antenatal Risk Questionnaire [ANRQ]).</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10/2017-10/2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation/practice point</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial factors affecting mental health³⁶</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MM</strong></td>
<td>Assess psychosocial risk factors as early as practical in pregnancy.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>30</strong></td>
<td>If using a tool to assess psychosocial risk, administer the ANRQ.</td>
<td>EBR</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XXXIV</strong></td>
<td>Undertake psychosocial assessment in conjunction with a tool that screens for current symptoms of depression/anxiety (eg the EPDS).</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NN</strong></td>
<td>Ensure that health professionals receive training in the importance of psychosocial assessment and the use of a psychosocial assessment tool.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OO</strong></td>
<td>Ensure that there are clear guidelines around the use and interpretation of the psychosocial tool/interview in terms of threshold for referral for psychosocial care and/or ongoing monitoring.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PP</strong></td>
<td>Discuss with the woman the possible impact of psychosocial risk factors (she has endorsed) on her mental health and provide information about available assistance.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XXXV</strong></td>
<td>Consider language and cultural appropriateness of any tool used to assess psychosocial risk.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family violence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>31</strong></td>
<td>Explain to all women that asking about family violence is a routine part of antenatal care and enquire about each woman’s exposure to family violence.</td>
<td>EBR</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XXXVI</strong></td>
<td>Ask about family violence only when alone with the woman, using specific questions or the tool used in your state/territory.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XXXVII</strong></td>
<td>Undertake and encourage regular and repeat training of health professionals, as training programs improve confidence and competence in identifying and caring for women experiencing family violence.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>QQ</strong></td>
<td>Be aware of family and community structures and support, and of community family violence and sexual assault services that can be called for urgent and ongoing support.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RR</strong></td>
<td>Responses to assisting Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women who are experiencing family violence need to be appropriate to the woman and her community.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine maternal health tests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaemia (under review)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XXXVIII</strong></td>
<td>Routinely offer testing for haemoglobin concentration to pregnant women early in pregnancy (at the first visit) and at 28 weeks gestation.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SS</strong></td>
<td>In areas where prevalence of iron-deficiency anaemia is high, consider testing ferritin at the first antenatal visit.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TT</strong></td>
<td>Further investigation is required for women with a low haemoglobin concentration for their gestational stage. Repeat testing at 36 weeks may also be required for women who have symptoms or risk factors for anaemia or who live in or have come from an area of high prevalence.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>32</strong></td>
<td>Advise iron supplementation for women identified as having iron-deficiency anaemia.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UU</strong></td>
<td>Oral iron remains first-line treatment for iron-deficiency anaemia identified in the antenatal period. Intravenous iron should be offered to women who do not respond to oral iron or are unable to comply with therapy. In some remote settings, intramuscular iron may be administered by a health professional who does not have intravenous endorsement or where intravenous iron cannot be accessed.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation/practice point</td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td>Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Advise women with iron-deficiency anaemia that low-dose iron supplementation is as effective as high dose, with fewer side effects.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Haemoglobin disorders**

| XXXIX | As early as possible in pregnancy, routinely provide information about haemoglobin disorders and offer testing (full blood count). | CBR   | 31 | 6/2014-6/2019 |
| VV | Consider offering ferritin testing and haemoglobin electrophoresis as part of initial testing to women from high-risk population groups. | PP    | 31 | 6/2014-6/2019 |

**Hyperglycaemia (under review)**

| 34 | In the first trimester, assess a woman’s risk of hyperglycaemia including: her age, body mass index, previous gestational diabetes or high birth weight baby, family history of diabetes, presence of polycystic ovarian syndrome and whether she is from an ethnic group with high prevalence of diabetes, such as Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. | EBR   | 32 | 6/2014-6/2019 |
| 35 | Advise women that physical activity and healthy eating during pregnancy help to reduce excessive weight gain but do not appear to directly reduce the risk of diabetes in pregnancy. | QEBR  | 32 | 6/2014-6/2019 |
| XL | When a woman has risk factors for hyperglycaemia in the first trimester, suitable tests are glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) or fasting blood glucose. | CBR   | 32 | 10/2017-10/2022 |
| XLI | Between 24 and 28 weeks gestation, advise testing for hyperglycaemia to all women who have not previously been tested in the current pregnancy. Advise repeat testing to women who were tested early in pregnancy due to risk factors and who had a normal result on an initial test. | CBR   | 32 | 6/2014-6/2019 |

**Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)**

| 36 | Routinely offer and recommend HIV testing at the first antenatal visit as effective interventions are available to reduce the risk of mother-to-child transmission. | B     | 33 | 12/2011-12/2016 |
| WW | A system of clear referral paths ensures that pregnant women who are diagnosed with an HIV infection are managed and treated by the appropriate specialist teams. | PP    | 33 | 12/2011-12/2016 |

**Hepatitis B**

| 37 | Routinely offer and recommend hepatitis B virus testing at the first antenatal visit as effective postnatal intervention can reduce the risk of mother-to-child transmission. | A     | 34 | 12/2011-12/2016 |

**Hepatitis C**

| XLIII | At the first antenatal visit, recommend testing for hepatitis C. | CBR   | 35 | 10/2017-10/2022 |
| XX | For women who have not previously been tested and who are having a planned invasive procedure (eg chorionic villus sampling), recommend testing for hepatitis C before the procedure. | PP    | 35 | 10/2017-10/2022 |

**Syphilis**

<p>| 38 | Routinely recommend syphilis testing at the first antenatal contact. | EBR   | 36 | 4/2019-4/2024 |
| XLIV | Recommend repeat testing early in the third trimester (28-32 weeks) and at the time of birth for women at high risk of infection or reinfection. | CBR   | 36 | 4/2019-4/2024 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation/practice point</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XLV Seek advice from an expert in sexual health or infectious diseases regarding the care of women who test positive and their partners.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4/2019-4/2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLVI Ensure contact tracing (including offering testing and treatment to identified contacts) is carried out. Involve an expert in contact tracing if required or seek advice from a sexual health clinic or other relevant expert.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4/2019-4/2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 For women with newly confirmed infectious syphilis, recommend an intramuscular dose of 1.8 g (given as two 900 mg injections) benzathine penicillin as soon as possible, ensuring that women receive treatment at least 30 days before the estimated date of birth to ensure adequate treatment before the birth.</td>
<td>EBR</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4/2019-4/2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLVII In areas affected by an ongoing syphilis outbreak, recommend testing at the first antenatal visit, at 28 and 36 weeks, at the time of birth and 6 weeks after the birth.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4/2019-4/2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLVIII In areas affected by an outbreak, treat women as soon as possible, without waiting for confirmatory testing, particularly if there is a risk of loss to follow-up.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4/2019-4/2024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rubella**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation/practice point</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40 Routinely offer and recommend testing for rubella immunity at the first antenatal visit to identify women at risk of contracting rubella and enable postnatal vaccination to protect future pregnancies.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Inform women who have been vaccinated against rubella before they were aware of the pregnancy that the baby is highly unlikely to have been affected by the vaccine.</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YY Women identified as non-immune to rubella antenatally should be advised to avoid contact with people experiencing possible symptoms of rubella.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Asymptomatic bacteriuria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation/practice point</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42 Routinely offer and recommend testing for asymptomatic bacteriuria early in pregnancy as treatment is effective and reduces the risk of pyelonephritis.</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 Use urine culture testing wherever possible as it is the most accurate means of detecting asymptomatic bacteriuria.</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZZ Where access to pathology services is limited, dipstick tests may be used to exclude infection, with positive results confirmed by urine culture. Appropriate storage of dipsticks is essential to the accuracy of these tests.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Group B streptococcus (under review)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation/practice point</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44 Offer either routine antenatal testing for Group B streptococcus colonisation or a risk factor-based approach to prevention, depending on organisational policy.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 If offering antenatal testing for Group B streptococcus, arrange for testing to take place at 35-37 weeks gestation.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 Encourage women to self-collect vaginal-rectal specimens for culture testing for Group B streptococcus and offer information about how to do this.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Targeted maternal health tests**

**Chlamydia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation/practice point</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XLIX When testing for chlamydia in pregnant women, consider the use of urine samples or self-collected vaginal samples.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4/2019-4/2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Routinely offer chlamydia testing at the first antenatal visit to pregnant women younger than 30 years.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4/2019-4/2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation/practice point</td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td>Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gonorrhoea</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI</td>
<td>Do not routinely offer gonorrhoea testing to all women as part of antenatal care. Offer gonorrhoea testing to pregnant women who have known risk factors or who live in or come from areas where prevalence is high.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trichomoniasis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Offer testing to women who have symptoms of trichomoniasis, but not to asymptomatic women.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Toxoplasmosis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Do not routinely offer testing for toxoplasmosis to pregnant women.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 49 | Advise pregnant women about measures to avoid toxoplasmosis infection such as:  
- washing hands before handling food
- thoroughly washing all fruit and vegetables, including ready-prepared salads, before eating
- thoroughly cooking raw meat and ready-prepared chilled meals
- wearing gloves and thoroughly washing hands after handling soil and gardening
- avoiding cat faeces in cat litter or in soil. | C | 43 | 6/2014-6/2019 |
| **Cytomegalovirus**           |       |         |          |
| LII | Advise all pregnant women about hygiene measures to help reduce the risk of cytomegalovirus infection, including avoiding contact with a child’s saliva or urine and hand washing after such exposure. | CBR | 44 | 4/2019-4/2024 |
| LIII | Offer testing for cytomegalovirus to women who come into frequent contact with large numbers of very young children (eg child care workers), using serology (cytomegalovirus-specific IgG only). | CBR | 44 | 4/2019-4/2024 |
| LIV | Offer testing for cytomegalovirus to pregnant women if they have symptoms suggestive of cytomegalovirus that are not attributable to another specific infection or when imaging findings suggest fetal infection. | CBR | 44 | 4/2019-4/2024 |
| **Asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis** |       |         |          |
| 50 | Do not routinely offer pregnant women testing for bacterial vaginosis. | B | 45 | 12/2011-12/2016 |
| AAA | Early treatment (before 20 weeks pregnancy) of proven bacterial vaginosis may be beneficial for women with a previous preterm birth. | PP | 45 | 12/2011-12/2016 |
| **Thyroid dysfunction**       |       |         |          |
| 51 | Do not routinely test pregnant women for thyroid dysfunction. | EBR | 46 | 10/2017-10/2022 |
| LV | Recommend thyroid testing to pregnant women who are at increased risk of thyroid dysfunction. | CBR | 46 | 10/2017-10/2022 |
| **Vitamin D status**          |       |         |          |
| 52 | Do not routinely recommend testing for vitamin D status to pregnant women in the absence of a specific indication. | EBR | 47 | 10/2017-10/2022 |
| LVI | If testing is performed, only recommend vitamin D supplementation for women with levels lower than 50 nmol/L. | CBR | 47 | 10/2017-10/2022 |
| **Human papilloma virus (under review)** |       |         |          |
| LVII | Offer women cervical screening as specified by the National Cervical Screening Program. | CBR | 48 | 6/2014-6/2019 |
## Recommendation/practice point

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation/practice point</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Testing for fetal chromosomal anomalies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tests for probability of chromosomal anomalies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVIII In the first trimester, give all women/couples information about the purpose and implications of testing for chromosomal anomalies to enable them to make informed choices.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LX If a woman chooses to have the combined test (nuchal translucency thickness, free beta-human chorionic gonadotrophin, pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A), make arrangements so that blood for biochemical analysis is collected between 9 weeks and 13 weeks 6 days gestation and ultrasound assessment takes place between 11 weeks and 13 weeks 6 days gestation.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBB Provide information about chromosomal anomalies and tests used to identify their probability in a way that is appropriate and accessible to the individual woman.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diagnostic testing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 If a woman chooses to have a diagnostic test for chromosomal anomaly, base the choice of test on gestational age (chorionic villus sampling before 14 weeks pregnancy and amniocentesis after 15 weeks) and the woman’s/couple’s preferences.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LX Offer rapid access to appropriate counselling and ongoing support by trained health professionals to women who receive a diagnosis of fetal chromosomal anomaly.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCC Refer women with a high-probability test result but negative diagnostic test for further specialist assessment because of the increased likelihood of other fetal anomalies.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other considerations in testing for fetal chromosomal anomalies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDD Support all women to access testing for chromosomal anomalies in a timely manner.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Common conditions during pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEE Women who experience nausea and vomiting in pregnancy can be advised that, while it may be distressing, it usually resolves spontaneously by 16 to 20 weeks pregnancy and is not generally associated with a poor pregnancy outcome.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFF Discontinuing iron-containing multivitamins for the period that women have symptoms of nausea and vomiting may improve symptoms.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 Offer women who are experiencing constipation information about increasing dietary fibre intake and taking bran or wheat fibre supplementation.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 Advise women who choose to take laxatives that preparations that stimulate the bowel are more effective than those that add bulk but may cause more adverse effects such as diarrhoea and abdominal pain.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>12/2011-12/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflux (heartburn)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LXI Offer women experiencing mild symptoms of heartburn advice on lifestyle modifications and avoiding foods that cause symptoms on repeated occasions.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 Give women who have persistent reflux information about treatments.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation/practice point</td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td>Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Haemorrhoids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LXII Offer women who have haemorrhoids information about increasing dietary fibre and fluid intake. If clinical symptoms remain, advise women that they can consider using standard haemorrhoid creams.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Varicose veins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LXIII Advise women that varicose veins are common during pregnancy, vary in severity, will not generally cause harm and usually improve after the birth. Correctly fitted compression stockings may be helpful.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pelvic girdle pain</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57 Advise women experiencing pelvic girdle pain that pregnancy-specific exercises, physiotherapy, acupuncture or using a support garment may provide some pain relief.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carpal tunnel syndrome</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LXIV Advise women who are experiencing symptoms of carpal tunnel syndrome that the evidence to support either splinting or steroid injections is limited and symptoms may resolve after the birth.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical assessments in late pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fetal presentation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58 Assess fetal presentation by abdominal palpation at 36 weeks or later, when presentation is likely to influence the plans for the birth.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGG Suspected non-cephalic presentation after 36 weeks should be confirmed by an ultrasound assessment.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59 Offer external cephalic version to women with uncomplicated singleton breech pregnancy after 37 weeks of gestation.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LXV Relative contraindications for external cephalic version include a previous caesarean section, uterine anomaly, vaginal bleeding, ruptured membranes or labour, oligohydramnios, placenta praevia and fetal anomalies or compromise.</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHH External cephalic version should be performed by a health professional with appropriate expertise.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>6/2014-6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prolonged pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 Discuss options, including induction of labour, with a woman who is nearing prolonged pregnancy.</td>
<td>EBR</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>4/2019-4/2024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Introduction

These Guidelines provide evidence-based recommendations to support high quality, safe pregnancy care and contribute to improved outcomes for all mothers and babies. The lengthy process of reviewing the evidence on the numerous aspects of antenatal care necessitated completion of the project in three stages, all of which are included in this document.

Australian Clinical Practice Guidelines on Antenatal Care were released in two stages in 2012 (Module I) (Australian Health Ministers’ Advisory Council 2012) and 2014 (Module II) (Australian Health Ministers’ Advisory Council 2014). The Modules were developed in collaboration with State and Territory governments and sponsored by the Maternity Services Inter-Jurisdictional Committee (MSIJC), a subcommittee of the Community Care Population Health Principal Committee (CCPHP) of the Australian Health Ministers’ Advisory Council (AHMAC). In 2015-16, the MSIJC received funds through AHMAC to review certain topics in the Guidelines. The Australian Government Department of Health managed the review of the Guidelines on behalf of MSIJC and took responsibility for the project following the conclusion of MSIJC on 30 June 2016.

The development of this document has followed the key principles and processes outlined in Procedures and Requirements for Meeting the 2011 NHMRC Standard for Clinical Practice Guidelines (NHMRC 2011). This involved convening a multidisciplinary committee, the membership of which included a range of health professionals with expertise in providing, developing and researching antenatal care, a consumer representative with experience of antenatal care and a methodology expert. Input was also sought from a Working Group for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Women’s Antenatal Care and a Working Group for Migrant and Refugee Women’s Antenatal Care. The content of the Guidelines was developed by these groups and was not influenced by the funding body.

More detail on the guideline development process is included in the Administrative Report, published separately.

Application of the Guidelines

Objective of the Guidelines

The Guidelines aim to improve the health and experience of antenatal care of pregnant women and their babies by promoting consistency of care. They provide a summary of the current evidence on aspects of care. It is expected that implementation of these Guidelines will improve maternal and fetal outcomes in the short and longer terms.

Scope

The Guidelines cover the antenatal care of healthy pregnant women (ie women who do not have identified pre-existing conditions and are not at higher risk of complications such as in multiple pregnancy). They are intended for use in all settings where antenatal care is provided, including primary care, obstetric and midwifery practice and public and private hospitals.

The Guidelines do not include:

- information on preconception or postnatal care
- advice on clinical management of women and babies when risks are identified through testing or clinical assessment (with the exception of syphilis, where a recommendation on treatment is included due to the current outbreak, deaths from congenital syphilis and rising prevalence nationally)
- discussion of specific topics where a practice is already established (eg testing of blood group) or where the topic was not considered a priority for inclusion in these Guidelines and advice is given by other organisations (eg vaginal discharge, backache).

Intended audience

The Guidelines are intended for all health professionals who contribute to antenatal care, including midwives, general practitioners (GPs), obstetricians, maternal and child health nurses, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioners; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Workers, multicultural health

---

7 Also referred to as child and family health nurses in some jurisdictions.
workers, practice nurses, allied health professionals, childbirth and parenting educators and sonographers. The way in which different professionals use these Guidelines will vary depending on their knowledge, skills and role, as well as the setting in which care is provided.

These Guidelines will be of interest and relevance to pregnant women in Australia. In addition, it is expected that policy makers will be able to draw on the Guidelines in the development of policy and health services.

How to use the Guidelines

Part A of the Guidelines outlines the basics of providing woman-centred care and includes information on optimising antenatal care for specific groups of women: Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women, migrant and refugee women and women with serious mental health disorders, adolescent women and women in rural and remote settings.

Parts B to J of the Guidelines discuss lifestyle considerations, clinical assessments, social and emotional screening, maternal health testing, fetal chromosomal anomalies, common conditions during pregnancy and clinical assessments in late pregnancy. Within these parts, each chapter provides background information about the topic, discusses the available evidence and highlights points to include when discussing the topic with women. A practice summary is included for each topic. These provide information on when during pregnancy a topic is relevant and who may be involved in providing care and/or information.

The appendices include details on the membership of the working groups, terms of reference and topics under review.

Dissemination and review

A web-based approach has been taken to dissemination of the Guidelines. They are available in portable document format (PDF) from the Australian Government Department of Health website and accessible to health professionals and the broader community. The Guidelines are also listed on the NHMRC portal and accessible by searching the portal.

Due to the number of topics considered in the combined document, the EAC has prioritised topics for future reviews. Additional topics have also been identified for future consideration. It is anticipated that the online version of the Guidelines will be updated as revised or new chapters are developed and that most topics will be reviewed over the next 5 years.

References


PART A: OPTIMISING PREGNANCY CARE

1 Principles of care

In 1998, the World Health Organization (WHO) proposed a set of principles of perinatal care (WHO 1998) that endorse the protection, promotion and support necessary for effective antenatal and postnatal care (Chalmers et al 2001). These principles are embedded in the approach to care outlined in these Guidelines and are included in Table A1.

Table A1: WHO principles of perinatal care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principle</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Care for women with a normal pregnancy and birth should promote normal reproductive processes and women’s inherent capabilities</td>
<td>Pregnancy and birth should be viewed as a natural process in life and essential care should be provided to women with the minimum set of interventions necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care should be based on the use of appropriate technology</td>
<td>Sophisticated or complex technology should not be applied when simpler procedures may suffice or be superior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care should be evidence-based</td>
<td>Care should be supported by the best available research, and by randomised controlled trials where possible and appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care should be local</td>
<td>Care should be available as close to the woman’s home as possible and based on an efficient system of referral from primary care to tertiary levels of care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care should be multidisciplinary</td>
<td>Effective care may involve contributions from a wide range of health professionals, including midwives, general practitioners, obstetricians, neonatologists, nurses, childbirth and parenthood educators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care should be holistic</td>
<td>Care should include consideration of the intellectual, emotional, social and cultural needs of women, their babies and families, and not only their physical care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care should be woman-centred</td>
<td>The focus of care should be meeting the needs of the woman and her baby. Each woman should negotiate the way that her partner and significant family or friends are involved. Care should be tailored to any special needs a woman may have</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care should be culturally appropriate and culturally safe</td>
<td>Care should consider and allow for cultural variations in meeting these expectations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care should provide women with information and support so they can make decisions</td>
<td>Women should be given evidence-based information that enables them to make decisions about care. This should be provided in a format that the woman finds acceptable and can understand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care should respect the privacy, dignity and confidentiality of women</td>
<td>All women have the right to be treated with respect and dignity, have their privacy respected, and be assured that all their health information is confidential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.1 References


2 Providing woman-centred care

Woman-centred care focuses on the woman’s unique needs, expectations and aspirations; recognises her right to self-determination in terms of choice, control and continuity of care; and addresses her social, emotional, physical, psychological, spiritual and cultural needs and expectations (NMBA 2006). It also acknowledges that a woman and her unborn baby do not exist independently of the woman’s social and emotional environment, and incorporates this understanding in assessment and provision of health care.

2.1 Understanding the woman’s context

“An individualised approach to care should be offered at all times rather than routine practice. Care provision needs to be flexible, friendly and non-threatening, making it accessible to all women, including young women.” (Chalmers et al 2001)

Every woman has a right to antenatal care that considers her individual social and emotional situation. While many Australian women experience high levels of economic prosperity, educational attainment and good health, many live in poverty, subsist on inadequate pensions, are restricted by under-employment or low-income occupations, experience racism and violence and have poor health outcomes (AWHN 2008). Gender inequalities persist, with women economically less secure, maintaining the primary carer role, and subject to violence (including physical and sexual assault, as well as emotional, psychological and financial abuse) (AWHN 2008).

The experience of pregnancy, especially in the early stages, differs for each woman. The stability of a woman’s relationships and social environment will influence her experience. In addition, if the pregnancy is unplanned or results from sexual assault, the woman may experience uncertainty about whether to proceed with the pregnancy.

Although addressing all of these factors is beyond the scope of antenatal care, taking them into account will lead to a fuller understanding of an individual woman’s situation and the environment for the developing baby. This provides the opportunity for early intervention to reduce any risk to the woman and her baby. Referral to other services (eg housing, social services) should also be considered, in partnership with the woman.

2.2 Cultural safety in antenatal care

“Cultural safety puts the woman at the centre of care by identifying her needs and establishing a partnership built on trust.” (Phiri et al 2010)

Cultural safety is based on the basic human rights of respect, dignity, empowerment, safety and autonomy (Phiri et al 2010). The concept of ‘cultural safety’ comes from an approach that incorporates culture within a wider structural framework, focusing on social position to explain health status rather than on the ‘values, beliefs and traditions’ of a particular group (Williamson & Harrison 2010). This approach considers the dynamic nature of culture and the diversity within groups, avoids stereotyping and identifies the needs of the individual receiving care.

Cultural safety is defined by the individual attending health care. It builds on the concepts of cultural awareness (appreciating cultural, social and historical differences and reflecting on one’s own culture, biases and tendency to stereotype) and cultural sensitivity (acknowledging differences and exploring self attitudes) (Thomson 2005). For example, if a woman prefers to see a female health professional, identifying this need is culturally aware, planning the woman’s care around that need is culturally sensitive and ensuring that the woman is not seen by a male health professional is culturally safe (Phiri et al 2010). Embedding this into routine care may contribute to a culturally responsive service (Reibel & Walker 2010).

Strategies to ensure culturally safe care include optimising communication (eg through the use of interpreters), building sound relationships, acknowledging women’s cultural preferences (Phiri et al 2010) and reflecting on and analysing how power relationships and history have affected the health of individuals (Kruske et al 2006). It is also important to acknowledge that the interaction between the ‘culture’ of the health professional and the culture of the woman (regardless of ethnicity) may result in a power imbalance (Kruske et al 2006). Women from
vulnerable and marginalised groups may feel particularly disempowered in healthcare settings. This can be reduced through (Kruske et al 2010):

- mindfulness about symbols of power (eg uniform, stethoscope) and the way the room is structured (eg avoiding sitting behind a desk)
- positioning: sitting alongside, not opposite, quiet or shy women and families
- showing genuine respect for the woman: the woman will be more likely to feel trust, tell more of her experience and accept advice.

2.3 Providing information and support so that women can make decisions

“Women should be provided with evidence-based information and encouraged to participate in decisions about care.” (Chalmers et al 2001)

In any health interaction, a woman has the right to (adapted from Charter of Health Consumer Rights [CHF 2004]):

- determine what treatment she accepts or chooses not to accept
- be given easily understandable explanations in her first language of the details of her specific health concerns, any proposed treatments or procedures and the results of any tests performed
- have access to all health information about herself and her baby
- have her privacy respected, be treated with respect and dignity and know that all her own health information is confidential.

Health professionals and women need to communicate and collaborate in a team approach (Kryzanauskas 2005; NZ MOH 2008). The woman’s input (and her family’s when she chooses) is an important part of this process (NHMRC 2010). Consistency of information, especially if this is provided by different professionals, is very important (Jones et al 1999; Price et al 2005).

Making a choice or consenting should be an ongoing process of discussion between a woman and the health professionals involved in her care. Factors that may assist women in decision-making include:

- determining how much prior knowledge the woman has (Kruske et al 2010)
- asking open-ended questions and listening to the answers
- attending to verbal and non-verbal cues
- clarifying the information provided by the woman
- clarifying the woman’s understanding of the information provided to her
- providing easy to understand verbal explanation and written or audiovisual information in the woman’s preferred language (where available)
- where appropriate, using accredited interpreters to ensure effective communication.

Women have the right to decline care or advice if they choose, or to withdraw consent at any time and have these choices respected (UNESCO 2005). It is important that the level of care provided does not alter because of this choice (FPA Health & Read 2006; Faunce 2008; NHMRC 2010).

2.3.1 Documenting discussions and decisions

Documenting discussions and decisions should include clear and consistent records of (NHMRC 2010):

- information provided to the woman and indications that the messages have been understood
- informed consent, responsibility and accountability for decisions
- the woman’s understanding of risk and her responsibility for her own choices and decisions about care, especially if these decisions are in conflict with professional advice (in such circumstances it must be clearly documented that the woman is aware of and has accepted a certain level of risk\(^8\)).

\(^8\) Several Australian States and Territories have schedules in their health legislation that outline health professionals’ obligations and protections if treatment is refused. These include refusal of treatment certificates, which may help in recording decisions and avoiding confusion if care is transferred.
Shared and reciprocal documentation, including some form of woman-held record, ensures that all members of the collaboration are aware of essential information throughout maternity care. Several jurisdictions in Australia regularly use woman-held records, which have been found to be an excellent way to improve communication (NHMRC 2010). A woman-held record means the woman has a better chance of controlling her health information, encouraging respectful language and, as a result, enabling her to feel more in control during her maternity care (NHMRC 2010).

Electronic (eg web-based or e-health) or triplicate records allow sharing of accurate documentation and reduce duplication of effort, enabling more streamlined care for women (NHMRC 2010).

2.4 Involving the woman’s family

“Women and their families should be assisted to prepare for pregnancy, birth and parenthood. Fathers have needs of their own as individuals and not simply as companions or supports for their partner.” (Chalmers et al 2001)

Woman-centred care encompasses the needs of the baby, the woman’s family, significant others and community, as identified and negotiated by the woman herself (NMBA 2006). Each woman should be asked about whom she would like to be involved in her care; some women may only want their partner involved while others may wish to involve a wider family or social network. A minority of women may have limited control over the family members who are involved in their antenatal care or the social environment in which the baby develops (eg exposure to passive smoking or domestic violence).

Involving fathers/partners enables them to participate in decision-making and be informed about the care pathway and environmental factors that may influence the health of the baby during pregnancy (eg maternal passive smoking) and after the birth (eg infectious diseases such as pertussis). Education and information about pregnancy and childbirth should be provided using the principles outlined in Chapter 1. Assessment and intervention for fathers/partners may also be a consideration (eg mental health, smoking cessation, immunisation).

Involvement of fathers/partners in antenatal care may also enable early intervention (eg family support) for families requiring additional assistance (COAG 2009).

2.5 Resources


2.6 References


UNESCO (2005) Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights, UNESCO.

3 Pregnancy care for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women

While the diversity of circumstances and experiences is acknowledged, this chapter highlights general considerations in providing antenatal care for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women. While many Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women experience healthy pregnancies, poor health and social complexity contribute to worse overall perinatal outcomes than those experienced by non-Indigenous women.

3.1 Background to culturally safe antenatal care

“Cultural Respect is achieved when the health system is a safe environment for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and where cultural differences are respected.” (AHMAC 2004)

History and politics have shaped and continue to shape the lives and health of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. Social complexity and family disruption are continuing effects of government policies that have contributed to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples having by far the worst health status of any identifiable group in Australia and the poorest access to services (Couzos & Murray 2008). This is reflected in the overall health of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women and their babies.

In 2014, among registered births in Australia, 5.3% of babies had one or two parents who identified as Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and 4.2% had mothers who identified as Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples (AIHW 2016b). While this chapter focuses on the care of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women during pregnancy, it is important to remember that pregnancies in which the father is of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander background may have similar issues in terms of perinatal outcomes (Clarke & Boyle 2014).

There is a disproportionate burden of adverse perinatal outcomes for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander mothers and their babies compared to non-Indigenous mothers and babies, including increased maternal mortality (13.8 vs 6.6 deaths per 1,00,000 women who gave birth in 2008–2012) (Humphrey et al 2015), pre-term birth (140 vs 80 per 1,000 births), low birth weight (118 vs 62 per 1,000 births) and perinatal deaths (14 vs 9 per 1,000 births) (AIHW 2016a). Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women are also less likely to attend an antenatal visit in the first trimester compared to non-Indigenous women (53 vs 60%) or to attend five or more antenatal visits (86% vs 95%) (AIHW 2016a).

All health professionals need to be aware of these disparities and have a role in optimising the care of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander pregnant women to aid in ‘closing the gap’ in health outcomes between Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander and other peoples (Clarke & Boyle 2014).

3.2 Providing woman-centred care

“Have a good chat with them, gain their trust, make ‘em feel secure … words, the way you talk to them means a lot … especially young ones, that’s what they’re looking for.” (Older Aboriginal woman from remote community, Central Australia as quoted in Wilson 2009)

This section discusses issues specific to providing appropriate antenatal care for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women. The cultural beliefs, practices and needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women vary, both between and within culturally defined groups, and respect for the views and beliefs of individual women and of local communities is needed (Hunt 2008).

3.2.1 Understanding the woman’s context

Many Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women experience healthy pregnancies. The women having babies are generally younger and, on average, have more children during their reproductive life than non-Indigenous women (Clarke & Boyle 2014). Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander culture takes a more holistic view of

---

9 These Guidelines use ‘Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women’ as an umbrella term while acknowledging the great diversity within this group and that “Aboriginal” or “Torres Strait Islander” may be more appropriate in some contexts. Where literature is cited, the term used in the literature is used.
wellbeing and has many strengths that provide a positive influence on well-being and resilience for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women and their families. These include a supportive extended family network and kinship, connection to country, and active cultural practices in language, art and music.

For women who experience adverse events in their pregnancies, the reasons are diverse and occur throughout the life course (Clarke & Boyle 2014):

- **socioeconomic factors**: lower income, higher unemployment, lower educational levels, inadequate infrastructure (eg affordable housing, water supply), increased rates of incarceration
- **health factors**: diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease (including rheumatic heart disease), respiratory disease, kidney disease, communicable infections, injuries, poor mental health, overweight and underweight
- **lifestyle factors**: lack of physical activity, poor nutrition, harmful levels of alcohol intake, smoking, higher psychosocial stressors (deaths in families, violence, serious illness, financial pressures, contact with the justice system).

In addition, “racism constitutes a ‘double burden’ for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians, encumbering their health as well as access to effective and timely health care services” (Kildea et al 2016).

### 3.2.2 Cultural safety

Although maternity services in Australia are designed to offer women the best care, they largely reflect western medical values and perceptions of health, risk and safety. Achieving culturally safe maternity services is critical to improving health for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander mothers and babies (Kildea et al 2016) and this is underpinned by cultural awareness among health professionals.

Cultural safety acknowledges that health consumers feel safest when health professionals have considered power relations, cultural differences and individuals’ rights (NT Health 2016). Cultural safety is defined by the individual’s experience of health care they receive, ability to access services and to raise concerns. Part of this process requires health professionals to examine their own realities, beliefs and attitudes. Cultural safety incorporates cultural awareness which is defined as ‘an understanding of how a person’s culture may inform their values, behaviours, beliefs and basic assumptions, recognising that we are all shaped by our cultural background, which influences how we interpret the world around us, perceive ourselves and relate to other people (RACGP 2011).

The provision of culturally safe care requires a willingness to gain the knowledge, understanding and skills to communicate sensitively and effectively with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people and to acknowledge and respect cultural differences. Cultural safety is also relevant to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health professionals.

An emerging area in developing a culturally responsive workforce is trauma-informed care, in which health professionals understand the ongoing impact of intergenerational trauma resulting from historical injustices, colonisation, removal from and dispossession of land, and continuing racism (Kildea et al 2016). This is particularly important given that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children are over-represented in out-of-home care compared with non-Indigenous children (9.5 times more likely), with some women encountering the child protection system during pregnancy, leading to removal of their babies soon after birth.

While further developments in cultural safety education are required (Kildea et al 2016), a recent study found that providing cultural safety training as an assessable component of practice and recognising that it is as important as the physical aspects of care for the women would improve the experiences of women and support midwives in practice (Brown et al 2016).

Cultural awareness education programs and tools for evaluating individual and organisational cultural responsiveness have been developed (see Section 3.7).

### Practice point

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A.</strong></td>
<td>Adopt a respectful, positive and supportive approach in providing antenatal care to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women, working in partnership with women, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health professionals and communities. This should be informed by cultural safety training for health professionals.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022
3.2.3 Improving women’s experience of antenatal care

Taking an individualised approach

Factors that may improve a woman’s experience of antenatal care include (Clarke & Boyle 2014):

- creating a comfortable and welcoming physical space
- taking time to establish rapport and trust
- providing continuity of carer
- ensuring privacy and confidentiality
- involving her partner/the father of the baby, where this is agreed by the woman
- having some knowledge about the woman’s community
- endeavouring to have flexible scheduling of appointments.

Ideally a nominated person within a practice should be able to ensure the woman is receiving appropriate care from other healthcare team members and to assist to coordinate services if required.

Providing information and support so that women can make decisions

There is indirect evidence that, in some settings, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women have fewer opportunities to make decisions about their care than non-Indigenous women, or fewer than is desirable (Hunt 2003). This may be improved through providing information to women and their partners in a culturally appropriate way and providing strategies to help them achieve positive change (Clarke & Boyle 2014) and by working in partnership with Aboriginal health professionals, women and communities.

Aboriginal community worker involvement

Where available, assistance from Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander health workers, community workers or Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander liaison officers should be sought as they can facilitate understanding between the woman and her healthcare provider and provide assistance for attending appointments and coordinating care (Clarke & Boyle 2014). This may be particularly important when English is not the woman’s first language.

3.3 Successful models of antenatal care

“Aboriginal peoples and Torres Strait Islanders should access services and health care not just at a level enjoyed by other Australians (principle of equality) but at one that reflects their much greater level of health care need (principle of equity).” (Couzos & Murray 2008)

A range of programs have been implemented around the country to improve the delivery of antenatal services to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women. Evaluations have shown their success in improving uptake of care earlier in the pregnancies, for the duration of the pregnancy and often postnatally, which allows other opportunistic healthcare interventions, such as family planning, cervical screening and improving breastfeeding rates (Clarke & Boyle 2014). This shows that if services cater for their needs, women will utilise them.

Evaluated programs include:

- Midwifery group practice: A midwifery group practice (staffed by midwives, Aboriginal Health Workers, Aboriginal midwifery students and an Aboriginal ‘senior woman’) was introduced in a regional centre in the Northern Territory to provide continuity of care for women from remote communities transferred to the centre for antenatal care and birth (Barclay et al 2014). There were improvements in antenatal care (fewer women had no antenatal care and more had more than five visits), antenatal screening and smoking cessation advice and a reduction in fetal distress in labour. The experiences of women, midwives and others during the establishment and the first year of the midwifery group practice were also reported positively and women’s engagement with the health services through their midwives improved. Cost-effective improvements were made to the acceptability, quality and outcomes of maternity care.
• **Midwifery continuity of care**: A meta-synthesis of qualitative studies undertaken in Australia and Canada found that overall the experience of midwifery services was valuable for Indigenous women, with improved cultural safety, experiences and outcomes in relation to pregnancy and birth (Corcoran et al 2017). The most positive experiences for women were with services that provided continuity of care, had strong community links and were controlled by Indigenous communities (Corcoran et al 2017). Continuity of midwifery care can be effectively provided to remote dwelling Aboriginal women and appears to improve outcomes for women and their infants (Lack et al 2016). However, there are barriers preventing the provision of intrapartum midwifery care in remote areas (Corcoran et al 2017). A study among midwives in a large tertiary hospital in South Australia found that communication and building support with Aboriginal health workers and families were important to midwives working with Aboriginal women and identified the following barriers to provision of care (Brown et al 2016):

- time constraints in a busy hospital
- lack of flexibility in the hospital protocols and polices
- the system whereby women were required to relocate to birth
- lack of continuity of care
- lack of support 24 h a day from the Aboriginal workforce
- the speed at which women transitioned through the service.

The midwives had some difficulty differentiating the women’s physical needs from their cultural needs and the concept of cultural safety was not well understood. The midwives also determined that women who were living in metropolitan areas had lesser cultural needs than the women who were living in rural and remote areas. Stereotyping and racism was also identified within the study.

• **Aboriginal Maternity Group Practice Program (AMGPP)**: The AMGPP employed Aboriginal grandmothers, Aboriginal Health Officers and midwives working in partnership with existing antenatal services to provide care for pregnant Aboriginal women residing in south metropolitan Perth (Bertilone & McEvoy 2015). Babies born to women in the program were significantly less likely to be born preterm (9.1% vs 15.9% in historical controls [adjusted odds ratio (aOR) 0.56; 95%CI 0.35 to 0.92]); to require resuscitation at birth (17.8% vs 24.4% in historical controls [aOR 0.68; 95%CI 0.47 to 0.98]; vs 31.2% in contemporary controls [aOR 0.71; 95%CI 0.60 to 0.85]) or to have a hospital length of stay greater than 5 days (4.0% vs 11.3% in historical controls [aOR 0.34; 95%CI 0.18 to 0.64]; vs 11.6% in contemporary controls [aOR 0.56; 95%CI 0.41 to 0.77]) (Bertilone & McEvoy 2015). Analysis of qualitative data from surveys and interviews found that the model had a positive impact on the level of culturally appropriate care provided by other health service staff, particularly in hospitals. Two-way learning was a feature. Providing transport, team home visits and employing Aboriginal staff improved access to care. Grandmothers successfully brought young pregnant women into the program through their community networks, and were able to positively influence healthy lifestyle behaviours for women (Bertilone et al 2016).

• **Aboriginal Family Birthing Program (AFBP)**: The AFBP provides culturally competent antenatal, intrapartum and early postnatal care for Aboriginal families in some parts of South Australia, with women cared for by an Aboriginal Maternal and Infant Care worker and a midwife in partnership. The Aboriginal Maternal and Infant Care worker has a clinical role. Compared with women attending mainstream public antenatal care, women attending metropolitan and regional AFBP services were more likely to report positive experiences of pregnancy care (aOR 3.4, 95%CI 1.6 to 7.0 and aOR 2.4, 95%CI 1.4 to 4.3, respectively). Women attending Aboriginal Health Services were also more likely to report positive experiences of care (aOR 3.5, 95%CI 1.3 to 9.4) (Brown et al 2015). Even with greater social disadvantage and higher clinical complexity, pregnancy outcomes were similar for AFBP and Aboriginal women attending other services (Middleton et al 2017).

• **Aboriginal Maternal and Infant Health Service (AMIHS)**: the AMIHS was established in New South Wales to improve the health of Aboriginal women during pregnancy and decrease perinatal morbidity and mortality for Aboriginal babies (Murphy & Best 2012). The AMIHS is delivered through a continuity-of-care model, where midwives and Aboriginal Health Workers collaborate to provide a high-quality maternity service that is culturally sensitive, women-centred, based on primary health-care principles and provided in partnership with Aboriginal people.
An evaluation of the AMIHS found:

- the proportion of women who attended their first antenatal visit before 20 weeks increased (65 vs 78% in 2004, OR 1.2; 95%CI 1.01 to 1.4; p<0.003)
- the rate of low birthweight babies decreased (13 vs 12%, not statistically significant)
- the proportion of preterm births decreased (20 vs 11%; OR 0.5 95%CI 0.4-0.8-1.4; p=0.001)
- perinatal mortality decreased (from 20.4 per 1,000 births in 1996-2000 to 14.4 per 1,000 births in 2001-2003; not statistically significant owing to small numbers)
- breastfeeding rates improved (from 67% initiating breastfeeding and 59% still breastfeeding at 6 weeks in 2003, to 70% initiating breastfeeding and 62% still breastfeeding at 6 weeks in 2004).

While these programs have been identified as beneficial, not all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women have access to these types of programs and many still rely on mainstream services such as GPs and public hospital clinics (Clarke & Boyle 2014). Hence, it is important that mainstream services embed cultural competence into continuous quality improvement. Participation in a continuous quality improvement initiative by primary health care centres in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities is associated with greater provision of pregnancy care regarding lifestyle-related risk factors (Gibson-Helm et al 2016b). For example, screening for cigarette smoking increased from 73% at baseline to 95% (OR 11, 95%CI 4.3 to 29) after four cycles (Gibson-Helm et al 2016b).

### 3.4 Birthing on country

There is a strong relationship between distance to maternity services and poorer clinical and psychosocial outcomes (Kildea et al 2016). For some Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women, the social risks of not birthing on country include cultural risk (eg the belief that birthing away from country may be the cause of ill health as it breaks the link between strong culture, strong health and the land) and emotional risks (having to spend weeks removed from family and other children while awaiting the birth) (Kildea et al 2016). These factors cause distress to women and families and increase clinical and medical risks (eg women not attending antenatal care, or presenting late in labour, to avoid being flown out of their community for birth).

In a study of birthing services in rural and remote areas, very remote communities were least likely to have a local birthing facility (Roife et al 2017). In addition, services were influenced by jurisdictional policy rather than identified need.

### 3.5 Adolescent mothers

Adolescent motherhood occurs more often within communities where poverty, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander status and rural/remote location intersect (Marino et al 2016). Adolescent pregnancy has been typically linked to a range of adverse outcomes for mother and child. In Australia, the proportion of births among adolescent women is higher among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women than among non-Indigenous women (17 vs 2%) (AIHW 2016a) and the risk of poorer psychosocial and clinical outcomes is greater if these women are not well supported during pregnancy and beyond (Reibel et al 2016). However, a study in the Northern Territory suggests that problems usually associated with Aboriginal adolescent births (such as low birth weight) are not due to maternal age but are related to the underlying poor health, socioeconomic disadvantage and a system that is challenged to support these young women, both culturally and medically (Barclay et al 2014).

Drawing on existing literature and consultations with young Aboriginal women and health professionals supporting pregnant Aboriginal women, a West Australian study found that engagement with the health system is encouraged and health outcomes for young mothers and their babies improved through destigmatising of young parenthood and providing continuity of caregiver in culturally safe services with culturally responsive health professionals (Reibel et al 2016). Another study noted the critical role of general practitioners in identifying at-risk adolescent women, preventing unintended adolescent pregnancy, clinical care of pregnant adolescents and promoting the health and wellbeing of adolescent mothers and their children (Marino et al 2016).
3.6 Improving outcomes

System-wide strategies to strengthen health centre and health system attributes that support best-practice antenatal health care for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women are needed. Some strategies can be implemented within health centres while others need partnerships with communities, external services and policy makers (Gibson-Helm et al 2016a).

Approaches to improving the health outcomes for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women and their babies in pregnancy include the following:

- systems-based approaches to address socioeconomic disadvantage, education and health literacy (Boyle & Eades 2016)
- health services approaches to provide trusted, welcoming and culturally appropriate health services in both community-controlled and government sectors, facilitate better communication between primary and hospital-based services and utilise initiatives such as continuous quality improvement practices that lead to improved services, particularly where staff turnover is high (Boyle & Eades 2016)
- families-based approaches, to address social and lifestyle factors (eg smoking prevention and quitting (Boyle & Eades 2016), drinking alcohol, social and emotional wellbeing and nutrition) (Gibson-Helm et al 2016a)
- clinical guidelines to address specific needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women in pregnancy (eg screening for infection in young women and women from areas where risk is high) (Boyle & Eades 2016)
- supports for the particular needs of rural and remote women in accessing care (eg access to ultrasound services) (Boyle & Eades 2016)
- strengthened systems to ensure workforce support, retention and recruitment; patient-centred care; and community capacity, engagement and mobilisation (Gibson-Helm et al 2016a).

3.7 Resources


Queensland Health Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Cultural Capability Framework 2010 to 2033

RACGP (2011) Cultural Awareness Education and Cultural Safety Training. The RACGP National Faculty of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health.


Walker R & Reibel T (2009) Developing Cultural Competence for Health Services and Practitioners. Background paper for the TICHR & Women’s and Newborn Health Network antenatal services and maternal services project.


3.7.1 Websites

HealthInfoNet
Closing the Gap
Maternity care in the bush
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4 Pregnancy care for migrant and refugee women

While many migrant and refugee women experience healthy pregnancies, issues associated with resettlement can contribute to poorer perinatal outcomes than those experienced by women in general. While the diversity of circumstances and experiences is acknowledged, this chapter highlights general considerations in improving the experience of antenatal care for migrant and refugee women.

The term ‘migrant and refugee’ is used in these Guidelines to refer both to women who are voluntary migrants and women who come to Australia as refugees, humanitarian entrants or asylum seekers. Migrants and refugees are also often referred to as people of culturally and linguistically diverse background, people from non-English-speaking backgrounds or people who speak a language other than English.

4.1 Background to culturally safe antenatal care

“Caring for individuals from diverse backgrounds is a daily reality for nurses and midwives, who are expected to provide care which is both clinically safe and culturally sensitive.” (Williamson & Harrison 2010)

Although a third of women who gave birth in Australia in 2014 were not born in Australia (AIHW 2016), there is little specific information on the pregnancy outcomes of migrant and refugee women. National data suggest similar rates of perinatal death among babies of women born in Australia and those born overseas (Li et al 2012). However, retrospective studies suggest that outcomes vary with country of birth (Drysdale et al 2012) and use of interpreters, but not refugee status (Thomas et al 2010).

There is significant heterogeneity among migrant and refugee women and their experience of antenatal care. Women bring with them the knowledge and practices from their home countries. Expectations of early antenatal attendance vary between countries. For example, more than half (57%) of women giving birth in New South Wales in 2004 who were originally from a developing country first attended for antenatal care later than 12 weeks in the pregnancy (Trinh & Rubin 2006). In New South Wales in 2006, 64.9% of mothers born in Melanesia, Micronesia and Polynesia and 72.8% of mothers born in the Middle East and Africa commenced antenatal care before 20 weeks gestation, compared with 89.6% of mothers born in English-speaking countries (CER 2007). Expectations of the birth experience are also strongly influenced by cultural views and practices (Hoang et al 2009).

An increasing proportion of refugee and humanitarian entrants to Australia come from Africa, the Middle East and Southeast Asia; about 30% are women aged 12–44 years (Correa-Velez & Ryan 2012). Refugee women are more likely than other women to have complex medical and psychosocial problems and may face additional barriers in accessing antenatal care (Correa-Velez & Ryan 2012).

4.1.1 Factors affecting uptake of antenatal care

Migrant and refugee women are diverse, and have differing issues and outcomes. As well as cultural background, women’s experiences differ with migration status, educational level and prior experience of pregnancy and birth. However, there are some common issues that can affect uptake of antenatal care by migrant and refugee women. These include (McCarthy & Barnett 1996; Carolan & Cassart 2010; Phiri et al 2010; Murray et al 2011; Boerleider et al 2013):

- migration factors: lack of knowledge of or information about the Western healthcare system (including rights in relation to tests and treatments); arriving in the new country late in pregnancy; history of grief, loss and/or trauma in addition to migration
- cultural factors: adherence to cultural and religious practices, poor language proficiency, lack of assertiveness, partner/family perception of antenatal care, perceiving pregnancy as not requiring health professional involvement, belief that antenatal care is more a burden than a benefit, belief that antenatal classes are not necessary, fear of coming into contact with government agencies
- position in host country: financial problems, unemployment, low or intermediate educational level, social inequality (education, economic resources and residence [rural or urban]), lack of time, lack of childcare, no medical leave from work
- social network: lack of usual female family and community support systems, isolated community
• **accessibility:** inappropriate timing and incompatible opening hours, transport and mobility problems, indirect discrimination, lack of suitable resources (eg female interpreters)
• **expertise:** health professional lacking knowledge of cultural practices
• **personal treatment and communication:** poor communication, perception of having been badly treated by a health professional.

Health care costs and access to health services can be an issue for some women. Women who are asylum seekers may be ineligible for either Medicare or Centrelink Health Care Cards. Women who are skilled migrants and international students may also have restricted health care access because they don't have Medicare entitlements. While overseas students are required to maintain Overseas Student Health Cover for the duration of their time in Australia, pregnancy-related services may not be covered in the first 12 months of membership.

Even when care can be accessed, women who have no previous experience with a western health care system may have limited understanding of reasons for antenatal visits, medical procedures and use of technology. They may not feel confident to ask questions or participate in discussions about their care plan or birth options. Different cultural beliefs may also influence aspects of antenatal care such as involvement of the father in pregnancy and childbirth, acceptance of tests and interventions, willingness to be cared for by a midwife rather than a doctor or a woman rather than a man, understanding of dates and times of appointments, and knowledge about medical aspects of pregnancy.

### 4.1.2 Issues affecting women from specific groups

Different groups of migrant and refugee women face specific issues that may affect their experience of pregnancy and birth. Increased awareness of such issues and the differences between groups will help to promote better antenatal care of women from migrant and refugee backgrounds.

• **Women who arrive in Australia as refugees:** Prior to migration, many refugees experience poor health (including oral health, co-existing health issues and inadequate nutrition) and experience poverty, discrimination, trauma and violence in their countries of origin and in countries of displacement. These experiences cause significant psychological distress, manifesting in symptoms of anxiety, depression, post-traumatic stress, poor sleep and concentration. These symptoms can continue to affect women’s lives as they face further emotional challenges in the resettlement period. Early intervention and referral to appropriate counselling services should always be offered and assistance in accessing services provided. Refugee women may fear authority figures, including health professionals, due to past experiences and may also have financial, employment and housing issues. Women in this situation will require reassurance and explanation of the care offered to them, including tests, procedures and pregnancy risks. More time may be needed, and specific strategies used (often in collaboration with other services and migrant agencies) to build necessary confidence and trust.

• **Women affected by Female Genital Mutilation/Cutting (FGM/C):** FGM/C is the collective term used to describe the cultural practice of cutting or removal of either a part, or the whole external female genitalia. Some of these procedures are minor, while others involve significant change and have an impact during the antenatal period. Depending on the degree of FGM/C, women may require referral to services offering specialised care and support. Some women may need to be deinfibulated to enable ongoing clinical assessment and avoid complications; this is usually performed in the second trimester but the first trimester is the optimum time to discuss the procedure.

• **Women in higher risk groups:** Some migrant and refugee groups have higher rates of risk factors such as gestational diabetes, smoking in pregnancy and vitamin D deficiency. Lifestyle advice should take cultural issues into account (eg giving culturally relevant nutritional advice on managing gestational diabetes and educating both women and men about passive smoking, as it may be men rather than women who smoke). Domestic violence is high among some communities, and may be hidden within the family structure and/or the community. Screening for conditions endemic in the woman’s country of origin may also be a consideration.

Health professionals are encouraged to develop an understanding of the issues facing families from the migrant and refugee groups that they regularly work with and to use this information to improve the care they provide.
4.2 Providing woman-centred care

“Establishing effective communication between a woman and her midwife [or other health professional] is essential for determining how culturally safe care can be instituted.”

(Carolan & Cassar 2010)

The fundamentals of providing care discussed in Chapter 2 apply to all women. This section discusses issues specific to providing appropriate antenatal care for migrant and refugee women.

4.2.1 Improving women’s experience of antenatal care

Taking an individualised approach

Factors that may improve the experience of antenatal care for migrant and refugee women include:

• taking the time to establish rapport and trust with each woman
• being conscious of the need to avoid making assumptions based on a woman’s culture, ethnic origin or religious beliefs
• explaining the woman’s entitlement to antenatal care and options for accessing it (eg community clinic or hospital-based setting)
• considering issues that may influence attendance at appointments, such as transport, cost considerations (access to Medicare rebates, need to attend a service that offers bulk billing, cost of procedures such as ultrasounds)
• considering a woman’s support network (eg support from partner, family and friends, and family dynamics)
• consulting the woman about whom she would like to involve in her care and, if necessary, advocating on her behalf so that she receives appropriate care throughout pregnancy
• respectfully exploring cultural and personal understanding and experience of pregnancy and appropriate self-care in pregnancy, and encouraging the woman to discuss anything she is worried or unsure about
• explaining frequently used terms that the woman is likely to hear at antenatal appointments with different health professionals
• explaining confidentiality and that the woman’s privacy will be respected
• checking the woman’s understanding of what has been discussed.

Practice point

B. The care needs of migrant and refugee women can be complex. The first point of contact (eg first antenatal visit) is important and care should be undertaken with an accredited health interpreter. Wherever possible, antenatal care should involve a multicultural health worker.

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019

Multicultural health workers

In many states and territories, roles such as multicultural health workers have been developed. Multicultural health workers (also known as bicultural health workers) assist people from migrant and refugee communities to access health services. For example, a multicultural health worker might support a woman to attend antenatal appointments by booking or confirming appointments, helping to fill out forms and questionnaires, assisting with transport and finding clinic locations. They may also provide services that are appropriate to women’s culture and language, such as referral, group work, health education and community development. While the multicultural health worker may communicate with the woman in her preferred language, the role differs from that of an interpreter in that a wider range of services is provided, and a continuing relationship is generally formed between the health worker and the woman and her family. While there is little evidence specific to antenatal care, a systematic review of the literature on culturally appropriate interventions to manage or prevent chronic disease in migrant and refugee communities found that the use of multicultural health workers can promote greater uptake of disease prevention strategies by migrant and refugee communities and translate into greater knowledge and awareness about services (Henderson et al 2011).
Providing information and support so that women can make decisions

It may be necessary to use a variety of means to communicate effectively with women from migrant and refugee backgrounds. Information should be explained carefully and clearly, with the assistance of an accredited health interpreter.

Some words cannot be interpreted easily, and the health professional may need to explain the concept or give examples. It is important to agree on a set of terms that are mutually understood and if necessary use pictures. For example, using charts and models to demonstrate particular body parts can reduce misinterpretation. Acronyms and abbreviations should be avoided, as these can be confusing.

Written information should also be provided; this can serve as a prompt or can be shown to other health professionals who can then remind the woman or explain the information again. Literacy levels in the woman’s own language should not be assumed. Video or audio resources may also be appropriate.

Interpreters

It is the responsibility of the health professional to make sure that communication is clear. Accredited health care interpreters assist by translating the discussion between the health professional and the woman, communicating with the woman in her preferred language either in person or through a telephone service. Involving an accredited interpreter, preferably with training in medical terminology, is recommended for all antenatal appointments if the health professional and the woman have difficulty communicating.

Interpreters accredited by NAATI (National Association of Accreditation for Translators and Interpreters) have been assessed as having a high level of technical competence in both English and one or more other languages and are bound by a code of ethics including strict confidentiality. However, there is a shortage of accredited interpreters, particularly for languages of new and emerging communities. While involvement of female interpreters is preferable in antenatal care, their availability may also be limited.

Non-accredited interpreters, including partners, family and friends, should not be used as they are less able to convey complex medical information in an accurate and non-emotive way. Their involvement may also discourage the woman from disclosing information fully, out of embarrassment or fear of breach of confidentiality. In emergency situations where the timing of decision-making is crucial, it may be necessary for non-accredited interpreters to assist with communication but it is not appropriate to involve people younger than 18 years of age in this role. Staff members who speak the relevant language may provide language assistance but should not be asked to act as interpreters. Organisational policy should be followed at all times and an accredited interpreter (in person or through a telephone service) sought as quickly as possible. Any decision to involve a non-accredited interpreter should be documented in the woman’s antenatal record.

Women may not request an interpreter as they believe there is a cost involved or be unaware that such a service exists. Women may also be sensitive about their level of English proficiency and may have concerns about confidentiality. However, it is important that the onus for using an interpreter is not on the woman.

Practice point

C. Health professionals should take the initiative in organising for an accredited health interpreter wherever necessary, and reassure the woman of the benefits if she is reluctant.

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019

The use of interpreters can be promoted by (CEH 2009):

- having translated information in community languages in the reception area, stating that accredited interpreters are available and free of charge
- advising individual women verbally that interpreters are available and free of charge
- including information about the code of ethics of accredited interpreters regarding confidentiality, accuracy and the procedure for working with interpreters.
Table A2: Involving an interpreter

| Suggest the use of an interpreter and, if the woman wants an interpreter, provide a female interpreter where possible |
| Do not use the woman’s partner, friends or relatives to act as interpreters unless absolutely necessary |
| Ask the woman simple questions about her personal details to assess her ability to communicate in English |
| A telephone interpreter could be introduced at this point if communication is difficult |
| Ask the woman what main language she speaks at home |
| Check if a dialect is spoken or if the woman is of a particular ethnicity |
| Explain that the use of an interpreter is just as important for your understanding as for her own |
| Decide which type of interpreter is going to be most suitable (eg telephone or onsite) |
| Consider confidentiality (eg in small communities, the woman may know the interpreter) |
| Consider ethnicity of the interpreter (eg when the woman and interpreter come from countries where there has been political or civil unrest) |

Source: Adapted from CEH (2009).

4.3 Service delivery issues for migrant and refugee women

“Pregnant women who are recent migrants, asylum seekers or refugees, or who have difficulty reading or speaking English, may not make full use of antenatal care services. This may be because of unfamiliarity with the health service or because they find it hard to communicate with healthcare staff.” (National Collaborating Centre for Women’s and Children’s Health 2010)

Experiences of antenatal care among migrant and refugee women may be improved through (State Perinatal Reference Group 2008):

- social support, for example through ethnic-specific cultural liaison officers and women’s groups, to maintain cultural connections with the traditions, birthing ceremonies and rituals of women’s countries of origin
- individualised care, informed by cultural awareness and understanding among health professionals, including knowledge of cultural traditions and practices relevant to pregnancy and birth and associated expectations of women, especially of groups in the local community
- a cross-cultural approach to communication based on recognition of the culture of the woman and the health professional
- cultural brokerage, for example through maternity liaison officers/multicultural health workers who can help women understand and navigate the health system, provide education and resources in relation to maternity care, act as a patient advocate and liaise between women and maternity staff, or through partnerships between English-speaking health professionals and multicultural resource centres
- education, including linguistically appropriate information, parenting education workshops, education about accessing the health system, the different models of care available, and education for fathers/partners on antenatal issues
- culturally appropriate resources, including materials available in the woman’s own language, resources in spoken format for women who lack literacy in their own languages, visual resources specifically designed to support antenatal care and access to accredited interpreter services during appointments or important events.

At a local level, individual services can assist health professionals by (National Collaborating Centre for Women’s and Children’s Health 2010):

- monitoring changing local needs and adjusting services accordingly
- maintaining accurate information about each woman’s current address and contact details during her pregnancy
- offering flexible services in the number and length of antenatal appointments when interpreting services are used
- assisting women to book in for their first antenatal appointment, particularly in areas where they are required to telephone a central service and be allocated an appointment at a specific hospital
• ensuring continuity of care wherever possible
• disseminating information about pregnancy and antenatal services, including how to find and use services, in a variety of formats, settings and languages.

4.4 Resources

4.4.1 Consumer resources
NSW Multicultural Health Communication Service: Pregnancy and postnatal topics

4.4.2 Health professional resources


Family Planning Victoria Female Genital Mutilation/Cutting resources
Mental Health in Multicultural Australia
Victorian Transcultural Mental Health (VTMH)

4.4.3 Interpreters

• Telephone Interpreting Service: Free interpreting services for non-English speaking Australian citizens and permanent residents communicating with general practitioners and medical specialists in private practice and their reception staff. 131 450

• Doctors Priority Line: A free telephone interpreting service for general practitioners and specialists providing services that are claimable under Medicare, delivered in private practices and provided to non-English speakers who are Australian citizens or permanent residents. The Doctors Priority Line is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week.

• NSW Health Standards Procedures for Working with Health Care Interpreters PD2006_053.

• Overseas Student Health Cover

4.5 References


5  Pregnancy care for women with severe mental illness

This Chapter discusses considerations in providing antenatal care for women with low prevalence mental health conditions (bipolar disorder, schizophrenia and borderline personality disorder). Screening for high prevalence conditions (depression and anxiety) is discussed in Chapter 27. Resources to assist in management of serious mental health disorders in the antenatal period are included in Section 0.

5.1  Impact of severe mental illness in pregnancy

“Mental health conditions in their more severe form are often associated with impaired functioning, especially in relation to a woman’s ability to care for her infant and the formation of secure infant attachment, which may in turn be associated with poorer social, cognitive, and behavioural outcomes in the child.” (1st 1001 Days APPG 2015)

5.1.1  Bipolar disorder and schizophrenia

Bipolar disorder is characterised by intense and sustained mood shifts usually between episodes of depression and mania. Schizophrenia is a complex condition of brain function with wide variation in symptoms and signs, and in the course of the illness. The experiential ‘core’ of schizophrenia has been described as a disturbance involving the most basic functions that give the person a feeling of individuality, uniqueness and self-direction (Galletly et al 2016).

The prevalence of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder in the general population is around 1 in 100 (Mitchell et al 2013; Galletly et al 2016). People with schizophrenia or bipolar disorder (in the general population) suffer from high rates of other mental health conditions, including depression and anxiety disorders (Merikangas et al 2011; Galletly et al 2016).

Relapse of these conditions during pregnancy is common, with 22.5% of diagnosed women in one study being admitted to a psychiatric hospital during pregnancy (38.6% of women with schizophrenia and 10.7% of women with bipolar disorder) (Nguyen et al 2013).

Women with bipolar disorder or schizophrenia are more likely than women in the general pregnant population to experience pregnancy complications (pre-eclampsia, gestational diabetes) (Nguyen et al 2013) and women with bipolar disorder may also be more likely to experience gestational hypertension, antepartum haemorrhage, severe fetal growth restriction (<25th-3rd centile) (although this may be related to smoking) and neonatal morbidity (Rusner et al 2016).

5.1.2  Borderline personality disorder

Borderline personality disorder is characterised by emotional dysregulation (poorly modulated emotional responses); efforts to overcome fear of abandonment; intense and unstable relationships; engaging in impulsive activities (eg substance use); talking about or engaging in self-harm and/or suicidal behaviours; inappropriate, intense anger or difficulty controlling anger; and transient, stress-related paranoid ideation or severe dissociative symptoms. Women who have borderline personality disorder have often experienced sexual, physical or emotional abuse or neglect in childhood. Estimated prevalence among women aged ≥25 years is 2.7% (95%CI 1.4 to 4.0) (Quirk et al 2016).

Women with borderline personality disorder during pregnancy have been found to be at increased risk of gestational diabetes, premature rupture of the membranes, chorioamnionitis, venous thromboembolism, caesarean section and preterm birth (Pare-Miron et al 2016). They may experience distress when touched, anticipate birth as traumatic and frequently request early delivery, comorbidity with substance abuse is common and rates of referral to child protective services high (Blankley et al 2015). Continuity of carer (the same person or small group of people) is likely to be helpful for women with this condition.

---

For women with borderline personality disorder who have often experienced complex trauma, trauma-informed care and specific support for health professionals in dealing with challenging behaviours is a priority.

The label ‘borderline personality disorder’ should be used with caution as it often has negative connotations (especially for health professionals) and may be associated with substantial stigma. Conversely, it is important to identify women with such a condition, as they, their families and treating health professionals will need additional resources and support over the antenatal period and beyond.

5.2 Planning antenatal care for women with severe mental illness

“While women with pre-existing severe mental illness may already be under the care of a GP and/or psychiatrist, specific consideration must be given to planning their antenatal care due to the complexity of these conditions and the substantial challenges for primary care professionals involved in their management.” (Austin et al 2017)

When planning antenatal care for women with severe mental illness, priority needs to be given to ensuring that health professionals involved in their care consider the complexity of these conditions and the challenges of living with them. Where available, involvement of specialist perinatal mental health services is advisable.

Key considerations in providing antenatal care to women with severe mental illness include:

- monitoring for early signs of relapse, particularly as medication is often ceased before or during pregnancy
- education about nutrition and ceasing smoking, substance use and alcohol intake in pregnancy
- monitoring for excessive weight gain and gestational diabetes in women taking antipsychotics, with consideration given to referral to an appropriate health professional if excessive weight gain is identified
- referral for multi-dimensional care planning early enough in the pregnancy (particularly if the pregnancy is unplanned) to build trusting relationships and develop a safety net for mother, baby and significant others.

For women with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder or borderline personality disorder, a multidisciplinary team approach to care in the antenatal period is essential, with clear communication, advance care planning, a written plan, and continuity of care across different clinical settings.

Where possible, health professionals providing care in the antenatal period should access training to improve their understanding of care for women with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and borderline personality disorder.

5.3 Resources


5.3.1 Consumer websites

Centre of Perinatal Excellence (COPE)

Black Dog Institute
Children of Parents with a Mental Illness (COPMI)
Mindhealthconnect
Perinatal Anxiety and Depression Australia (PANDA)

5.3.2 Mental health referral and advice

- The BeyondBlue website includes a directory of health professionals in mental health, including psychologists, social workers and mental health nurses.
- The headspace Knowledge Centre provides information about treatment interventions and models of care for young people with mental health and substance use issues.
• The Black Dog Institute offers education and training programs, resources and online learning for health professionals with a focus on depression and bipolar disorder.

• square (Suicide, Questions, Answers and Resources) is an integrated suicide prevention resource that is part of the National Suicide Prevention Strategy.

• The GP Psych Support service provides GPs with patient management advice from psychiatrists within 24 hours. Phone: 1800 200 588; Fax: 1800 012 422.

• Government funding to receive treatment from psychiatrists, psychologists and GPs, social workers is available through the Better Access Initiative (Medicare Items).

5.4 References


6 Other population groups with specific care needs

Within the diversity of women that make up the Australian population, some face greater disadvantage in terms of access to health services and may experience poorer perinatal outcomes (AWHN 2008). These include adolescent women and women living in rural and remote areas. This chapter discusses considerations in providing optimal antenatal care for these groups of women.

6.1 Adolescent women

While a higher risk of poor birth outcomes such as low birth weight is seen for births to teenage women, this is likely to be related more to the social circumstances of these young women, rather than their age.” (Middleton 2009)

The number of births to women aged younger than 20 years in Australia accounted for around 2% of non-Indigenous births and 17% of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander births (AIHW 2016a). Women younger than 20 years experience higher rates of stillbirth and neonatal deaths than Australian women in general (14.2 vs 9.6 per 1,000 births) (AIHW 2016a).

Adolescent women are likely to seek antenatal care later in pregnancy. In 2014, 47% of women aged younger than 20 attended antenatal care before 14 weeks of pregnancy, compared with 66% of women aged between 30 and 34 years (AIHW 2016b).

The high levels of social disadvantage, higher incidence of domestic violence, higher rates of smoking in pregnancy, lack of social supports and lower socioeconomic and education status of these women contribute to poorer outcomes. Young women still have their own developmental needs that should be addressed in addition to the needs related to the pregnancy. Whether the pregnancy is planned, unplanned or unwanted, and the need for reporting of sexual activity in a minor at risk, are also considerations.

6.1.1 Improving perinatal outcomes for adolescent women and their babies

In the context of growing recognition of young people’s need for services that are sensitive to their unique stage of biological, cognitive and psychosocial transition into adulthood (Tylee et al 2007), the World Health Organization identified that youth-friendly services need to be equitable, accessible, acceptable, appropriate, comprehensive, effective and efficient (WHO 2002). Key features of youth-friendly care include (WHO 2002; Tylee et al 2007):

• health professionals and support staff who are non-judgmental and considerate, treat each young person with equal care and respect, are competent, motivated and well supported
• health services that have an appealing ambience, convenient working hours, offer privacy and avoid stigma, and aim for short waiting times and (when needed) swift referral.

6.2 Women in rural and remote areas

“While it is generally accepted that women should have access to safe maternity care, consistent with their assessed level of risk, as close as possible to where they live, the options available to women differ according to where they live.” (DoHA 2008)

Women living in outer regional, remote or very remote areas may have difficulties accessing appropriate antenatal health care due to distance and limited availability of services. They may be required to give birth away from their communities, which can lead to extra financial costs, lack of practical and emotional support, isolation, lack of integrated care between systems, inappropriate or culturally unsafe health care, and temporary separation from older children (Perinatal Mental Health Consortium 2008).

Rates of neonatal death are significantly higher among women living in rural areas and rates of fetal death are higher among women living in remote areas (AIHW 2005). Rural and remote families also experience higher rates of maternal death. For example, the rate of direct maternal deaths is high in rural and remote areas (8% of direct maternal deaths in locations inhabited by 3% of the population) and proportionately high in outer regional areas (Sullivan et al 2008).
6.2.1 Providing integrated care in rural and remote areas

Care pathways in rural, remote and very remote Australia are different to those in urban settings and options can be limited. This has a particular impact on women and families living in these areas, a significant proportion of whom (23% in 2014) are Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women (AIHW 2016a).

In rural and remote settings, care is largely provided by the local primary care health professionals: midwives, nurses, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health workers, GPs, or a combination of these. It is important that these health professionals have access to specialist advice and support. Contemporary approaches including telemedicine, support lines and online services are becoming increasingly available and will be extremely valuable in rural and remote areas. Innovative models of care (e.g., specialist outreach services and caseload midwifery care) may also expand women’s possibilities to have care as close to home as possible. It is also important for health professionals in these areas to use family and community networks where possible and explore community initiatives and existing programs to improve pathways to care for women in their region.

6.3 References

PART B: CORE PRACTICES IN PREGNANCY CARE

7 Providing pregnancy care services

Different women have different needs in relation to pregnancy and childbirth and require access to appropriate levels of care (AHMAC 2008). The level of care determines whether the woman is at the right place, at the right time, with the right health professional, for her clinical needs. Models of care should, as far as possible, provide a range of options at the same time as closely matching quality services to clinical needs (AHMAC 2008).

7.1 Approaches to antenatal care

“A highly trained, qualified and effective primary maternity service workforce, working collaboratively, to use increasingly scarce respective skills efficiently, is the key to developing and sustaining quality primary maternity services.” (AHMAC 2008)

Australian women are likely to receive antenatal care in primary and hospital settings and to see a range of health professionals. Existing models of care include (Donnelly 2015):

- **Public hospital care**: the woman attends the hospital for all aspects of her antenatal care and receives care from hospital doctors and midwives
- **GP care**: the woman sees her GP throughout her pregnancy
- **Private obstetrician or private midwife care**: the woman sees her private obstetrician or midwife throughout her pregnancy
- **Private obstetrician and private GP**: the woman sees her GP regularly during the antenatal period with specific visits to an obstetrician
- **Shared care**: several health professionals are involved in the care of a woman during pregnancy, often in the context of a formal arrangement; health professionals involved may include GPs, midwives, other primary care health professionals, specialist obstetricians and hospital practitioners
- **Midwife care**: midwives are the primary providers of care for the woman; this may be through a team of midwives being responsible for care of a small number of women (team midwifery) or a woman receiving care from one midwife or his/her practice partner (caseload midwifery).

As well as these health professionals, others who may have an integral role in the antenatal care team where available include Aboriginal health workers, maternity liaison officers, bilingual or multicultural health workers and sonographers. Child and family health workers, psychologists, nutritionists and drug and alcohol workers may also play a role in a woman’s antenatal care.

7.1.1 Collaborative practice

Findings from several comprehensive Australian maternity reviews have confirmed the need for maternity services to work within collaborative and consultative frameworks, to more closely match services to women’s needs, preferences and expectations (AHMAC 2008). Midwives, obstetricians and GPs can all make valuable contributions to collaborative antenatal care (AHMAC 2008).

In maternity care, collaboration is a dynamic process of facilitating communication, trust and pathways that enable health professionals to provide safe, woman-centred care. Collaborative maternity care enables women to be active participants in their care (NHMRC 2010). It includes clearly defined roles and responsibilities for everyone involved in the woman’s care, especially for the person the woman sees as her maternity care coordinator (NHMRC 2010).

Collaboration also involves working within established clinical networks and systems to facilitate timely referral and transfer to appropriate services when required (AHMAC 2008). Collaborative networks within these systems are critical for enabling access to safe effective quality services (AHMAC 2008).

7.1.2 Continuity of care and carer

The benefits of midwifery continuity of care when providing maternity services are well documented (Sandall et al 2016; Homer 2016). Continuity of care is a common philosophy and involves shared understanding of care
pathways by all professionals involved in a women’s care, with the aim of reducing fragmented care and conflicting advice. Continuity of carer is when a health professional who is known by the woman provides all her care, thus enabling the development of a relationship.

Factors that may improve continuity of care include:

- sharing of information (eg through documenting of all assessments): this reduces the need for a woman to repeatedly “tell her story”
- collaborative development of management plans: this ensures that they are matched to locally available resources
- developing linkages and networks
- adapting approaches to care that are locally successful.

7.1.3 Providing antenatal care for women with complex social needs

For women with complex social needs, maternity care may be provided in partnership with other agencies including children's services, domestic violence teams, illegal substance use services, drug and alcohol teams, youth and adolescent pregnancy support services, learning disability services and mental health services (UK Dept Health 2007; cited in Homer et al 2008).

7.1.4 Antenatal groups

A model of antenatal education and support where women set the agenda (as opposed to being told what their health professionals decide they should know) can provide women with the opportunity to learn from each other and build their own support network (Catling et al 2015). Women may learn and retain knowledge more readily through hearing other women’s stories or experiences.

Antenatal groups may provide a sustainable alternative to the delivery of antenatal care for health services experiencing significant demand and limited resources. Antenatal groups can also be used to meet the needs of specific groups of women, such as adolescent women, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women, women from specific cultural and language backgrounds, refugee women and women experiencing social isolation. However, the WHO guidelines on antenatal care (WHO 2016) found that further research on the benefits of antenatal groups is required.

7.2 Resources
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8  Antenatal visits

Each antenatal visit should be structured around specific content that is based on the woman’s needs. Incorporating assessments and tests into visits minimises inconvenience to the woman.

While antenatal visits are well established as a means of improving perinatal outcomes, the number and timing of visits has been less studied (NICE 2008). Systematic reviews and observational studies tend to show an association between number of antenatal visits and/or gestational age at first antenatal visit and pregnancy outcomes (Dowswell et al 2015), although there are many differences in sociodemographic and risk profiles of women attending for antenatal care that may contribute to these findings (Hueston et al 2003).

8.1  Background

Almost all women (99.9%) who gave birth in Australia in 2014 had at least one antenatal visit (AIHW 2016):
- 95% had five or more visits
- 87% had seven or more visits
- 57% had ten or more visits (excludes data from Victoria).

Nationally, in 2014 (AIHW 2016):
- 43% of women attended at least one antenatal visit in the first 10 weeks of pregnancy
- 62% of women attended in the first trimester (less than 14 weeks)
- around one in eight women (12%) did not begin antenatal care until after 20 weeks’ gestation.

Women living in the lowest socioeconomic status (SES) areas began antenatal care later in pregnancy; just over half (55%) of women living in the lowest SES areas attended antenatal care in the first trimester compared with 68% in the highest SES areas in 2014 (AIHW 2016).

The proportion of women attending five or more antenatal visits varied slightly by remoteness and socioeconomic disadvantage (data exclude very preterm births and data from Victoria) (AIHW 2016):
- 96% of women living in major cities compared with 90% in very remote areas
- 96% of women living in the highest SES areas compared with 93% in the lowest SES areas.

Indigenous women were less likely to attend either an antenatal visit in the first trimester (53% compared with 60% of non-Indigenous women) or to attend five or more visits (86% compared with 95% of non-Indigenous women) (age-standardised) (AIHW 2016).

8.2  Number and timing of antenatal visits

A Cochrane review (Dowswell et al 2015), which included studies in high-, middle- and low-income countries, found no strong evidence of differences in the number of preterm births or low birth weight babies between groups receiving a reduced number of antenatal visits (eight visits in high-income countries and fewer than five visits in low-income countries) compared with standard care. However, there was some evidence that in low- and middle-income countries perinatal mortality may be increased with reduced visits. The number of inductions of labour and caesarean sections were similar in women receiving reduced visits compared with standard care.

Evidence concerning women’s preferences about the number of antenatal visits suggests that:
- for some women, the gap between visits was perceived as too long when the number of visits was lower than that traditionally offered (Dowswell et al 2015)
- women who were satisfied with a reduced number of antenatal visits were more likely to have a caregiver who both listened and encouraged them to ask questions than women who were not satisfied with reduced schedules (Clemet et al 1996)
women who were over 35 years of age, had previous pregnancies, were less educated or had more than two children preferred fewer appointments, whereas women who were less than 25 years of age, single or had a prior adverse pregnancy history indicated a preference for more appointments than the standard schedule (Hildingsson et al. 2002).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Determine the schedule of antenatal visits based on the individual woman’s needs. For a woman’s first pregnancy without complications, a schedule of ten visits should be adequate. For subsequent uncomplicated pregnancies, a schedule of seven visits should be adequate.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.2.1 Timing of initiation of antenatal care

The NICE guidelines suggest that the first antenatal visit occur before 10 weeks pregnancy due to the high information needs in early pregnancy. This also allows arrangements to be made for tests that are most effective early in the pregnancy (e.g., gestational age assessment, testing for chromosomal anomalies).

Consensus-based recommendation

1. At the first contact with a woman during pregnancy, make arrangements for the first antenatal visit, which requires a long appointment and should occur within the first 10 weeks.

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

8.2.2 Economic considerations

The NICE guidelines found inconclusive evidence regarding the cost-effectiveness of a reduced number of antenatal visits. Most of the existing research in developed countries is based on women assessed as at low risk of poor perinatal outcomes at first contact. The available evidence found that:

- providing routine antenatal care through five compared with eight visits did not affect maternal and perinatal outcomes and therefore was more cost effective (Villar et al. 2001)
- reduced costs associated with six or seven versus thirteen visits were offset by the greater number of babies requiring special or intensive care, although maternal satisfaction and psychological outcomes were poorer in women attending fewer visits (Henderson et al. 2000)
- although the average number of antenatal visits was lower in France than in England and Wales in 1970–80, there was no difference in pregnancy outcomes, suggesting that fewer visits would be more cost effective if only these outcomes are considered (Kaminski et al. 1988)
- there was no significant difference in the monetary value women placed on different providers of antenatal care (Ryan et al. 1997).

8.3 Discussing the schedule of antenatal visits with women

The first or another early contact with a woman provides an opportunity to assess the appropriate number of visits for her pregnancy. Considerations include:

- any conditions that may affect the pregnancy or the woman’s health and social and emotional wellbeing
- whether this is the first or a subsequent pregnancy
- the woman’s preferences for how antenatal care is provided.

This contact should be used to provide women with much of the information they need in early pregnancy. This includes explanation and appropriate written or other form of information about the different types of maternity care available and what each option entails. Information on each option of care should include:

- who the primary carer or carers will be and how they will care for the woman (one-to-one, as part of a team etc)
- the likely number, timing and content of antenatal visits
- place of labour and birth
- postnatal care and support.
**Consensus-based recommendation**

II. Early in pregnancy, provide women with information in an appropriate format about the likely number, timing and content of antenatal visits associated with different options of care and the opportunity to discuss this schedule.

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

8.4 **Content of the first antenatal visit**

The first contact with a woman in the antenatal period may be when she attends primary care to confirm the pregnancy. Women will either start antenatal care at that point or be referred to a maternity care provider or service; for example, the local hospital, midwife, obstetrician, GP or Aboriginal health service. Women intending to give birth in hospital will attend a booking visit. This may be their first visit at the hospital if they are receiving care through this service or later in pregnancy if they are receiving care through a private provider.

The first antenatal visit should be longer than most later visits because of the volume of information that needs to be exchanged in early pregnancy. If there is insufficient time in the first antenatal visit, another appointment can be arranged to cover “first visit” activities or these can be incorporated into care as the pregnancy progresses.

Women should be seen alone at least once during pregnancy, particularly during the first antenatal visit, as the presence of the woman’s partner may be a barrier to disclosure of domestic violence or other aspects of the woman’s personal history.

The need to discuss the many assessments and tests that are offered to women in the first trimester contributes to the length of the first visit. It is important to explain that no assessment or test is compulsory and that women have the right to make informed decisions.

Additional time may be required for the first antenatal visit for women who have:

- *limited experience of the health system or a limited understanding of health care processes*: clear explanation of the reasons for antenatal visits, the need for tests and screening and the use of technology is needed
- *limited understanding of English*: accredited interpreters should be involved and time for interpretation taken into consideration (see Section 4.2.1)
- *hearing impairment*: use of Auslan (Australian Sign Language) should be used to facilitate communication
- *past experiences that affect their trust in authorities or health professionals*: reassurance and explanation of the care being offered and collaboration with other services may be required to build necessary confidence and trust
- psychosocial circumstances that may mean they need more intensive psychosocial support (e.g. young women, women with vulnerabilities); or
- other conditions that usually require additional care (see Table B1).

**Table B1: Content of first antenatal visit**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Woman-centred care</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seek woman’s thoughts, views and opinions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ask open-ended questions and provide an opportunity to discuss issues and ask questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer verbal information supported by written or other appropriate form of information (on topics such as diet and lifestyle, available pregnancy care services, maternity benefits, screening and tests, breastfeeding)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss involvement of the woman’s partner/family in antenatal care, using gender neutral language until the gender of the partner is established</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide emotional support and empathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss any costs that may be involved in a woman’s antenatal care</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Undertake a comprehensive history**

- Current pregnancy (planned, unplanned, wishes to proceed with or terminate the pregnancy)
- Medical (history, medicines, family history [high blood pressure, diabetes, genetic conditions], cervical smears, immunisation, breast surgery)
- Obstetric (previous experience of pregnancy and birth)
- Infant feeding experiences
- Nutrition and physical activity
- Smoking, alcohol and other substance misuse
- Expectations, partner/family involvement, cultural and spiritual issues, concerns, knowledge, pregnancy, birth, breastfeeding and infant feeding options
- Factors that may affect the pregnancy or birth (eg female genital mutilation/cutting)
- Psychosocial factors affecting the woman’s emotional health and wellbeing
- The woman’s support networks and information needs

**Clinical assessment**

- Discuss conception and date of last menstrual period and offer ultrasound scan for gestational age assessment (carried out between 8 and 14 weeks of pregnancy)
- Measure height and weight and calculate body mass index and provide advice on appropriate weight gain
- Measure blood pressure
- Test for proteinuria
- Delay auscultation of fetal heart until after 12 weeks gestation if using a Doppler and 28 weeks gestation if using Doppler or a Pinard stethoscope
- Assess risk of pre-eclampsia and advise women at risk that low-dose aspirin from early pregnancy may be helpful in its prevention
- Assess risk of preterm birth and provide advice on risk and protective factors
- Administer the EPDS at this visit or as early as practical in pregnancy
- Ask questions about psychosocial factors that affect mental health

**Maternal health testing**

- Check blood group and antibodies, full blood count and haemoglobin concentration and consider testing ferritin in areas where prevalence of iron-deficiency anaemia is high
- Assess risk of diabetes and offer testing to women with risk factors
- Recommend testing for HIV, hepatitis B, hepatitis C, rubella non-immunity, syphilis, and asymptomatic bacteriuria
- Offer testing for gonorrhoea to women with identified risk factors
- Offer chlamydia testing to all women who are younger than 25 years
- In areas with a high prevalence of sexually transmitted infections, consider offering chlamydia and gonorrhoea testing to all pregnant women
- Offer testing for trichomoniasis to women who have symptoms
- Offer cytomegalovirus testing to women who have frequent contact with large numbers of very young children
- Offer thyroid function testing to women who have symptoms or high risk of thyroid dysfunction
- Only offer testing for vitamin D deficiency if there is a specific indication
- Offer testing for chromosomal anomalies
- Offer cervical screening to women who have not had a screen in the recommended period
- Advise women about measures to avoid toxoplasmosis or cytomegalovirus infection
## Assessment

**Estimated date of birth/gestational age**

**Risk factors:** physical, social, emotional

**Need for referral**

**Need for further investigation/treatment/preventive care**

## Actions

**Advice on options for antenatal care and place of birth**

**Referral if required**

**Further investigation as required**

**General advice (also for the partner/family): pregnancy symptoms, supplements, smoking, nutrition, alcohol, physical activity, substance use, dental visits**

**If required, access to counselling and termination (where permitted under jurisdictional legislation)**

**Preventive interventions:** folate, iodine, others as needed (eg iron supplement)

**Specific vaccinations including influenza and pertussis**

These Guidelines include recommendations on baseline clinical care for women with low-risk pregnancies but do not include information on the additional care that some women will require. Pregnant women with the conditions listed in Table B2 usually require care additional to that detailed in these Guidelines. Some resources that may assist in providing appropriate care are listed in Section 8.6.

### Table B2: Women who may require additional care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing conditions</th>
<th>Experiences in previous pregnancies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overweight or underweight</td>
<td>Termination of pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular disease (eg hypertension, rheumatic heart disease)</td>
<td>More than two miscarriages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other conditions (eg kidney disease; type 1 or type 2 diabetes; thyroid, haematological or autoimmune disorders; epilepsy; malignancy; severe asthma; HIV, hepatitis B or hepatitis C infection)</td>
<td>Preterm birth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health disorders</td>
<td>Pre-eclampsia or eclampsia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>Rhesus isoimmunisation or other significant blood group antibodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female genital mutilation/cutting</td>
<td>Uterine surgery (eg caesarean section)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mental health disorders</strong></td>
<td>Antenatal or postpartum haemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disability</strong></td>
<td>Postpartum psychosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female genital mutilation/cutting</strong></td>
<td>Four or more previous births</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experiences in previous pregnancies</strong></td>
<td>A stillbirth or neonatal death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Termination of pregnancy</strong></td>
<td>Gestational diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>More than two miscarriages</strong></td>
<td>Small or large-for-gestational-age baby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preterm birth</strong></td>
<td>Baby with a congenital anomaly (structural or chromosomal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre-eclampsia or eclampsia</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rhesus isoimmunisation or other significant blood group antibodies</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Uterine surgery (eg caesarean section)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antenatal or postpartum haemorrhage</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Postpartum psychosis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Four or more previous births</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A stillbirth or neonatal death</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gestational diabetes</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Small or large-for-gestational-age baby</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baby with a congenital anomaly (structural or chromosomal)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

## Previous major surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardiac (including correction of congenital anomalies)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal (eg bowel resection)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bariatric (gastric bypass, lap-banding)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gynaecological (eg myomectomy, cone biopsy, large loop excision of the transformation zone [LLETZ])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Lifestyle considerations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>History of alcohol misuse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use of recreational drugs such as marijuana, heroin, cocaine (including crack cocaine), amphetamines (eg ‘ice’) and ecstasy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Psychosocial factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Developmental delay or other disabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vulnerability or lack of social support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous experience of violence or social dislocation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Adapted from NICE (2008).

### 8.5 Planning for subsequent antenatal visits

Determining the pattern of visits and the activities that are undertaken at each visit requires flexibility. Care should be collaboratively planned with the woman based on the needs identified through assessments, with a focus on continuity of care wherever possible. Planning should also take into account the involvement of the woman’s partner/family. For women who start antenatal care late in pregnancy, arrangements will be needed to ‘catch up’ on information and assessments that are usually offered earlier in pregnancy.

At all visits, opportunities should be provided for the woman to share her expectations and experiences as well as discuss any issues and/or concerns that may have arisen since her last visit, including psychosocial support and mental health issues. Women should also be offered information on aspects of health in pregnancy and early parenthood (eg nutrition, alcohol, smoking, symptom relief if conditions common in pregnancy are being experienced, breastfeeding, reducing the risk of sudden and unexpected death in infancy [SUDI]). A woman’s confidence in her ability to labour, give birth and look after her new baby should be supported throughout antenatal care and antenatal education should also support her in preparing for changes to her life and her relationship with her partner and understanding the physical and emotional needs of the baby. The woman’s needs should dictate the type of information and support provided (eg while many women will benefit from written information, other forms of information such as audio or video are sometimes more suitable). The woman should also direct the type of issues and questions discussed.

Table B3 indicates appropriate stages of gestation for screening, tests and clinical assessments, although flexibility is needed. Different women will need different aspects of care at different times. If any assessments or tests identify a need for follow-up, additional visits may be required.

### Table B3: Additional specific activities at subsequent antenatal visits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>16-19 weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review, discuss and record the results of all tests undertaken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reassess planned pattern of care for the pregnancy and identify whether additional care or referral is needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess fetal growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer fetal anatomy scan to be carried out at 18-20 weeks gestation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer women the opportunity to be weighed, encourage self-monitoring of weight gain and discuss weight change, diet and level of physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-27 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess fetal growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss fetal movements: timing, normal patterns etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test for proteinuria in women who have clinical indications of pre-eclampsia (eg high blood pressure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer women the opportunity to be weighed, encourage self-monitoring of weight gain and discuss weight change, diet and level of physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test for hyperglycaemia between 24 and 28 weeks gestation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat ferritin testing if levels were identified as low in the first trimester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess fetal growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss fetal movements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test for anaemia, blood group and antibodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend Anti-D to rhesus-negative non-isoimmunised women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test for proteinuria in women who have clinical indications of pre-eclampsia (eg high blood pressure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer women the opportunity to be weighed, encourage self-monitoring of weight gain and discuss weight change, diet and level of physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test for hyperglycaemia if this has not already been tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enquire about mental health and administer the EPDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29-34 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess fetal growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss fetal movements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review, discuss and record the results of tests undertaken at 28 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reassess planned pattern of care for the pregnancy and identify women who need additional care, arranging referral if required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Give information, with an opportunity to discuss issues and ask questions on preparation for labour and birth, including the birth plan, recognising active labour and positively managing the pain of normal labour (this may need to take place earlier in remote areas)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss breastfeeding (eg skin-to-skin contact at birth, early feeding, rooming-in, attachment, exclusive breastfeeding, feeding on demand, partner support); discuss safe infant formula feeding if a woman chooses to formula feed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test for proteinuria in women who have clinical indications of pre-eclampsia (eg high blood pressure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer women the opportunity to be weighed, encourage self-monitoring of weight gain and discuss weight change, diet and level of physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer repeat ultrasound at 32 weeks to women whose placenta extended over the internal cervical os (the opening of the cervix into the vagina) in the 18-20 week scan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend a second dose of Anti-D to rhesus-negative non-isoimmunised women at 34 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-37 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess fetal growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss fetal movements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Give information, including care of the new baby, reducing risk of sudden and unexpected death in infancy (SUDI), newborn screening tests and vitamin K prophylaxis, psychosocial support available in the postnatal period including maternal and child health services and psychosocial supports, with an opportunity to discuss issues and ask questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess fetal presentation by abdominal palpation from 36 weeks and confirm suspected malpresentation by ultrasound</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For women whose babies are not a cephalic presentation, discuss a range of options, including external cephalic version for breech presentation.

Offer testing for Group B streptococcus if organisational policy is to routinely test all women.

Measure blood pressure.

Test for proteinuria in women who have clinical indications of or risk factors for pre-eclampsia (eg high blood pressure).

Offer women the opportunity to be weighed, encourage self-monitoring of weight gain and discuss weight change, diet and level of physical activity.

### 38-40 weeks

**Assess fetal growth**

Give information, including normal length of pregnancy and onset of labour, with an opportunity to discuss any fears and worries and ask questions.

Discuss fetal movements, including the need for prompt contact with a health professional if there are any concerns about reduced or absent movements.

Measure blood pressure.

Test for proteinuria in women who have clinical indications of pre-eclampsia (eg high blood pressure).

Offer women the opportunity to be weighed, encourage self-monitoring of weight gain and discuss weight change, diet and level of physical activity.

**Women who have not given birth by 41 weeks**

Give information, including discussion about options for prolonged pregnancy (eg membrane sweeping), with an opportunity to discuss issues and ask questions.

Discuss fetal movements, including the need for prompt contact with a health professional if there are any concerns about reduced or absent movements.

Measure blood pressure.

Test for proteinuria in women who have clinical indications of pre-eclampsia (eg high blood pressure).

Offer women the opportunity to be weighed, encourage self-monitoring of weight gain and discuss weight change, diet and level of physical activity.

### 8.6 Resources


### 8.6.1 Nutrition and physical activity

See Section 11.6

### 8.6.2 Prevention


Safe sleeping resources.

### 8.6.3 Family violence

See Section 29.4

### 8.6.4 Sexually transmitted infections
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Preparing for pregnancy, childbirth and parenthood

Structured antenatal education that is suited to the individual can help women to be informed about pregnancy, birth and parenting. Psychological preparation for parenthood may have benefits for parents’ mental health, parenting and infant development.

9.1 Background

Structured education in preparation for childbirth and parenthood has come about as traditional methods of information sharing have declined (Gagnon & Sandall 2007). Many maternity health care providers, including public health departments, hospitals, private agencies and charities, and obstetricians’ and midwives’ practices, provide antenatal education for expectant parents. Antenatal education may be delivered one-on-one or in groups (eg in a women’s group, couples’ workshop or a class situation).

Antenatal education programs have a range of aims including (Gagnon & Sandall 2007):

- influencing health behaviours
- preparing women and their partners for childbirth, including building women’s confidence in their ability to labour and give birth
- preparing women for the pain of labour and supporting their ability to give birth without pain relief (Leap et al 2010)
- discussing breastfeeding
- enhancing maternal-fetal relationship (Rackett & Holmes 2010)
- preparing for parenthood (eg changes in relationships, physical and emotional needs of the baby, balancing the needs of the newborn and other children) and promoting confident parenting
- developing social support networks
- contributing to reducing perinatal morbidity and mortality.

Antenatal education programs generally cover a range of topics and may include:

- physical wellbeing (nutrition, physical activity, smoking, alcohol, oral health)
- emotional wellbeing and mental health during pregnancy and after the baby is born (maternal-fetal attachment, adapting to change, expectations, coping skills, knowing when to get help)
- labour (stages of labour, positions, breathing and relaxation, support, pain relief)
- birth (normal birth, assisted births, caesarean section, perineal tears)
- options for women with previous pregnancy or birth complications
- breastfeeding (skin-to-skin contact, benefits of early breastfeeding, attachment, breastfeeding as the physiological norm)
- early parenthood (normal newborn behaviour, settling, sleep safety, immunisation, infant attachment)
- ways to find support and build community networks after the baby is born.

Antenatal couple education programs, which aim to enhance the couple relationship and the parent-child relationship, are also available.

9.2 Discussing antenatal education

The evidence on antenatal education is heterogeneous, with outcomes measured including experience of birth and parenting, postnatal mental health and experience of antenatal education.

9.2.1 Knowledge and health behaviours

A Cochrane review found that women gain knowledge from antenatal education but that the effect of this knowledge on childbirth or parenthood remains largely unknown (Gagnon & Sandall 2007). A prospective cohort study found that 74% of first-time mothers considered that antenatal education helped them to prepare for childbirth but only 40% considered that the education helped them prepare for parenthood (Fabian et al 2005).
Low level evidence suggests that antenatal education may improve some health behaviours during pregnancy (eg nutrition, physical activity) (Mirmolaei et al 2010) and in early parenthood (eg prevention of sudden unexpected death in infancy [SUDI]) (Hesselink et al 2012).

9.2.2 Birth experience and outcomes

Studies have found no statistically significant difference in the overall birth experience between women who participate in antenatal education programs and those who do not (Fabian et al 2005; Bergstrom et al 2009; Maimburg et al 2010). Studies into specific outcomes have found the following.

- **Mode of birth**: There is mixed evidence on the effect of antenatal education on mode of birth (Ferguson et al 2013). Antenatal education does not appear to significantly affect mode of birth among women in general (Fabian et al 2005; Gagnon & Sandall 2007) or among women with a previous caesarean section (Gagnon & Sandall 2007). Specific education on bearing down technique in labour did not affect mode of birth (Phipps et al 2009). Including a component on the risks of induction in antenatal education decreased rates of non-medically indicated elective induction of labour (Simpson et al 2010).

- **Pain**: One study found that women who participated in antenatal education experienced lower levels of pain during birth (Ip et al 2009). Others have reported that participating women had lower epidural analgesia use (Maimburg et al 2010), higher analgesia use (Fabian et al 2005) or there was no difference in epidural analgesia use (Bergstrom et al 2009) or overall pain relief (Maimburg et al 2010).

- **Self-diagnosis of labour**: Women given education about self-diagnosis of labour pains had a higher rate of correct self-diagnosis than women who did not (Lumluk & Kovavisarach 2011). However, a small systematic review found no evidence of criteria for identifying labour (Lauzon & Hodnett 2009).

While the overall experience and outcomes of birth do not seem to be affected by antenatal education, there is some evidence that it reduces anxiety about the birth (Maestas 2003; Ahmadian heris et al 2009; Ip et al 2009; Artieta-Pinedo et al 2010; Ferguson et al 2013), increases use of coping strategies (Escott et al 2005) and partner involvement (Ferguson et al 2013) and that participants experience greater childbirth self-efficacy (Ip et al 2009).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Advise parents that antenatal education programs are effective in providing information about pregnancy, childbirth and parenting but do not influence mode of birth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.2.3 Psychological preparation for parenthood

Studies into the inclusion of psychological preparation for parenthood in antenatal care vary in the content covered. Studies have found that at 6 weeks after the birth:

- women with depression antenatally who participated in antenatal group education focusing on coping skills, recognising distress and seeking help had a reduced risk of subsequent postnatal depression (odds ratio [OR] 0.83; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.65-0.98; n=1,719) (Kozinszky et al 2012)

- women who participated in antenatal sessions focusing on coping skills, cognitive restructuring, problem-solving and decision-making skills had an overall reduction in depressive symptoms compared with women in the control group (mean Chinese EPDS score 6.5 vs 8.9) and the effect persisted at 6 months (5.8 vs 7.6; n=184) (Ngai et al 2009)

- women who participated in antenatal interpersonal psychotherapy had fewer depressive symptoms (changes in EPDS score: -1.56 vs 0.94; n=194) and greater satisfaction with interpersonal relationships than women who received only antenatal education (Gao et al 2010)

- antenatal education on psychosocial issues associated with parenthood had a positive effect on mood (mean EPDS score 4.5 compared with 11.4 at baseline; n=268) in women who reported low self-esteem antenatally (but not those with medium or high self-esteem antenatally) and partners were significantly more aware of the woman’s experience of parenthood (Matthey et al 2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Include psychological preparation for parenthood as part of antenatal care as this has a positive effect on women’s mental health postnataally.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Small randomised controlled trials (RCTs) have reported benefits from antenatal couple education programs that aim to enhance the couple relationship and the parent-child relationship (Shapiro & Gottman 2005; Feinberg et al 2010; Shapiro et al 2011; Petch et al 2012).

9.2.4 Parents’ experience of antenatal education

Parents have expressed satisfaction with antenatal education as preparation for childbirth (Fabian et al 2005; Bergstrom et al 2011). Mothers who were young, single, with a low level of education, living in a small city or who smoked were less likely to find the classes helpful (Fabian et al 2005). Male participants valued the inclusion of an all-male session (Friedewald et al 2005).

Studies into parents’ preferences for antenatal education have found that the following factors are valued:

- **style of education**: information provided by a health professional in person rather than sole use of other impersonal media (Nolan 2009) and using a range of learning strategies (Svensson et al 2008)
- **discussion**: parents value being encouraged to ask questions, seek clarification, and relate information to their own circumstances (Svensson et al 2006; Nolan 2009)
- **social networking**: one of the core aims of antenatal education is to assist women to develop social support networks (Fabian et al 2005; Svensson et al 2006; Svensson et al 2008)
- **group size**: small peer groups encourage participants to get to know and support each other, while larger groups make it harder for women to ask questions (Nolan 2009)
- **practising skills**: parents value experiential learning with plenty of opportunity to practise hands-on skills (Svensson et al 2006; Svensson et al 2008)
- **content**: parents have expressed a preference for antenatal education to include more information on psychoprophylaxis during labour (Bergstrom et al 2011), psychological care (Holroyd et al 2011), preparation for parenthood (Svensson et al 2006; Bergstrom et al 2011; Holroyd et al 2011) and breastfeeding (Svensson et al 2006)
- **timing of education**: education is helpful early in pregnancy when information needs are high (Svensson et al 2006), with a component offered postnatally (Nolan 2009; Svensson et al 2009).

**Practice point**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G.</th>
<th>Assisting parents to find an antenatal education program that is suitable to their learning style, language and literacy level may improve uptake of information.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3 Practice summary: antenatal education

**When**: At an early antenatal visit

**Who**: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker

- **Discuss the benefits of antenatal education**: Explain that, while antenatal education is unlikely to change the mode of birth, it may help women to prepare for the birth. It is also a good opportunity to establish a network of peers and to develop skills for adapting to parenthood.

- **Involv e partner and/or family**: Discuss the benefits of partners and/or other family members attending antenatal education with the woman.

- **Provide information**: Support antenatal education by asking women about any topics on which they would like additional information and suggesting or providing appropriate resources (eg written materials suitable to the woman’s level of literacy, audio or video, web sources).

- **Take a holistic approach**: Give information about locally available antenatal education programs and assist women to select a program that is suitable for them. Give expectant parents booklets/ handouts relating to emotional health and wellbeing during pregnancy and early parenthood.
9.4 Resources

9.4.1 Consumer resources

- Pregnancy, birth and baby website, or Helpline 1800 882 436
- National Prescribing Service
- Better Health Channel
- Smart Eating for You
- Raising children
- Australian Breastfeeding Association
- Healthinsite
- Eat for Health
- Safe infant sleeping

Resources specific to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women
NSW Health Strong Women Strong Babies Pregnancy Diary.
Queensland Centre for Mothers and Babies. Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Resources.
Western Australia Department of Health. Having a Baby — Aboriginal resources.

Multicultural resources

- Multicultural Health (Queensland Health): Pregnancy and postnatal topics
- NSW Multicultural Health Communication Service: Pregnancy and postnatal topics

Mental health resources, referral and advice

See Section 0

Sources of reliable online health information

- Health on the Net Foundation
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10 Preparing for breastfeeding

Assisting women to plan for breastfeeding by providing information and support may increase initiation and duration of breastfeeding, with health benefits for mother and infant.

10.1 Background

In Australia, the Australian National Breastfeeding Strategy 2010-2015 (AHMC 2009) (a revised strategy is under development) and the Infant Feeding Guidelines (NHMRC 2012) provide guidance on supporting breastfeeding at primary care, hospital and government levels.

10.1.1 Health benefits of breastfeeding

A large body of Australian and international evidence shows that breastfeeding is of significant benefit to babies and mothers (AHMC 2009).

- **Babies**: Breastfeeding has a range of benefits for the developing baby, including improved visual acuity, psychomotor development (Horta et al 2007) and cognitive development (Kramer et al 2008). Breastfed babies have a reduced risk of a range of serious illnesses and conditions such as gastroenteritis, respiratory illness, otitis media, allergy and sudden unexpected death in infancy (SUDI) (Ip et al 2007). They are also less likely to develop chronic disease later in life (Horta et al 2007; Ip et al 2007).

- **Mothers**: Breastfeeding promotes faster maternal recovery from childbirth and return to pre-pregnancy weight and delays return of menstrual periods. Women who have breastfed have reduced risk of breast and ovarian cancer in later life (NHMRC 2011).

- **Mother-infant attachment**: Breastfeeding may assist bonding and attachment between mothers and babies (NHMRC 2011).

Exclusive breastfeeding (no solids or liquids besides human milk, other than vitamins and medications) for 6 months has several advantages over exclusive breastfeeding for 3 to 4 months, including reduced risk of gastrointestinal and respiratory infection (Kramer & Kakuma 2007). No adverse effects on growth have been documented with exclusive breastfeeding for 6 months, but a reduced level of iron has been observed in developing-country settings (Kramer & Kakuma 2007).

10.1.2 Initiation and duration of breastfeeding in Australia

The Australian Infant Feeding Guidelines recommend exclusive breastfeeding for 6 months and continuing breastfeeding for one year or for as long as mother and child desire (NHMRC 2012). The 2010 Australian National Infant Feeding Survey found that breastfeeding was initiated for around 96% of infants and that around 90% of infants received breast milk as their first feed (AIHW 2011). Figure 10.1 shows rates of exclusive and any breastfeeding over the first year of life from the survey.

Figure B1: Duration of exclusive and any breastfeeding among babies aged 0–12 months, 2010

Note: Rates of exclusive breastfeeding were reported up to each month of age (e.g., an infant who received fluids other than breast milk at 5 months of age was exclusively breastfed for >6 months). Any breastfeeding was reported at <1 month (age 0) and then in completed months.

Source: AIHW 2011.
There are regional and jurisdictional variations in rates of breastfeeding (AHMC 2009). Rates of breastfeeding are also influenced by other demographic factors (AIHW 2011).

- **Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander mothers:** The 2010 National Infant Feeding Survey found that 59% of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander infants were exclusively breastfed at less than 1 month, 33% at less than 3 months and 7% at less than 6 months (DoHA 2012). Rates of ‘any breastfeeding’ were higher in advantaged areas than disadvantaged areas (99% vs 93%) (DoHA 2012). Recent studies in Aboriginal health services in Darwin (Josif et al 2012) and Brisbane (Stapleton et al 2011) have found rates of exclusive breastfeeding on discharge from hospital of 88% and 69%, respectively. A survey conducted in Western Australia in 2000-2002 found that breastfeeding duration increased with remoteness (Cromie et al 2012).

- **Country of origin:** Evidence is mixed as to whether breastfeeding rates among migrant and refugee women are comparable to the general Australian population rates, with studies finding no difference in rates of exclusive breastfeeding on discharge from hospital (Dahlen & Homer 2010) but lower rates of breastfeeding at 3 months among migrant and refugee women (Stephens 2001). It has been reported that breastfeeding practices vary between different cultural groups in Australia, reflecting trends in their countries of origin (AHMC 2009).

- **Age:** In 2010, breastfeeding at 6 months was reported by 64.1% of women aged more than 35 years, 63.5% of women aged 30-35 years, 56.7% of women aged 25-29 years and 39.1% of women aged 24 years or younger (AIHW 2011).

- **Socioeconomic status:** In 2010, breastfeeding at 6 months was reported by 68.7% of women in the least disadvantaged quintile and 52.4% in the most disadvantaged quintile (AIHW 2011).

- **Education:** In 2010, breastfeeding at 6 months was reported by 73.1% of women with a Bachelor degree or higher, 52.0% of women with Year 12 or equivalent and 40.3% of women who did not complete Year 12 (AIHW 2011).

### 10.1.3 Factors affecting establishment of breastfeeding

The Infant Feeding Guidelines identify a range of factors that affect establishment of breastfeeding (NHMRC 2012):

- caesarean section
- separation of mother and baby (eg not ‘rooming in’)
- early use of bottles or pacifiers (dummies)
- offering supplementary feeds (water, glucose or formula milk) when there is no medical reason.

The Baby Friendly Hospital Initiative, which aims to support successful initiation and maintenance of breastfeeding, recommends that women be assisted to initiate breastfeeding within 1 hour of birth and given advice on maintaining lactation.

### 10.1.4 Factors affecting decision-making about breastfeeding

Environmental factors and societal considerations have an impact on a mother’s commitment to and ability to continue breastfeeding (AHMC 2009). Factors that negatively influence initiation and continuation of breastfeeding include (Qld Health 2003):

- **physical:** maternal obesity, maternal diabetes, low birth weight or prematurity, multiple birth, congenital anomalies, cracked nipples, separation of mother and baby after birth leading to a delay in onset of milk (eg following caesarean section)
- **psychological:** lack of confidence in breastfeeding, personal image, depression and anxiety
- **social:** maternal attitude (eg lack of intention to breastfeed), knowledge and attitude of partner and family, community customs and traditions, cultural attitudes to breastfeeding, isolation from family or community, relationship problems, public perceptions, return to work
- **environmental:** overcrowding in the home environment, lack of facilities to breastfeed in public areas, employment and work environments that do not support breastfeeding.

Some women (eg adolescent women, young Aboriginal and Torres Strait islander women) experience a cluster of these factors, which can influence their decisions about continuing breastfeeding.
A planned approach and continuity of care and support during pregnancy, birth and early parenthood can ensure that women receive opportunities for education, consistent advice, and appropriate support to continue breastfeeding that considers their individual situation.

**Maternal conditions and breastfeeding**
The Infant Feeding Guidelines (NHMRC 2012) advise that:
- women with HIV should avoid breastfeeding if replacement feeding is acceptable, feasible, affordable, sustainable and safe
- women with hepatitis B or hepatitis C can breastfeed without risk of transmission to the baby.

**Tobacco, alcohol and illicit drugs**
The Infant Feeding Guidelines (NHMRC 2012) advise that:
- breastfeeding remains the best choice, even if the mother continues to smoke
- not drinking alcohol is the safest option for women who are breastfeeding
- illicit drugs should be avoided while breastfeeding (specialist advice is needed for each individual).

### 10.2 Antenatal breastfeeding promotion

The objectives of antenatal breastfeeding promotion are to (AHMC 2009):
- provide opportunities for pregnant women and their families to learn about the benefits of breastfeeding
- encourage and enable pregnant women to make informed decisions about breastfeeding
- encourage families and support networks to appreciate the benefits of breastfeeding.

Health professionals have a responsibility to promote breastfeeding first but to educate parents individually about formula feeding where it is needed. This responsibility is outlined in the WHO International Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes and the Australian Infant Feeding Guidelines (NHMRC 2012).

#### 10.2.1 Effect on initiation and duration of breastfeeding

Systematic reviews have shown that antenatal breastfeeding promotion can be effective in increasing initiation rates and duration of breastfeeding, especially among groups of women with low breastfeeding rates (Dyson et al 2005; Renfrew et al 2005; Chung et al 2008; Lumbiganon et al 2011). Evidence from small RCTs (Bonuck et al 2005; Kupratakul et al 2010; Rasmussen et al 2011) and lower level studies (Reeve et al 2004; Gill et al 2007; Lin et al 2008; Spiby et al 2009; Ingram et al 2010) is inconsistent.

A combination of antenatal and postnatal interventions increases the initiation and duration of breastfeeding (Chung et al 2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Routine offer education about breastfeeding as part of antenatal care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 10.2.2 Models of care

Studies evaluating breastfeeding promotion interventions have found that:
- initiation rates were significantly improved by antenatal interventions, including health professional support (Dyson et al 2005), peer support/counselling (Dyson et al 2005; Chung et al 2008; Lumbiganon et al 2011) and education sessions for fathers (Wolfsberg et al 2004)
- duration of exclusive breastfeeding was improved by antenatal group education about breastfeeding (Lumbiganon et al 2011), health professional support provided antenatally (Lumbiganon et al 2011) and home visits both antenatally and postnatally (Anderson et al 2005)
- duration of ‘any breastfeeding’ was improved by antenatal group education about breastfeeding (Rosen et al 2008), health professional support provided antenatally (Lumbiganon et al 2011; Pannu et al 2011), peer support (Kaunonen et al 2012) and home visits provided both antenatally and postnatally (Kemp et al 2011)
- combined antenatal and postnatal group education about breastfeeding and peer counselling for adolescent women positively influenced duration of breastfeeding (Wambach et al 2011).
Although peer support interventions increase breastfeeding continuation in low- or middle-income countries, especially exclusive breastfeeding, the effect does not seem to be as strong in high income countries (Jolly et al 2012).

Educational materials provided antenatally were effective when combined with counselling but not as a stand-alone intervention (Mattar et al 2007).

A combination of methods of education and support is more effective than a single method (Hannula et al 2008). A collaborative approach to breastfeeding promotion that involves local health professionals may be more effective than a breastfeeding expert approach (Hoddinott et al 2007).

There is no evidence to support antenatal breast examinations as a means of promoting breastfeeding (Lee & Thomas 2008).

10.3 Discussing breastfeeding

Discussing breastfeeding is an important part of antenatal care. As the preparatory stage for breastfeeding, the goal is to enable women to develop knowledge and commitment and establish or consolidate support networks (AHMC 2009). A commitment to breastfeeding includes viewing it as the biological and social norm for infant and young child feeding. The extent to which a mother commits to breastfeeding can influence the duration of breastfeeding (Shealy et al 2005).

Discussion of breastfeeding should involve partners and cover:

- the health benefits of breastfeeding for the infant (eg lower risk of infection) and the mother (eg improved recovery from childbirth and return to pre-pregnancy weight, reduced risk of pre-menopausal breast cancer)
- a woman’s previous experiences of breastfeeding and any concerns related to these
- how partners can support the mother to breastfeed and be involved in other aspects of baby care (eg bathing, nappy changing)
- the importance of uninterrupted skin-to-skin contact at birth and early breastfeeding, including the benefits of colostrum for the infant
- that it is recommended that babies be exclusively breastfed for 6 months and that breastfeeding continue for one year or for as long as mother and child desire
- the importance of good positioning and attachment, rooming in and feeding on demand
- indications that the baby is ready for a feed and is receiving enough milk
- the need to avoid bottles, teats and dummies while breastfeeding is being established
- that water is not necessary for the baby: breast milk is sufficient food and drink for the first 6 months
- the importance of healthy eating (see Section 11.1.2) and iodine supplementation (see Section 11.3.2) when breastfeeding
- when to seek advice (eg while some discomfort is not unusual at initiation, advice on attachment should be sought if pain continues)
- the availability of breastfeeding support locally (eg peer support, lactation consultant).

Women may choose not to breastfeed for a range of reasons (eg anxiety, medication use) and the discussion should be approached with sensitivity to these issues. A mother’s informed decision not to breastfeed should be respected and support and information from a health worker and/or other members of the multidisciplinary team provided (NHMRC 2012).

Some centres encourage women to express and store colostrum before the birth so that it can be provided to the baby if needed (eg if the mother has insulin treatment for diabetes). While the benefits of early colostrum are well documented (NHMRC 2012), the benefits of antenatal breast expression are yet to be substantiated (Chapman et al 2012). However, it does not appear to be harmful among women with diabetes in pregnancy who are at low risk of complications (Forster et al 2017).
10.4 Practice summary: breastfeeding

When: At all antenatal visits

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker; lactation consultant; peer breastfeeding counsellor; childbirth educator; accredited dietitian

☐ Discuss why breastfeeding from birth is important: It is important to provide consistent information on the health benefits to baby and mother. Explain that exclusive breastfeeding is biologically and nutritionally appropriate to support growth for 6 months and means that the baby receives only breast milk (ie no other liquids or solids except vitamins or medications if indicated).

☐ Provide practical advice: Give information about local support for timely assistance with breastfeeding difficulties (eg postnatal home visits, lactation consultants, Australian Breastfeeding Association, peer support).

☐ Involve partner or family: Discuss the importance of support for the mother to enable breastfeeding.

☐ Provide information: Give booklets/handouts relating to breastfeeding that are appropriate for the woman. Information should be available in a language that is understood. All information should be free of marketing for formula, bottles and teats.

☐ Take a holistic approach: In discussing breastfeeding, do not assume that a woman knows how to breastfeed. Reinforce positive attitudes to breastfeeding and tailor advice and support to a woman’s individual circumstances, including cultural background. Be aware of different beliefs and cultural practices and explore these with women during pregnancy. Discuss solutions for potential difficulties (eg need to return to work).

☐ Document discussions: Note a woman’s intentions about breastfeeding in her antenatal record. The use of a checklist may provide a prompt for health professionals to ensure discussion regarding feeding intentions has taken place.

10.5 Resources


Australian Breastfeeding Association


10.6 References


PART C: LIFESTYLE CONSIDERATIONS

This section discusses lifestyle factors that contribute to the health and wellbeing of a woman and her baby during pregnancy. Recommendations are based on evidence about the health risks and benefits associated with a range of lifestyle factors.

Table C1 provides a summary of advice on lifestyle considerations during pregnancy considered a priority for inclusion in these Guidelines. Advice on immunisation during pregnancy is included in the Australian Immunisation Handbook (ATAGI 2017).

Table C1: Summary of advice for women about lifestyle considerations during pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health behaviours</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating the recommended number of daily serves of the five food groups and drinking plenty of water is important during pregnancy</td>
<td>11.1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional serves of the five food groups may contribute to healthy weight gain in women who are underweight but these should be limited by women who are overweight or obese</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small to moderate amounts of caffeine are unlikely to harm the pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low to moderate-intensity physical activity during pregnancy has a range of benefits and is not associated with negative effects on the pregnancy or baby</td>
<td>11.1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco smoking</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking and passive smoking can have negative effects on the pregnancy and the baby</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not drinking alcohol is the safest option for women who are pregnant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance use</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit substances and non-medical use of medications (eg opioids) have negative effects on the pregnancy and the baby</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventive health interventions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folic acid</td>
<td>11.3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folic acid taken preconception and in the first trimester reduces the risk of a baby having neural tube defects and a supplement of 500 mcg a day is recommended</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other vitamins</td>
<td>11.3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplements of vitamins A, C and E are not of benefit during pregnancy and may cause harm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>11.3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing intake of iron-rich foods reduces the risk of iron deficiency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unnecessary iron supplementation offers no benefit and has side effects at higher doses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For women with low dietary intake, intermittent supplementation is as effective as daily supplementation in preventing iron-deficiency anaemia, with fewer side effects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For women with identified iron-deficiency anaemia, low-dose supplementation is as effective as high dose, with fewer side effects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>11.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For women with low dietary intake and high risk of pre-eclampsia, increased intake of calcium-rich foods or supplements may be beneficial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodine</td>
<td>11.3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodine requirements increase during pregnancy and a supplement of 150 micrograms a day is recommended.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicines</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of medicines should be limited to circumstances where the benefit outweighs the risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbal medicines</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbal medicines should be avoided during pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Oral health
Good oral health is important to a woman’s health and treatment can be safely provided during pregnancy.

## Sexual activity
Sexual intercourse in pregnancy is not known to be associated with any adverse outcomes.

## Travel
Correct use of three-point seatbelts during pregnancy is to have the belt ‘above and below the bump, not over it’.

- Long-distance air travel is associated with an increased risk of venous thrombosis.
- Pregnant women should discuss considerations such as air travel, vaccinations and travel insurance with their midwife or doctor if they are planning to travel overseas.
- If a pregnant woman cannot defer travel to malaria-endemic areas, she should use an insecticide-treated bed net.
- Some medications to prevent malaria can be safely used in pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General advice</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oral health</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual activity</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11 Nutrition and physical activity

Consuming a wide variety of nutritious foods during pregnancy is important to ensure that the nutritional requirements of both mother and baby are met. In some situations, supplementation of some vitamins or minerals may be advisable. Regular low to moderate-intensity physical activity is generally safe during pregnancy with likely benefits for mother and baby.

11.1 Background

11.1.1 Nutrition

The nutritional status of a woman before and during pregnancy plays a vital role in fetal growth and development. While requirements for some nutrients (eg iron, folic acid) increase, the basic principles of healthy eating remain the same.

Risks associated with nutrition during pregnancy

- **Over and under-nutrition:** Too little weight gain during pregnancy increases the risk of a low birth weight infant. Excess weight gain during pregnancy increases the risk of gestational diabetes and of the baby being large for gestational age. It is also associated with increased risk of obesity and metabolic syndrome in women and infants later in life.

- **Food safety:** As the immune system in pregnancy is suppressed, pregnant women are more susceptible to foodborne illnesses, such as listeriosis, which can be transmitted to the unborn child and may cause miscarriage, premature birth or stillbirth (Pezdirc et al 2012). Fetal exposure to high levels of mercury (eg from maternal consumption of some fish species) may cause developmental delays (FSANZ 2011).

Access to healthy food

- **Geographical location:** The decreased availability of nutritious foods (such as fresh fruit and vegetables, wholegrain bread and low fat milk products) in remote and regional areas in Australia has been described frequently. The cost of nutritious foods in these areas is also over 30% higher than in major cities and may affect food choices (NHMRC 2000; NT DHCS 2007; Harrison et al 2010; Landrigan & Pollard 2011).

- **Socioeconomic status:** In some urban centres, people in lower socioeconomic groups have less access to supermarkets and greater access to fast food outlets than more advantaged groups (Burns & Inglis 2007; Bail et al 2009). Supermarkets generally offer a wider variety of food products, as well as fresh raw food.

- **Migrant and refugee women:** Following migration, food habits may change out of choice, because of the limited availability of traditional and familiar foods, or because of change in economic circumstances in Australia. Similarly, financial and language difficulties may affect access to education and employment opportunities which then affects income, health and nutrition literacy, and access to nutritious foods. Some migrants experience disadvantages such as social isolation and poor housing, which can affect access to safe food and safe preparation of food, and are generally in a relatively vulnerable position in their new environments, regardless of the type of migration (WHO 2010).

11.1.2 Physical activity

Physical activity can be defined as any body movement that involves the use of one or more large muscle groups and raises the heart rate. This includes sport, exercise and recreational activities and incidental activity that accrues throughout the day (eg walking to the shops, climbing stairs).

The Australian Physical Activity and Sedentary Behaviour Guidelines (DoH 2014) recommend that pregnant women try to do some physical activity every day and accumulate 150–300 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity each week. Women are advised to talk with their health professional regarding the best form of activity and to check with them before undertaking vigorous intensity physical activity.

Levels of physical activity in Australia

Data specific to pregnant women are not available but results from national surveys give some indication of patterns of physical activity and sedentary behaviour.
In Australia in 2014-15, 55.5% of 18-64 year olds participated in sufficient physical activity in the last week (more than 150 minutes of moderate physical activity or more than 75 minutes of vigorous physical activity, or an equivalent combination of both, including walking) (ABS 2015). Nearly one in three (29.7%) 18-64 year olds were insufficiently active (less than 150 minutes in the last week) while 14.8% were inactive (no exercise in the last week). Women were slightly less likely than men to participate in sufficient physical activity in the last week (53.3 vs 57.7%).

**Factors influencing levels of physical activity**

Women may not be involved in physical activity for a range of reasons, including:

- perceptions that being physically active may harm the baby
- limited facilities (eg pools, gymnasiums) or infrastructure (eg walking paths), particularly in some rural areas (NRHA 2011)
- limited access to group activities and/or facilities specifically for women
- costs of attending activities
- perceptions that being physically active for the sake of it is a waste of time and money
- limited time for physical activity due to other commitments (eg looking after other children, working)
- perception of personal safety in public places.

11.2 **Discussing nutrition**

11.2.1 **Healthy eating during pregnancy and breastfeeding**

Consuming a variety of nutritious foods is particularly important during pregnancy and breastfeeding.

- **Vegetables, legumes/beans and fruit:** Vegetable and fruit consumption before and during pregnancy makes an important contribution to health outcomes for women and their children.

- **Grain (cereal) foods:** Wholegrain foods are a valuable source of iron and zinc and fibre. Bread in Australia is fortified with folic acid and made with iodised salt.

- **Lean meats and poultry, fish, eggs, tofu, nuts and seeds, and legumes/beans:** Lean red meat and chicken is a good source of protein, iron and zinc. Maternal consumption of fish during pregnancy is likely to have a range of health benefits for women and their children but the fish should be low in mercury. Nuts and seeds and legumes/beans are important foods for people who choose vegetarian or vegan dietary patterns and meals without meat as they can provide an alternative source of nutrients. For several nutrients, including iron, calcium and vitamin B12, animal foods are highly bioavailable sources and care needs to be taken to ensure a variety of alternatives if these foods are excluded.

- **Milk, yoghurt and cheese and/or their alternatives:** Milk, yoghurt and cheese or their alternatives are good sources of calcium. Reduced fat milk, yoghurt and cheese products are recommended during pregnancy.

- **Water:** Pregnant women have an increased water requirement because of expanding extracellular fluid space and the needs of the baby and the amniotic fluid.

**Practice point**

H. Eating the recommended number of daily serves of the five food groups and drinking plenty of water is important during pregnancy and breastfeeding.

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019

UNDER REVIEW

---

12 Other than the recommendation on caffeine and the practice points, this section is a summary of information provided in the *Australian Dietary Guidelines* (NHMRC 2013).
### Table C2: Recommended number of daily serves during pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food group</th>
<th>Sample serve</th>
<th>Pregnancy &lt;19 yrs</th>
<th>Pregnancy 19-50 yrs</th>
<th>Breastfeeding &lt;19 yrs</th>
<th>Breastfeeding 19-50 yrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables of different types and colours, and legumes/beans</td>
<td>½ cup cooked green or orange vegetables; ½ cup legumes; 1 cup raw green leafy vegetables; 1 small potato; ½ cup sweet corn; 1 medium tomato</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5 ½</td>
<td>7 ½</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td>1 apple; 1 banana; 2 plums; 4 dried apricot halves</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain (cereal) foods, mostly wholegrain and/or high cereal fibre varieties, such as breads, cereals, rice, pasta, noodles, polenta, couscous, oats, quinoa and barley</td>
<td>1 slice bread; ½ cup cooked rice, pasta or noodles; ½ cup porridge; 2/3 cup wheat cereal flakes; ¼ cup muesli; 3 crispbreads; 1 crumpet or English muffin or plain scone</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8 ½</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean meats and poultry, fish, eggs, tofu, nuts and seeds, and legumes/beans</td>
<td>65 g cooked lean red meat; 80 g cooked chicken; 100 g cooked fish fillet; 2 large eggs; 1 cup cooked lentils or canned beans; 170 g tofu; 30 g nuts, seeds, peanut or almond butter or tahini or other nut or seed paste</td>
<td>3 ½</td>
<td>3 ½</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk, yoghurt, cheese and/or their alternatives (mostly reduced fat)</td>
<td>1 cup milk; 200 g yoghurt; 40 g hard cheese; 1 cup soy/other cereal drink with added calcium</td>
<td>3 ½</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approximate number of additional serves from the five food groups or discretionary choices</td>
<td>0 3</td>
<td>0 2 ½</td>
<td>0 3</td>
<td>0 2 ½</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: (NHMRC 2013).

### Table C3: Practical advice on nutritious foods during pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food group</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Vegetables, legumes/beans and fruit                                       | • Many women need to increase their consumption of vegetables, legumes/beans and fruit  
• Due to the risk of listeriosis, pre-prepared or pre-packaged cut fruit or vegetables should be cooked. Pre-prepared salad vegetables (eg from salad bars) should be avoided  |
| Grain (cereal) foods                                                      | • While bread in Australia contains iodine and folate, supplementary folate is recommended preconception and in the first trimester and iodine should be supplemented preconception and throughout pregnancy and breastfeeding |
| Lean meats and poultry, fish, eggs, tofu, nuts and seeds, legumes/beans   | • Raw or undercooked meat, chilled pre-cooked meats, and pâté and meat spreads should be avoided during pregnancy due to risk of listeriosis  
• Care needs to be taken with consumption of some fish species (eg shark/flake, marlin or broadbill/swordfish, orange roughy and catfish) due to the potentially higher mercury content  
• Foods containing raw eggs should be avoided due to the risk of salmonella  
• Nuts need only be avoided if the woman has an allergy to them  |
| Milk, yoghurt, cheese and/or alternatives                                 | • Unpasteurised dairy products and soft, semi-soft and surface-ripened cheese should be avoided due to the risk of listeriosis  
• Women who avoid milk products should consume alternative calcium-fortified products  |
| Water                                                                    | • Fluid need is 750-1,000 mL a day above basic needs  |

Source: (NHMRC 2013).
**Foods that should be limited**

- **Foods containing saturated fat, added salt, added sugars:** Intake of these foods should be limited in general and during pregnancy. The additional energy requirements of pregnancy should be met through additional serves of foods from the five food groups rather than energy-dense foods.
- **Alcohol:** Not drinking alcohol is the safest option during pregnancy (see Chapter 1).

**Maternal diet and infant allergy**

Maternal diet during pregnancy and while breastfeeding does not appear to affect the risk of asthma, eczema or other allergy symptoms in infants (Hattevig et al 1989; Chatzi et al 2008; De Batlle et al 2008; Shaheen et al 2009; Lange et al 2010).

**Caffeine**

There is insufficient evidence to confirm or refute the effectiveness of caffeine avoidance on birth weight or other pregnancy outcomes (Jahanfar & Sharifah 2009; Peck et al 2010; Milne et al 2011). The Australian Department of Health and Ageing suggests limiting intake during pregnancy to around three cups of coffee or six cups of tea a day (eg 300 mg of caffeine) (DoHA 2009). Other caffeinated beverages (eg colas, energy drinks, green tea) should also be limited.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Reassure women that small to moderate amounts of caffeine are unlikely to harm the pregnancy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Appropriate weight gain**

Appropriate, steady weight gain during pregnancy is important to optimise the health outcomes (short and long term) for the infant and mother (NHMRC 2013). Calculation of body mass index (BMI) at the first antenatal visit (see Chapter 19) allows appropriate advice about nutrition to be given early in pregnancy as the optimal amount of weight gained depends on the woman’s pre-pregnancy BMI. Supporting weight management is discussed in Section 19.2.4.

**Practice points**

I. For women who are underweight, additional serves of the five food groups may contribute to healthy weight gain.

J. For women who are overweight or obese, limiting additional serves and avoiding energy-dense foods may limit excessive weight gain. Weight loss diets are not recommended during pregnancy.

| Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019 |
| UNDER REVIEW |

**11.3 Nutritional supplements**

There is evidence to support routine supplementation with folic acid preconception and in the first trimester and to support iodine supplementation preconception and during pregnancy and breastfeeding. Iron supplementation may prevent iron deficiency in women with limited dietary iron intake. Vitamin B₁₂ supplementation may be needed if a woman has a vegetarian or vegan diet. Vitamin D supplementation may be a consideration for women with vitamin D levels lower than 50 nmol/L (see Chapter 47). Other nutritional supplements do not appear to be of benefit unless there is an identified deficiency.

**11.3.1 Vitamins**

**Folic acid**

Folic acid supplementation prevents first and second time occurrence of neural tube defects (De-Regil et al 2010). In Australia, the rates of anomalies such as encephalocele, anencephaly and spina bifida have fallen with promotion of folic acid supplements and voluntary fortification (Bower et al 2009). However, no such falls have been seen for Aboriginal babies (Bower et al 2009) and the prevalence of neural tube defects among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander babies is almost double that in the non-Indigenous population (Bower et al 2004). Levels of knowledge about folic acid supplementation appear to be lower among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women (55% vs 67.5% of the mostly non-Indigenous women surveyed), particularly among adolescent women (38%) (Bower et al 2004). Restricted food choices and higher costs in rural and remote areas may also contribute to lower levels of folate intake and higher prevalence of neural tube defects (Bower et al 2004).
Women taking medicines that are folate antagonists (eg carbamazepine, lamotrigine) should be encouraged to take high-dose folate supplements preconception and during the first trimester (Austin et al 2017).

**Recommendation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Practice point**

K. Specific attention needs to be given to promoting folic acid supplementation to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women of childbearing age and providing information to individual women at the first antenatal visit.

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016 | UNDER REVIEW |

**Other vitamins**

There is insufficient evidence on supplementation during pregnancy of vitamin C (Rumbold et al 2015b), vitamin E (Rumbold et al 2015a), vitamin A (van den Broek et al 2010) or vitamin B6 (Thaver et al 2006) to show whether these are beneficial. However, supplementation has been associated with:

- preterm birth (500-1,000 mg vitamin C per day) (Rumbold et al 2015b)
- perinatal death and preterm rupture of the membranes (1,000 mg vitamin C and 400 IU vitamin E per day) (Xu et al 2010)
- congenital malformation (vitamin A) (Oakley & Erickson 1995; Rothman et al 1995; Dolk et al 1999).

There is insufficient evidence about the effects of other combinations of vitamins on pregnancy outcomes (Rumbold et al 2011).

**Recommendation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 11.3.2 Minerals

**Iodine**

Increased thyroid activity during pregnancy increases iodine requirements. If iodine intake is inadequate before pregnancy, maternal stores may run low and be inadequate to support the unborn baby in later stages of pregnancy (Smyth 2006). Iodine deficiency is of particular concern during pregnancy because abnormal function of the mother’s thyroid has a negative impact on the nervous system of the unborn baby, and increases the risk of infant mortality (Zimmerman 2009). Adverse effects on early brain and nervous system development are generally irreversible and can have serious implications for mental capacity in later life (WHO 2005–09).

There are limited studies specific to the iodine status of pregnant women in Australia, but those available prior to fortification suggest it was inadequate (APHDPC 2007). With the introduction of mandatory iodine fortification of bread, most of the Australian population will get enough iodine (Food Standards Australia New Zealand 2008) and women of child-bearing age should enter pregnancy with adequate iodine intake. However, the extra iodine available through fortified bread is not enough to meet the additional needs of pregnancy and during breastfeeding (Burgess et al 2007).

**Consensus-based recommendation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>III.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

13 This section, including the consensus-based recommendation, is based on NHMRC (2010) *NHMRC Public Statement: Iodine Supplementation for Pregnant and Breastfeeding Women*. Canberra: National Health and Medical Research Council.
Iron

Demand for iron increases during pregnancy and insufficient iron intake or absorption or blood loss (eg due to gastrointestinal parasites) can result in deficiency or anaemia (see Chapter 30).

There is a lack of evidence that, in otherwise healthy women, the benefits of treatments for mild iron-deficiency anaemia in pregnancy will outweigh the adverse effects associated with them (Reveiz et al 2007). There is a potential dose response relationship between dose of iron and reported adverse events (Reveiz et al 2007).

Recommendation

Grade B

8 Do not routinely offer iron supplementation to women during pregnancy.

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

Daily supplementation with iron during pregnancy reduces the risk of maternal iron deficiency and anaemia and low birth weight (Pena-Rosas et al 2012a; Haider et al 2013) but is associated with side effects (constipation, nausea, vomiting and diarrhoea and an increased risk of high haemoglobin concentration at term) (Pena-Rosas et al 2012a). These effects need to be weighed against the risks of iron deficiency (Pena-Rosas et al 2012a).

Intermittent iron+folic acid regimens produce similar maternal and infant outcomes at birth and are associated with fewer side effects (Pena-Rosas et al 2012b).

Recommendation

Grade B

9 Advise women with low dietary iron intake that intermittent supplementation is as effective as daily supplementation in preventing iron-deficiency anaemia, with fewer side effects.

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019

Iron-rich staple foods can help women reach dietary targets for iron (Bokhari et al 2012). Absorption is aided by vitamin C and limited by tea and coffee (Marsh et al 2009). Where iron-rich foods are not available (eg due to geographical location or socioeconomic factors), women may be at high risk of iron deficiency. Ferritin concentrations should be checked and supplementation considered if iron stores are low or if they are normal but dietary intake is likely to remain low.

Practice point

Women at risk of iron deficiency due to limited access to dietary iron may benefit from practical advice on increasing intake of iron-rich foods.

Other minerals

- **Calcium**: While calcium supplements are useful in decreasing pre-eclampsia risk if dietary intake is low (see Chapter 26), they do not appear to be of benefit in preventing preterm birth or low infant birth weight (Buppasiri et al 2011).

- **Magnesium**: There is insufficient evidence to show whether dietary magnesium supplementation during pregnancy is beneficial (Makrides & Crowther 2001).

- **Zinc**: While some studies have found benefits from zinc supplementation among women in areas of high perinatal mortality (Wieringa et al 2010; Mori et al 2012), these results may not be generalisable to the Australian context.

11.3.3 Other nutritional supplements

- **Multiple micronutrients**: While multiple micronutrients improve nutrient status of pregnant women (Brough et al 2010) and reduced rates of small-for-gestational-age (Haider et al 2011) and low birth weight babies (Haider & Bhutta 2012), more evidence is needed to understand which groups of women may benefit from these supplements.

- **Omega-3 fatty acids**: While there is emerging evidence of benefits associated with supplementing omega-3 fatty acids during pregnancy (eg reduced risk of early preterm birth) (Makrides et al 2010; Leung et al 2011; Imhoff-Kunsch et al 2012; Larque et al 2012; Mazurkewich & Klemens 2012), the benefits of routine supplementation are not known.
• **Probiotics:** While there is also emerging evidence on the benefits of probiotics combined with dietary counselling during pregnancy (e.g., improved blood glucose control) (Laitinen et al. 2009; Luoto et al. 2010; Ilmonen et al. 2011), again the benefits of routine supplementation are not known.

• **Multivitamins:** An observational study has shown an association between risk of preterm birth and multivitamins and minerals if taken daily in the third trimester by women who were unlikely to be deficient in these nutrients (Alwan et al. 2010).

### 11.4 Discussing physical activity

Systematic reviews and RCTs have found that regular physical activity during pregnancy:

• appears to improve (or maintain) physical fitness (Kramer & McDonald 2006; Ramírez-Vélez et al. 2011)

• improves health-related quality of life (Montoya Arizabaleta et al. 2010) and maternal perception of health status (Barakat et al. 2011)

• may reduce depressive symptoms (Robledo-Colonia et al. 2012)

• can prevent urinary incontinence (pelvic floor muscle training) (Boyle et al. 2012).

Calculation of BMI at the first antenatal visit (see Chapter 19) allows appropriate advice about physical activity to be given early in pregnancy. Supporting weight management is discussed in Section 19.2.4.

There is insufficient evidence for reliable conclusions about the effect of physical activity on:

• maternal and fetal outcomes (Kramer & McDonald 2006)

• preventing gestational diabetes or glucose intolerance in pregnancy (Han et al. 2012) or improving glucose tolerance in women with gestational diabetes (Ceyssens et al. 2006); or

• preventing pre-eclampsia and its complications (Meher & Duley 2006).

RCTs into specific types of physical activity during pregnancy have found:

• specifically designed exercise programs prevented pelvic girdle pain (n=301) (Morkved et al. 2007) and reduced severity of back pain (Kashanian et al. 2009)

• yoga reduced perceived stress (n=90) (Satyapriya et al. 2009), improved quality of life and enhanced interpersonal relationships (n=102) (Rakhshani et al. 2010) and women reported less pain during labour (n=74) (Chuntharapat et al. 2008).

The safety of moderate physical activity during pregnancy is supported by a number of RCTs:

• walking, joint mobilisation and light resistance exercises (three 35-minute sessions a week in the second and third trimester) (n=160) did not affect fetal cardiovascular responses (Barakat et al. 2010), maternal anaemia (Barakat et al. 2009a), type of birth (Barakat 2009b), gestational age at birth (Barakat et al. 2008) or the newborn’s body size or overall health (Barakat et al. 2009c)

• aerobic dance exercise was not associated with reduction in birth weight, preterm birth rate or neonatal wellbeing (Haakstad & Bø 2011)

• stationary cycling (up to five 40-minute sessions a week from 20 weeks gestation) (n=84) was associated with normalisation of birth weight (Hopkins et al. 2010)

• water aerobics (three 50-minute sessions a week from 16–20 weeks gestation) (n=71) was not associated with any alteration in maternal body composition, type of birth, preterm birth rate, neonatal wellbeing or weight (Cavalcante et al. 2009).

### Recommendation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>10</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019

Pregnant women should avoid physical activity that involves the risk of abdominal trauma, falls or excessive joint stress, such as in high impact sports, contact sports and vigorous racquet sports (NICE 2008). They are also recommended not to scuba dive, because the risk of birth defects seems to be greater among those who do, and there is a serious risk of fetal decompression disease (Camporesi 1996).
11.5 Practice summary: nutrition and physical activity

**Nutrition**

*When:* All antenatal visits

*Who:* Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker; accredited dietitian; nutritionist

- **Assess levels of nutrition:** Ask women about their current eating patterns.
- **Provide advice:** Explain the benefits of healthy nutrition for the mother and baby. Give examples of foods in the five food groups, sample serves for each group and how many serves are recommended a day. Discuss foods that are rich in iron (e.g., meat, seafood, and poultry), dietary factors that aid or limit absorption, and supplementing iron if the woman has a low dietary intake.
- **Discuss use of nutritional supplements with women:** Explain that some supplements (folic acid, iodine) are recommended for all women during pregnancy, while others (vitamins A, C, and E) are not of benefit and may be harmful and that iron should only be supplemented if a deficiency is identified.
- **Consider referral:** Referral to an accredited dietitian may be a consideration if there is concern about the quality of nutritional intake, the woman would like information about nutrition for herself and her family, clinical assessment confirms underweight or overweight of the woman or there are other factors of concern (e.g., diabetes, gastrointestinal disorders).
- **Take a holistic approach:** Consider the availability of foods appropriate to the woman's cultural practices and preferences and the affordability of supplements.

**Physical activity**

*When:* All antenatal visits.

*Who:* Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; physiotherapist or accredited exercise physiologist.

- **Assess levels of activity:** Ask women about their current levels of physical activity, including the amount of time spent being active and the intensity of activity.
- **Provide advice:** Explain the benefits of regular physical activity. Give examples of activities that are of sufficient intensity to achieve health benefits (e.g., brisk walking, swimming, cycling). Advise women to discuss their plans with a health professional before starting or continuing a program of physical activity.
- **Provide information:** Give information about local supports for physical activity (e.g., women's walking groups, swimming clubs, yoga classes). Advise women to avoid exercising in the heat of the day and to drink plenty of water when active.
- **Take a holistic approach:** Assist women to identify ways of being physically active that are appropriate to their cultural beliefs and practices (e.g., activities they can do at home).

11.6 Resources

**11.6.1 Nutrition**


**11.6.2 Physical activity**
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12 Tobacco smoking

Health professionals have an important role in advising women of the risks associated with smoking in pregnancy, assessing smoking status on first contact with a woman and supporting efforts to stop or reduce smoking at subsequent contacts.

The effects of tobacco smoking on an individual’s health are well documented. Tobacco smoking in pregnancy is a risk factor for complications, and is associated with low birth weight, preterm birth, small-for-gestational-age babies and perinatal death (AIHW 2016). While the prevalence of smoking in pregnancy has declined in high-income countries over the last decade, this decline has not been consistent across all sectors of society.

12.1 Background

12.1.1 Smoking during pregnancy among Australian women

One in 9 women (11%) who gave birth in Australia in 2014 smoked at some time during their pregnancy, a decrease from 15% in 2009 (AIHW 2016). Rates of smoking were slightly higher in the first 20 weeks of pregnancy (11%) compared with after 20 weeks of pregnancy (8%).

Among women who gave birth in 2014, some were more likely than others to smoke in the first 20 weeks of pregnancy. Proportions were highest among the following women, noting that some may fall into more than 1 of these categories (AIHW 2016).

- **Adolescent women**: almost one-third (32%) of mothers under 20 smoked, compared with rates of 6% and 7% of mothers aged 35-39 and 40 and over, respectively.
- **Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women**: 44% of Indigenous mothers smoked, compared with 12% of non-Indigenous mothers (age-standardised percentages).
- **Socioeconomic status**: around one-fifth (19%) of mothers living in the lowest SES areas smoked, compared with 4% of mothers in the highest SES areas.
- **Geographical location**: around one-third (34%) of mothers in Very remote and one-fifth (20%) in Remote areas smoked, compared with only 8% of women living in Major cities.

On average, women who smoked at any time during pregnancy (AIHW 2016):

- attended their first antenatal visit later in pregnancy than those who did not smoke (15 vs 13 weeks)
- had one less antenatal care visit (nine visits) than women who did not smoke (ten visits).

These patterns were present even when taking into consideration the effect of differences in SES.

Prevalence of smoking during pregnancy is higher among women with severe mental disorders than among women in general (eg 51% vs 24% for women with schizophrenia [Nilsson et al 2002]). A considerable proportion of adverse pregnancy outcomes among women with serious mental health disorders is attributable to smoking (Hauck et al 2008; King-Hele et al 2009; Matevosyan 2011).

12.1.2 Risks associated with smoking during pregnancy

High-level evidence identified in the NICE guidelines indicates a significant association between smoking in pregnancy and adverse outcomes. These include:

- **birth defects** including cleft lip and palate (Wyszynski et al 1997)
- **effects on the pregnancy** including perinatal mortality (DiFranza & Lew 1995), placental abruption (Ananth et al 1999; Castles et al 1999), preterm premature rupture of membranes (Castles et al 1999), ectopic pregnancy (Castles et al 1999), placenta praevia (Castles et al 1999), preterm birth (Shah & Bracken 2000), and miscarriage (DiFranza & Lew 1995)
- **effects on the baby**, in particular reduced birth weight (with babies born to smokers being a consistent 175-200 g smaller than those born to similar non-smokers) (Lumley 1987), small-for-gestational-age baby (Clausson et al 1998), stillbirth (Raymond et al 1994), fetal and infant mortality (Kleinman et al 1988) and sudden infant death syndrome (DiFranza & Lew 1995)
although studies into long-term effects report conflicting results (Faden & Graubard 2000; MacArthur et al 2001; von Kries et al 2002), there is evidence of an association between low birth weight and coronary heart disease, type 2 diabetes and adiposity in adulthood (Gluckman et al 2008).

Passive smoking (exposure to second-hand or environmental tobacco smoke) during pregnancy may also be associated with increased risk of low birth weight or preterm birth (Khader et al 2010).

12.2 Assessing smoking status

While many women who smoke quit spontaneously before their first antenatal visit, a significant proportion will relapse during or after pregnancy (Panjari et al 1997). Other women may not be aware of the risks associated with smoking in pregnancy or find it difficult to quit. It is important that women are asked early in pregnancy about their smoking status and whether others in the household smoke.

Women may feel guilty or stigmatised if they smoke during pregnancy, and as a result may deny or underreport their smoking (Walsh et al 1996; Windsor et al 1998; Gilligan et al 2009a). Questions about smoking should be phrased in a non-judgmental way, or collected using a written questionnaire rather than verbally, for example using a multiple-choice question as outlined below.

‘Which of the following statements best describes your cigarette smoking?
• I smoke daily now, about the same as before finding out I was pregnant
• I smoke daily now, but I’ve cut down since I found out I has pregnant
• I smoke every once in a while
• I quit smoking since finding out I was pregnant
• I wasn’t smoking around the time I found out I was pregnant and I don’t currently smoke.’

Specific resources to assist with assessing smoking status are available (see Section 12.7).

Recommendoation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11 At the first antenatal visit:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• assess the woman’s smoking status and exposure to passive smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• give the woman and her partner information about the risks to the unborn baby associated with maternal and passive smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• if the woman smokes, emphasise the benefits of quitting as early as possible in the pregnancy and discuss any concerns she or her family may have about stopping smoking.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

12.3 Interventions to assist women to stop smoking

Pregnancy is a time when women who smoke may be more receptive to quitting (McDermott et al 2004) and there are many opportunities for supporting women to quit at this time. This section summarises the available evidence on smoking cessation interventions in pregnancy. Discussion of ways to support people to quit smoking is included in specific smoking cessation guidelines (see Section 12.7).

12.3.1 Effectiveness of interventions

There is high-level evidence, based on systematic reviews and RCTs, that smoking cessation interventions reduce smoking rates in pregnant women. A Cochrane review (Lumley et al 2009), which is the largest study on this topic to date, found that interventions:

• improved smoking cessation rates by 6% (relative risk [RR] 0.94; 95% CI 0.93-0.96) |
• reduced rates of low birth weight (RR 0.83; 95% CI 0.73-0.95) and preterm birth (RR 0.86; 95% CI 0.74-0.98) and there was a 53.91g increase in mean birth weight (95% CI 10.44-95.38g).

Of the interventions studied, cognitive behavioural interventions (including educational strategies and motivational interviewing; see Glossary) (RR 0.95; 95% CI 0.93-0.97) were similar in effect to interventions in general. Incentives (eg vouchers) increased the effectiveness of interventions (RR 0.76; 95% CI 0.71-0.81), while using the ‘stages of change’ theory (RR 0.99; 95% CI 0.97-1.00) or providing feedback to the mother (eg fetal health status) (RR 0.92; 95% CI 0.84-1.02) did not. While nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) was as effective as
cognitive behaviour therapy (CBT) (RR 0.95; 95% CI 0.92-0.98), there is no clear evidence on its safety during pregnancy.

Other recent studies are consistent with the Cochrane review. Additional findings include that:

- telephone-based support combined with face-to-face sessions is beneficial (Dennis & Kingston 2008)
- providing information (eg at ultrasound appointments) has a significant effect (Stotts et al 2009)
- smoking cessation may be influenced by concern about weight gain (Berg et al 2008).

12.3.2 Cost-effectiveness of interventions

An economic analysis conducted to inform the development of these Guidelines (see separate document on economic analyses) found that smoking cessation interventions for both pregnant women and the wider population may be cost-effective from both a health system and societal perspective.

CBT and NRT have the same effect on life-years saved but the cost to the health system for NRT is lower. However, NRT is not an appropriate option for women who smoke less than 10 or 15 cigarettes a day (Hotham et al 2006) and CBT is likely to be more successful in these women. Also, a woman’s out-of-pocket costs are higher for NRT. If the health system were to cover the total costs of treatment, CBT would be the more cost-effective option.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Offer women who smoke referral for smoking cessation interventions such as cognitive behavioural therapy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12.3.3 Supporting smoking cessation

Antenatal care is an opportunity to provide women with information about interventions that have been identified as effective (see above), are available locally or through the phone or internet, and are suitable to the individual woman’s age, education level, intellectual capacity, language and/or cultural factors and motivation. Providing written or other form of information can reinforce this advice.

Practice point

M. At each antenatal visit, offer women who smoke personalised advice on how to stop smoking and provide information about available services to support quitting, including details on when, where and how to access them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice point</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M.</td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12.3.4 Pharmacological therapy

While the safety or otherwise of single-agent NRT in pregnancy has not been established (Lumley et al 2009), a large cohort study (Lassen et al 2010) found no serious effect on birth weight unless more than one type of NRT product was used.

NRT appears to be effective in reducing smoking among pregnant women with nicotine dependence (Smith et al 2006; Oncken et al 2008). Prescribing NRT or other pharmacological therapy requires consideration of the risks from the treatment versus the benefits of the woman not smoking. If NRT is prescribed, women should be advised that smoking while using NRT leads to high nicotine levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>If, after options have been explored, a woman expresses a clear wish to use nicotine replacement therapy, discuss the risks and benefits with her.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Practice point

N. If nicotine replacement therapy is used during pregnancy, intermittent-use formulations (gum, lozenge, inhaler and tablet) are preferred to continuous-use formulations (nicotine patches).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice point</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N.</td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12.3.5 Reducing smoking if quitting is not possible

Women who are unable to quit during pregnancy often reduce the number of cigarettes that they smoke. This can reduce nicotine concentrations and offer some measure of protection for the fetus, with a 50% reduction being associated with a 92g increase in birth weight (Li et al. 1993; Windsor et al. 1999). However, the greatest health benefits for the woman and baby are from quitting completely.

12.4 Monitoring and relapse prevention

Even where women are motivated to quit smoking in pregnancy, they may relapse either later in the pregnancy or after the birth. Health professionals should reinforce quitting behaviours and continue to monitor all women who have recently quit about their willingness to stay smoke free. Partner smoking is highly correlated to relapse so it may be beneficial to extend the offer of smoking cessation support strategies to the woman’s partner.

At each visit, congratulate the woman for having quit, review and reinforce the reasons for quitting, and encourage the non-smoker image. Discuss some high-risk times for relapse, such as late pregnancy, post-partum and after breastfeeding has stopped. Remind the woman about useful resources and sources of support (RACGP 2007). Continue to advise women who are trying to reduce their exposure to passive smoking.

**Practice point**

| O. Smoking status should be monitored and smoking cessation advice, encouragement and support offered throughout pregnancy. |
| Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016 |

12.5 Considerations among specific population groups

As discussed in Section 12.1, the prevalence of smoking among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women is high, with close to half of women smoking in the first 20 weeks of pregnancy. The recommendations given in the preceding sections apply to all women in the antenatal period. This section outlines additional considerations and approaches that may assist in supporting Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women and adolescent women to quit smoking. Understanding community attitudes to smoking and language used when referring to tobacco products will support both assessment and intervention.

12.5.1 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women

A range of factors has contributed to the relatively high proportion of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women who smoke and continue to smoke in pregnancy. These include:

- the ‘normalisation’ of tobacco use within many Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities in which smoking continues to play a key role in social interaction and relationship building (Harvey et al. 2002; Briggs et al. 2003; Power et al. 2009)
- continuing socioeconomic disadvantage (Power et al. 2009)
- the potential for children and non-smoking adults to be exposed to tobacco smoke in larger households (Cunningham 1994; Briggs et al. 2003; ABS 2006).

At the individual level, knowledge and attitudes influence smoking behaviour. Qualitative research into the context surrounding smoking among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women has identified some factors that may affect motivation or ability to quit (Heath et al. 2006; Wood et al. 2008; Gilligan et al. 2009b):

- smoking provides an opportunity for ‘time out’ from social pressures and for ‘sharing with others’
- smoking is perceived as reducing stress, easing social interaction, relieving boredom and controlling weight
- smoking may be seen as a less immediate problem relative to other issues
- high levels of smoking by the woman’s partner or among family and friends make it harder to quit.
In some areas, women may use chewing tobacco (with or without pituri\(^{14}\)) and enquiry about this may also be useful.

### Practice points

**P.** Health care professionals involved in the care of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women should be aware of the high prevalence of smoking in some communities, and take account of this social norm when discussing smoking and supporting women to quit.

**Q.** Culturally appropriate smoking cessation services should be offered.

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

### Effective smoking cessation interventions

A review of evidence regarding smoking cessation and prevention programs for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians (Power et al 2009) identified that:

- strategies at the individual level such as culturally appropriate counselling and/or NRT are likely to be effective for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people who are motivated to quit
- brief interventions may be effective (Harvey et al 2002)
- group-based programs need to be tailored to individual needs
- health workers who are able to quit smoking themselves will be in a stronger position to be a role model for others
- a range of health promotion resources are available and may be used to support other interventions.

### National action to reduce smoking in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities

The Australian Government is funding a national network of regional tobacco coordinators and tobacco action workers to work with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities to reduce the number of people smoking. This workforce will implement a range of community-based smoking prevention, awareness raising and cessation support activities tailored to local communities.

### Practice point

**R.** In discussing smoking and supporting Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women to quit smoking, health professionals should draw on the expertise of anti-tobacco workers where available.

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

### 12.5.2 Adolescent women

Smoking is one of a range of risk-taking behaviours engaged in by adolescents. Adolescents who are pregnant and smoke may be at risk of other behaviours that compromise their health and that of the unborn baby (eg drinking alcohol) (Mohsin & Bauman 2005).

Very few studies have investigated the effectiveness of interventions designed to help young people stop smoking and none are specific to pregnancy in this age group. It is likely that interventions aimed at young people need to be different from those developed for adults, given differences in lifestyle and attitudes to smoking and quitting (NZ MOH 2007).

Smoking cessation programs that combine a variety of approaches show promise, including taking into account the young person’s preparation for quitting, supporting behavioural change and enhancing motivation (Grimshaw \& Stanton 2006). Nicotine replacement has not yet been shown to be successful with adolescents (Grimshaw \& Stanton 2006).

---

\(^{14}\) The collective name for wild tobacco plants in Central Australia.
12.6 Practice summary: tobacco smoking

Assessing smoking status

When: At the first contact with all women and at subsequent contacts for women who report smoking or have recently quit.

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker.

- Discuss risks to the pregnancy: Explain that smoking during pregnancy makes it more likely that the baby will be born prematurely and that there are other serious risks to the pregnancy that can be life-threatening to mother or baby.

- Discuss risks to the unborn baby: Discuss the increased risk of the baby having a low birth weight. Explain that this does not just mean that the baby will be small. Low birth weight is known to contribute to the development of coronary heart disease, type 2 diabetes and obesity in adulthood.

- Take a non-judgemental approach: Women may feel uncomfortable telling a health professional that they smoke. They may also underreport the amount that they smoke or answer in a way that does not really quantify their level of smoking (e.g., “half a pack a day”, “socially”). The important message to get across is that if they smoke, stopping smoking is the safest option.

- Seek information about passive smoking: Women who are exposed to smoke from others smoking around them may be more likely to have low birth weight or premature babies. Explain that smoke-free environments give people of all ages the best chance to be healthy.

Supporting women to stop or reduce smoking

When: At subsequent antenatal contacts with women who smoke or have recently quit.

- Be aware of local smoking cessation programs: Provide women with advice on locally available supports for smoking cessation. Depending on location this may include community support groups, Quitline or State/Territory quit services.

- Inform decision-making: Help each woman to select smoking cessation options that are suitable to her needs. For example, NRT would be inappropriate for a woman who does not appear to be nicotine-dependent or only smokes when she is with friends.

- Continue monitoring: While many women are able to stop smoking when they are pregnant, many relapse either during the pregnancy or after the birth. It is helpful to continue enquiring about a woman’s smoking or passive smoking and to offer advice about quitting or reducing the family’s exposure to smoke.

12.7 Resources

12.7.1 Smoking cessation guidelines


12.7.2 Psychological services

The beyondblue website includes a directory of medical and allied health professionals in mental health, including psychologists, clinical psychologists, social workers and mental health nurses.

Government funding to receive treatment from psychiatrists, psychologists and appropriately trained GPs can be accessed through Better Access to Mental Health Care (Medicare items).

12.7.3 Resources for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women

Resources that are culturally appropriate to the area should be selected, taking into consideration local language and literacy.

smokecheck NSW
smokecheck Queensland
Centre for Excellence in Indigenous Tobacco Control

HealthInfoNet
National action to reduce Indigenous smoking rate
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Alcohol consumption increases the risk of injury in the short-term and chronic disease in the longer term. Drinking in pregnancy can have significant effects on fetal development.

Work is currently underway to update the *Australian Guidelines to Reduce Health Risks from Drinking Alcohol* (Alcohol Guidelines) and revised guidelines are expected to be available early in 2020. Following this review process, the content of this chapter will be updated. Further information on the review of the Alcohol Guidelines can be accessed on the [NHMRC website](https://www.nhmrc.gov.au). Experts in the alcohol field have worked in conjunction with the Australian Government to prepare the following resources, which may be of assistance:

- *Australian Guide to the Diagnosis of FASD* (2016)
- *AUDIT-C assessment tool*
- ‘Women Want to Know’ alcohol and pregnancy resource sheets

13.1 Background

13.1.1 Alcohol consumption among pregnant women in Australia

The National Drug Strategy Household Survey reported that in Australia in 2013 (AIHW 2014):

- more than half (56%) had consumed alcohol during their pregnancy, and while a large proportion of these women stopped drinking alcohol once they find out that they were pregnant, one-quarter (26%) continued to drink once they knew they were pregnant
- about 3 in 4 (78%) pregnant women who consumed alcohol while pregnant drank monthly or less, and 17.0% drank 2-4 times a month
- most (96%) usually consumed 1-2 standard drinks
- only 1.4% had consumed 6 or more standard drinks on at least one occasion during their pregnancy.

13.1.2 Risks associated with alcohol consumption in pregnancy

- High-level and/or frequent intake of alcohol in pregnancy increases the risk of miscarriage, stillbirth and premature birth (O’Leary 2004).
- Alcohol crosses the placenta and nearly equal concentrations in the mother and fetus can be attained. Exposure of the fetus to alcohol may result in a spectrum of adverse effects, referred to collectively as fetal alcohol spectrum disorders (FASD). Of these, fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS) has been described in children exposed to high levels of alcohol *in utero* as a result of either chronic or intermittent maternal alcohol use (Lemoine et al 1968; Jones et al 1973; Hoyme et al 2005; Astley & Clarren 2000). These children have characteristic facial abnormalities (and often a range of other birth defects), impaired growth and abnormal function or structure of the central nervous system. The diagnosis may not be evident at birth. However, not all children exposed to alcohol during pregnancy are adversely affected, or affected to the same degree. Expression of FAS appears to depend on other factors including (O’Leary 2004): the timing of alcohol intake in relation to the stage of fetal development; the pattern and quantity of alcohol consumption (dose and frequency); and socio-behavioural risk factors (maternal age/duration of drinking, lower socioeconomic status, race, genetic differences, polydrug use).
- A number of alcohol-related birth defects (ARBD) and alcohol-related neurodevelopmental disorders (ARND) have also been described following exposure to alcohol during pregnancy and can be included, with FAS, under the umbrella term of FASD (Hoyme et al 2005; Astley & Clarren 2000). Although children with ARND do not have birth defects, they have significant developmental, behavioural and cognitive problems similar to those of children with FAS.

---

15 The information in this section, including the consensus-based recommendation, is based on Guideline 4 in NHMRC (2009) *Australian Guidelines to Reduce Health Risks from Drinking Alcohol* (under review). Literature on the risks associated with alcohol consumption during pregnancy published subsequent to the NHMRC guidelines has not been reviewed.
• People with FASD experience lifelong problems, including learning difficulties and disrupted education, increased rates of mental illness, drug and alcohol problems and trouble with the law (Streissguth et al 2004).

• The effects of alcohol exposure on fetal development occur throughout pregnancy (including before the pregnancy is confirmed), with the developing fetus being most vulnerable to structural damage during the first three to six weeks of gestation (O’Leary 2004). Effects also vary depending on the dose of alcohol and the pattern of consumption. The most serious of the adverse pregnancy outcomes occur when pregnant women consume high levels of alcohol frequently.

13.2 Discussing alcohol consumption in pregnancy

While there is convincing evidence linking chronic or intermittent high level alcohol intake with harms, including adverse pregnancy outcomes and FASD, there remains uncertainty about the potential for harm to the fetus if a woman drinks low levels of alcohol during pregnancy. It is important that all women of childbearing age are aware, before they consider pregnancy, of both this uncertainty and the potential risks of harm, so they can make informed decisions about drinking in pregnancy. Health professionals should highlight that:

• the risk is higher with high alcohol intake, including episodic intoxication (binge drinking)
• the risk appears to be low with low alcohol intake
• it is impossible to determine how other maternal and fetal factors will alter risk in the individual.

The high rates of drinking in Australian women, including pregnant women, and the high rates of unplanned pregnancy suggest that, regardless of policy, many fetuses will be inadvertently exposed to alcohol. Assessment of women who have consumed alcohol before knowing that they were pregnant should include appraisal of how much alcohol was consumed and at what stage in the pregnancy. Efforts should be made not to induce unnecessary anxiety for isolated episodes of drinking. Women who drank alcohol before they knew they were pregnant or during pregnancy should be reassured that the risk to the fetus is likely to be low if they had drunk at low risk levels. Women who remain concerned should seek specialist medical advice. Health professionals who are uncertain how to advise pregnant women seeking information concerning the potential for alcohol-related harm should seek expert advice from specialist medical services.

Consensus-based recommendation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IV.</th>
<th>Advise women who are pregnant or planning a pregnancy that not drinking is the safest option as maternal alcohol consumption may adversely affect the developing fetus.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

Section 13.4.1 includes example questions that may assist in asking women about their alcohol consumption.

13.3 Practice summary: advising women about alcohol

When: At the first antenatal visit

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker

☐ Discuss alcohol consumption during pregnancy: Explain that not drinking is the safest option and the risk of harm to the fetus is highest when there is high, frequent maternal alcohol intake. However, the level of risk to the individual fetus is influenced by maternal and fetal characteristics and is hard to predict.

☐ Assist women who consumed alcohol before knowing they were pregnant: Advise these women that risk of harm to the fetus is likely to be low if a woman has consumed only small amounts of alcohol before she knew she was pregnant or during pregnancy.

☐ Take a holistic approach: If there are concerns about the effects of a woman’s alcohol consumption on the pregnancy, specialist medical advice should be sought. Women who find it difficult to decrease their alcohol intake will require support and treatment and should be offered referral to Drug and Alcohol services.
13.4 Resources

13.4.1 Assessment tools

Please refer to information at beginning of chapter regarding resources which may be of assistance.

13.4.2 Treatment guidelines


13.5 References

14 Medicines

14.1 Prescription medicines

Prescribing medicines during pregnancy involves balancing the likely benefit to the pregnant woman against the potential harm to the fetus. Only a small number of medicines have proven safety in pregnancy and a number of medicines that were initially thought to be safe in pregnancy were later withdrawn. General principles include prescribing only well-known and tested medicines at the smallest possible doses and only when the benefit to the woman outweighs the risk to the fetus.

The Therapeutic Goods Administration has categorised medicines that are commonly used in Australia, taking into account the known harmful effects on the developing baby, including the potential to cause birth defects, unwanted pharmacological effects around the time of birth and future health problems (see Table C4).

Table C4: Therapeutic Goods Administration categorisation of medicines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Medicines which have been taken by a large number of pregnant women and women of childbearing age without any proven increase in the frequency of malformations or other direct or indirect harmful effects on the fetus having been observed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>Medicines which have been taken by only a limited number of pregnant women and women of childbearing age, without an increase in the frequency of malformation or other direct or indirect harmful effects on the human fetus having been observed. Studies in animals have not shown evidence of an increased occurrence of fetal damage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>Medicines which have been taken by only a limited number of pregnant women and women of childbearing age, without an increase in the frequency of malformation or other direct or indirect harmful effects on the human fetus having been observed. Studies in animals are inadequate or may be lacking, but available data show no evidence of an increased occurrence of fetal damage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>Medicines which have been taken by only a limited number of pregnant women and women of childbearing age, without an increase in the frequency of malformation or other direct or indirect harmful effects on the human fetus having been observed. Studies in animals have shown evidence of an increased occurrence of fetal damage, the significance of which is considered uncertain in humans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Medicines which, owing to their pharmacological effects, have caused or may be suspected of causing, harmful effects on the human fetus or neonate without causing malformations. These effects may be reversible. Accompanying texts should be consulted for further details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Medicines which have caused, are suspected to have caused or may be expected to cause, an increased incidence of human fetal malformations or irreversible damage. These medicines may also have adverse pharmacological effects. Accompanying texts should be consulted for further details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Medicines which have such a high risk of causing permanent damage to the fetus that they should not be used in pregnancy or when there is a possibility of pregnancy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14.2 Over-the-counter medicines

As few medicines have been established as safe to take during pregnancy, a general principle of use is that as few should be used as possible. However, over-the-counter medicines may be useful for relieving symptoms of pregnancy such as nausea and vomiting (see Chapter 54), heartburn (see Chapter 56), constipation (see Chapter 55) and haemorrhoids (see Chapter 57).

Consensus-based recommendations

V. Advise women that use of prescription and over-the-counter medicines should be limited to circumstances where the benefit outweighs the risk as few medicines have been established as safe to use in pregnancy.

VI. Therapeutic Goods Administration Category A medicines have been established to be safe in pregnancy.

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016
Practice point
S. Health professionals should seek advice from a tertiary referral centre for women who have been exposed to Category D or X medicines during pregnancy.

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

14.3 Herbal medicines

The use of complementary therapies (including herbal medicines) is increasingly common in Australia (AMA 2002). Women may choose to use them to support wellbeing, because they are perceived to be ‘safe’ alternatives to pharmacological treatments or because they are part of traditional practices in pregnancy. However, there is a lack of evidence on the safety of complementary medicines during pregnancy and some are known to be harmful in the first trimester.

There is little evidence from randomised trials to support the benefits or safety of herbal medicines (preparations derived from plants) and, even if active ingredients have been studied in trials, supplements may contain other ingredients with unknown effects. Studies have identified harms associated with some European (Cuzzolin et al 2010) and Chinese (Chuang et al 2006) herbal medicines.

Practice point
T. Few herbal preparations have been established as being safe and effective during pregnancy. Herbal medicines should be avoided in the first trimester.

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

14.4 Providing advice on medicines

Health professionals can support women in safe use of medicines by being well informed themselves and by providing advice on relevant information services. Current information on specific medicines in pregnancy is available from:

- Therapeutic Goods Administration medicines in pregnancy database, which can be searched by name or classification level
- medicines in pregnancy information services in each State/Territory, which provide advice to health professionals and consumers on supplements, over-the-counter and prescription medicines (see Section 14.6)
- the National Prescribing Service website, which publishes resources for health professionals and consumers, with an emphasis on quality use of medicines.

14.5 Practice summary: advising women about use of medicines in pregnancy

When: At antenatal visits

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker; pharmacist

☐ Discuss use of medicines with women: Explain that while many medicines are not safe in pregnancy, they may be needed in some situations (eg to treat high blood pressure, epilepsy, depression) or relieve some symptoms of pregnancy. Advise women to tell the pharmacist that they are pregnant if they are purchasing over-the-counter medicines.

☐ Discuss risks and benefits: If prescribing medicines, explain any risks to the fetus and the benefits of the treatment to the mother so that women can make an informed decision about the treatment.
14.6  Resources

14.6.1  Medicines in pregnancy information services for health professionals

14.6.2  Websites

Information about specific medicines regarding safety during pregnancy is available from:

- Medsafe (NZ)
- MICROMEDIX
- Mothersafe (NSW)
- National Prescribing Service
- Therapeutic Goods Administration Prescribing Medicines in Pregnancy Database

14.7  References


15 Substance use

Antenatal care provides an opportunity to ask women about substance use. Enquiring in a non-judgmental way may assist women to disclose and enable access to additional support and care, including mental health and drug and alcohol services.

15.1 Background

Substance use in pregnancy is an important issue in antenatal care. The use of tobacco and alcohol are common (these are addressed in Chapters 12 and 1) but the use of illicit substances and the misuse of prescription medications is also important. The simultaneous use of several substances (polysubstance use) and comorbid mental health problems are also common.

The substances considered in this chapter include cannabis (marijuana), methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA or ecstasy), meth/amphetamines (including powder/pills [speed] and crystals [crystal meth or ice]), cocaine and opioids (including heroin) and misuse of pharmaceuticals.

No information relevant to lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD) was identified.

15.1.1 Prevalence of substance use in Australia

General population

According to the 2013 National Drug Strategy Household Survey, trends in substance use ‘in the last 12 months’ among Australians aged >14 years are as follows (AIHW 2014):

- cannabis: use has remained relatively stable since 2004 (10.2% recent use; 35% in their lifetime)
- ecstasy: use has declined (3.0% in 2010 to 2.5% in 2013)
- meth/amphetamine: use has remained stable (2.1% since 2007) but, among meth/amphetamine users, use of ice has almost doubled (22% in 2010 to 50% in 2013) and that of speed has almost halved (51% in 2010 to 29% in 2013)
- cocaine: use has remained stable (2.1% in 2010 and 2013)
- heroin: use has declined (0.8% in 1998 to 0.1% in 2013)
- misuse of pharmaceutical medications: misuse has increased (7.4% in 2010 to 11.4% in 2013).

The type of substance use in the last 12 months varied across jurisdictions. For example (AIHW 2014):

- cannabis was most commonly used in the Northern Territory (17.1%); almost double the usage in Victoria (9.1%)
- meth/amphetamines were used more by people in Western Australia (3.8%) than other jurisdictions
- people in New South Wales (2.7%) and the Australian Capital Territory (2.8%) were more likely to use cocaine than people in other jurisdictions
- ecstasy use was most common in the Northern Territory (3.7%)
- people in Western Australia were more likely to misuse pharmaceuticals (5.6%) than those in any other jurisdiction.

Pregnancy

The National Drug Strategy Household Survey reported that in Australia in 2013 (AIHW 2014):

- regardless of whether women knew they were pregnant or not, 2.2% had used an illicit substance such as marijuana and 0.9% had misused prescription analgesics
- among pregnant women, a small minority had used illicit substances; 2.4% before knowledge of their pregnancy and 1.6% after they knew they were pregnant.
15.1.2 Risks associated with substance use in pregnancy

Systematic reviews of observational studies have identified the following maternal and perinatal risks associated with substance use.

- **Marijuana use in pregnancy**: One review (n=31) found an association with increased risk of low birth weight (RR 1.43, 95%CI 1.27 to 1.62) and preterm birth (RR 1.32, 95%CI 1.14-1.54) but, when pooled data were adjusted for tobacco use and other confounding factors, there was no statistically significant difference (birth weight RR 1.16, 95%CI 0.98 to 1.37; preterm birth RR 1.08, 95%CI 0.82 to 1.34) (Conner et al. 2016). Another review that did not adjust for confounders found an increase in risk of low birth weight (OR 1.77; 95%CI 1.04 to 3.01) and maternal anaemia (OR 1.36; 95%CI 1.10 to 1.69) (Gunn et al. 2016).

- **Amphetamine use in pregnancy**: Significant increases in unadjusted risks of preterm birth (OR 4.11; 95%CI, 3.05 to 5.55), low birthweight (OR 3.97; 95%CI, 2.45 to 6.43), and small for gestational age (OR 5.79; 95%CI 1.39 to 24.06) were identified and mean birthweight was significantly lower (MD -279 g; 95% CI, -485 to -74 g) (Ladhani et al. 2011).

- **Cocaine use in pregnancy**: There was an association with significantly higher risk of preterm birth (OR 3.38; 95%CI 2.72 to 4.21), low birthweight (OR 3.66; 95%CI 2.90 to 4.63), and small-for-gestational-age infants (OR 3.23; 95%CI 2.43 to 4.30), as well as lower gestational age at birth (-1.47 wk; 95%CI -1.97 to -0.98 wk) and reduced birthweight (-492 g; 95%CI -562 to -421 g) (Gouin et al. 2011).

- **Opioid dependence in pregnancy**: A review of neurobehavioural function in infants (mean age 14.1 months) found non-significant mean effect sizes in favour of non-opioid exposed controls for cognition (0.24, 95%CI -0.09 to 0.58, Z=1.41, p=0.16), psychomotor function (0.28, 95%CI -0.05 to 0.61, Z=1.67, p=0.09) and behaviour (corrected mean 1.21, 95%CI -0.61 to 3.03, Z=1.30, p=0.19); (Baladacchino et al. 2014).

A cohort study found that births associated with maternal opioid abuse or dependence compared with those without opioid abuse or dependence were associated with an increased odds of maternal death during hospitalisation (aOR 4.6; 95%CI 1.8 to 12.1); cardiac arrest (aOR 3.6; 95% CI 1.4 to 9.1), intrauterine growth restriction (aOR 2.7; 95%CI 2.4 to 2.9), placental abruption (aOR 2.4; 95%CI 2.1 to 2.6), length of stay >7 days (aOR 2.2; 95%CI 2.0 to 2.5), preterm labour (aOR 2.1; 95%CI 2.0 to 2.3), oligohydramnios (aOR 1.7; 95%CI 1.6 to 1.9), transfusion (aOR 1.7; 95% CI 1.5 to 1.9), stillbirth (aOR 1.5; 95%CI 1.3 to 1.8), premature rupture of membranes (aOR 1.4; 95%CI 1.3 to 1.6) and caesarean section (aOR 1.2; 95%CI 1.1 to 1.3) (Maeda et al. 2014).

No studies were identified that investigated outcomes associated with the use of crystal methamphetamine, LSD or ecstasy in pregnancy.

15.2 Assessing substance use

The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends screening for substance use in pregnancy (WHO 2014). Periodic screening for substance use in pregnancy is also recommended in Canada (SOGC 2011). In Australia, guidelines developed nationally and revised by New South Wales Health (NSW Health 2014) recommend screening for substance use early in pregnancy. They emphasise the importance of establishing an effective relationship with the woman based on respect and non-judgmental attitudes, of engaging the woman into adequate antenatal care through this relationship, and of maintaining continuity of care and of carers throughout the pregnancy and postnatal period.

Validated screening instruments for substance use are available (see Section 15.5).

**Consensus-based recommendation**

| VII. Early in pregnancy, assess a woman’s use of illicit substances and misuse of pharmaceuticals and provide advice about the associated harms. | Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022 |

**Practice point**

| U. Asking about substance use at subsequent visits is important as some women are more likely to report sensitive information only after a trusting relationship has been established. | Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022 |
15.2.1 Referral and intervention
Australian guidelines (NSW Health 2014) recommend that pregnant women with significant problematic substance use will benefit from an appropriate referral for specialist drug and alcohol assessment (in addition to midwifery and obstetric care), appointment of a consistent and continuous case manager and care team who use effective communication systems, and specific treatments for their substance use, which may include counselling, pharmacotherapies and relapse prevention strategies.

Psychosocial interventions
Cognitive behavioural therapy compared to brief advice for pregnant women with problematic substance use had no clear effect on the risk of low birth weight (RR 0.72, 95%CI 0.36 to 1.43; 1 study; n=160; low quality), preterm birth (RR 0.5; 95%CI 0.23 to 1.09; 1 study; n=163; low quality) or maternal substance use (no significant difference at birth or 3 months postpartum) (WHO 2014).

Pharmacological interventions
A Cochrane review on treatments for women with opioid dependence in pregnancy (Minozzi et al 2013) did not find sufficient significant differences between methadone and buprenorphine or slow-release morphine to allow conclusions to be drawn on whether one treatment is superior to another for all relevant outcomes. While methadone seems superior in terms of retaining women in treatment, buprenorphine seems to lead to less severe neonatal abstinence syndrome (Minozzi et al 2013).

15.3 Discussing substance use
Discussions with women identified as using illicit substances or misusing pharmaceuticals may include:
• the harms associated with substance use and the benefits of reducing or ceasing their use
• the availability of local support services, including mental health and drug and alcohol services
• for opioid-dependent women, the benefits and harms of methadone compared to buprenorphine or oral slow-release morphine.

15.4 Practice summary: substance use
When: Early in pregnancy and at subsequent visits
Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker

☐ Explain the purpose of enquiring about substance use: Explain that enquiry about substance use is a routine part of antenatal care and that it aims to identify women who would like assistance.

☐ Take a holistic approach: If a woman admits that she is using illicit substances or misusing pharmaceuticals (eg prescribed opioids such as codeine, oxycodone, morphine), other considerations include interventions to assist the woman and provide ongoing support. The woman’s emotional well-being, her safety and that of children in her care should be assessed and reporting and/or referral to other services (eg community services, emergency housing, police) made as required or mandated.

☐ Learn about locally available support services: Available support services for women who are using illicit substances or misusing pharmaceuticals will vary by location.

☐ Document the discussion: Document in the medical record any evidence of substance use, referrals made and any information the woman provides. If woman-held records are used, the information included in these should be limited and more detailed records kept at the health service.

☐ Seek support: Depending on your skills and experience in discussing substance use with women and assisting them, seek advice and support through training programs, clinical supervision, mentoring and/or helplines.

☐ Be aware of relevant legislation: Each state and territory has requirements about reporting the potential for harms from substance use to the unborn child as set out in its legislation.
15.5 Resources


15.6 References


NSW Health (2014) *NSW Clinical Guidelines for the Management of Substance Use during Pregnancy, Birth and the Postnatal period*. Sydney: Ministry of Health NSW.


16 Oral health

Treatment of periodontal disease improves a woman’s wellbeing and is safe in pregnancy.

16.1 Background

Oral health refers to the health of tissues in the mouth, including mucous membrane, connective tissue, muscles, bone, teeth and periodontal structures or gums (gingiva). Pregnancy itself does not have a negative effect on oral health, but may increase the risk of dental problems (eg frequent vomiting may raise acidity in the mouth and contribute to caries). As well, high levels of hormones increase blood flow to the gums and may cause inflammation and bleeding (gingivitis) (Taanni et al 2003). In the absence of local plaque build up, healthy gums will not show changes during pregnancy but the risk of peridontitis (inflammation and destruction of supporting tissues around the teeth [ADA 1999]) is increased.

Measures to prevent caries and periodontal disease include regular brushing and flossing and regular dental checkups, with teeth cleaning and treatment as required.

16.1.1 Oral health in Australia

Access to dental services in Australia varies, with limited services available in rural and remote areas and women in all areas potentially affected by the costs of dental services, which are not covered under Medicare. Data from the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare show that:

- dental care in Australia is largely provided in the private sector, with public dental patients generally being health care card holders and socioeconomically disadvantaged (AIHW 2010)
- adults living outside major cities are more likely to have poorer dental health, such as more tooth loss and untreated decay and less likely to have visited the dentist in the previous 12 months than those in major cities (AIHW 2009)
- Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander adults seeking dental care in Australia in 2004-06 had a greater average number of decayed and missing teeth and a lower average number of filled teeth than non-Indigenous adults across most age groups (AIHW 2008).

16.1.2 Prevalence of periodontal disease in pregnancy

A multicentre randomised trial (n=3,563) found a prevalence of periodontal disease of 50% during pregnancy (Macones et al 2010). The incidence of periodontal disease has been associated with lower levels of education and socioeconomic status (Machuca et al 1990; Gaffield et al 2001; Taanni et al 2003).

16.1.3 Risks associated with periodontal disease in pregnancy

Associations between periodontal disease and preterm birth (Jeffcoat et al 2003; Lopez et al 2005; Offenbacher et al 2006; Polyzos et al 2009) and low birth weight (Lopez et al 2005; Sadatmansouri et al 2006; Taranum & Faizuddin 2007) have been suggested. However, a recent cohort study (n=876) found no association between periodontal disease and adverse pregnancy outcomes (Srinivas et al 2009) and a meta-analysis of observational studies (Khadar & Ta’ani 2005) found that treating periodontal disease did not decrease the rate of preterm birth. Controlled trials into treating periodontitis during pregnancy have also found:

- improved periodontal disease but no significant change in rates of preterm birth, low birth weight or fetal growth restriction (n=812) (Michalowicz et al 2006; Novak et al 2008; Michalowicz et al 2009)
- no significant reduction in the risk of preterm birth (n=824) (Offenbacher et al 2009; Macones et al 2010), although treatment may protect against low birth weight (n=339) (Cruz et al 2010)
- no significant differences between women receiving treatment during or after pregnancy in terms of preterm birth (9.3% vs 9.7%), birth weight (3,450 vs 3,410g) or pre-eclampsia (4.1% vs 3.4%) (n=1,082) (Newnham et al 2009)
- a reduction in the incidence of caries among children whose mothers received oral health advice during pregnancy (1.7% vs 9.6% in the control group) (n=649) (Plutzer & Spencer 2008).
16.2 Providing advice on oral health

Good oral health and control of oral disease is important to a woman’s health and quality of life and has the potential to reduce transmission of pathogenic bacteria from mothers to their children (CDAF 2010). Dental treatment can be safely provided at any time during pregnancy (ADA 1999) if the dentist is informed of the pregnancy.

An Australian survey of women who had recently given birth (n=388) (Thomas et al 2008) found that most were knowledgeable about oral and dental health but only a small percentage knew about periodontal disease. Lack of knowledge about oral and dental health was strongly linked to lower educational achievement and lower socioeconomic background. Over half of respondents had not attended a dentist in the previous 12 months, and only 30% attended during their most recent pregnancy.

16.2.1 Nausea and vomiting

Nausea and vomiting have the potential to affect oral health:

- frequent snacks and soft drinks/carbonated drinks and cravings for particular foods (often sweet) can increase risk of tooth decay
- excessive vomiting brings teeth into contact with strong stomach acid
- repeated reflux and vomiting can damage tooth enamel and increase the risk of decay.

Measures to reduce the impact of nausea and vomiting on oral health include (Morgan et al 2008; CDAF 2010; Rogers 2011):

- rinsing the mouth with a solution of bicarbonate of soda after vomiting, avoiding tooth brushing directly after vomiting as the effect of erosion can be increased by brushing an already demineralised tooth surface
- using fluoridated mouthwash and toothpaste
- eating small amounts of nutritious yet non-cariogenic foods (snacks rich in protein) throughout the day
- chewing sugar-free gum (especially gums containing xylitol or casein phosphopeptide-amorphous calcium phosphate [CPP-ACP]) after meals or high sugar or acidic drinks.

**Recommendation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 At the first antenatal visit, advise women to have oral health checks and treatment, if required, as good oral health is important to a woman’s health and treatment can be safely provided during pregnancy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

16.3 Practice summary: advising women about oral health

**When:** At antenatal visits

**Who:** Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker

- **Discuss oral health with women:** Explain that pregnancy does not cause dental problems but may make them more likely. Advise women to have their oral health checked and to tell the dentist that they are pregnant.

- **Provide advice on oral health to women experiencing nausea and vomiting:** Explain that vomiting exposes teeth to acid and give tips on how to reduce the impact (see above).

16.4 Resources
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17 Sexual activity

Women and their partners may ask about the safety of sexual activity during pregnancy. They can be reassured that there is little evidence of harm to low-risk pregnancies.

17.1 Background

The frequency of sexual activity in pregnancy varies widely, with a tendency to decrease with advancing pregnancy, particularly during the third trimester (Alder 1989; Barclay et al 1994; Asian et al 2005; Gökyildiz & Beji 2005; Johnson 2011; Jones et al 2011). Factors contributing to decreased sexual activity include nausea, fear of miscarriage, fear of harming the baby, lack of interest, discomfort, physical awkwardness, fear of membrane rupture, fear of infection, and fatigue (Gökyildiz & Beji 2005; Brtnicka et al 2009; Jones et al 2011).

As well as concerns about the safety of sex during pregnancy, women may ask about sexual activity as a natural way to induce labour at term.

17.2 Discussing sexual activity

Most available evidence comes from observational studies and relies on self-reported results. In addition, studies tend to examine any sexual activity in pregnancy, so the effects of different frequencies of intercourse cannot be known. The evidence is inconsistent on the effects of sexual activity on the length of gestation.

The limited available evidence suggests that in low risk pregnancies:

- there is a low risk of adverse outcomes from sexual activity during pregnancy (Tan et al 2009; Kontoyannis et al 2011)
- sexual activity is unlikely to be associated with preterm birth (Sayle et al 2001; Yost et al 2006).

Nipple and genital stimulation have been advocated as a way of naturally promoting the release of endogenous oxytocin, and prostaglandins released in semen as a method of cervical ripening (Jones et al 2011). Overall, there is little evidence to support the theory that sexual activity has an effect in inducing labour at term. One prospective cohort study (n=200) found sexual activity at term was associated with earlier onset of labour and reduced requirement for labour induction at 41 weeks (Tan et al 2006); however, other similar studies have reported either no difference or a reduced rate of spontaneous labour prior to the date of scheduled labour induction (Schaffir 2006; Tan et al 2007).

While there is no evidence to suggest a clear benefit from restricted sexual activity in women who are at risk of preterm labour (eg previous spontaneous preterm birth) or antepartum haemorrhage because of placenta praevia, it may be advisable for them to abstain from sexual activity (Jones et al 2011).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Advise pregnant women without complications that safe sexual activity in pregnancy is not known to be associated with any adverse outcomes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019

17.3 Practice summary: sexual activity

When: A woman asks about sexual activity during pregnancy

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker; sexual health worker

- Discuss any concerns: Explain that the desire for sex commonly decreases as the pregnancy progresses and after the birth, and in most women gradually returns over time.

- Provide reassurance: Reassure women that sex is not likely to harm the pregnancy or increase the risk of preterm birth.

- Take a holistic approach: Explain that it is a woman’s choice whether she is sexually active and she has the right not to consent. Also explain that childbirth and parenting may have an effect on a couple’s sex life.
17.4 Resources


17.5 References

Travel

Discussing the risks associated with travel during pregnancy enables women to make informed decisions and take measures to improve their safety.

18.1 Background

Studies have identified limited knowledge among women of factors associated with travel during pregnancy, including the correct use of seat belts and risks associated with overseas travel.

18.1.1 Risks associated with travel in pregnancy

- **Car travel**: Severe and non-severe injuries from motor vehicle accidents are associated with adverse maternal and fetal outcomes (Schiff & Holt 2005; El Kady et al 2006; Hitosugi et al 2006; Wahabi et al 2007; Aboutanos et al 2008; Klinich et al 2008; Kvarnstrand et al 2008; Schiff et al 2008; Weiss et al 2008; Cheng et al 2012), with a higher risk of adverse outcomes if the birth takes place during an admission for a motor vehicle accident (Vivian-Taylor et al 2012). Adverse maternal and fetal outcomes are more likely following a motor vehicle accident if a seat belt is not worn (Hyde 2003; Klinich et al 2008; Motozawa et al 2010). Airbag deployment does not appear to adversely affect maternal or fetal outcomes (Metz & Abbott 2006; Schiff et al 2010).

- **Long-distance air travel**: Commercial flights are normally safe for pregnant women (Freeman et al 2004; RCOG 2008; ACOG 2009) and frequent air travel during pregnancy (e.g. by flight crew members) does not appear to increase the risk of adverse outcomes (Irgens et al 2003; dos Santos Silva et al 2009). However, air travel at 34–37 weeks gestation has been associated with an increased risk of preterm birth (Chibber et al 2006; Magann et al 2010). Venous thrombosis, which is associated with long-distance air travel in the general population (Belcaro et al 2001), is more likely in pregnancy.

- **Overseas travel**: Exposure to infection is increased with travel to certain regions. Pregnant women are more likely than non-pregnant women to become infected with malaria (Coll et al 2008). Malaria during pregnancy is associated with spontaneous miscarriage, preterm birth, low birth weight, stillbirth, congenital infection and maternal death (Lagerberg 2008).

18.2 Discussing travel during pregnancy

While the available evidence on travel in pregnancy is from low level studies and is heterogeneous, this evidence largely supports the NICE recommendations.

18.2.1 Car travel

High-level evidence from general populations supports the use of seat belts (Glassbrenner & Starnes 2009). However, studies examining pregnant women’s knowledge and compliance of seat belt use and health professionals’ counselling on the use of seat belts in pregnancy have found a lack of knowledge, compliance and advice given (McGwin et al 2004a; McGwin et al 2004b; Beck et al 2005; Jamjute et al 2005; Taylor et al 2005; Sirin et al 2007). Information provided to pregnant women can promote correct use of seat belts (McGwin et al 2004b).

The Confidential Enquiry into Maternal Deaths in the United Kingdom provides the following advice on the correct use of seat belts in pregnancy (Lewis & Drife 2001):

- straps should be placed above and below the ‘bump’, not over it
- use three-point seat belts with the lap strap placed as low as possible beneath the ‘bump’, lying across the thighs with the diagonal shoulder strap above the bump lying between the breasts
- adjust the fit to be as snug as comfortably possible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Inform pregnant women about the correct use of seat belts; that is, three-point seat belts ‘above and below the bump, not over it’.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019
18.2.2 Overseas travel

Overseas travel is increasingly common in pregnancy (McGovern et al 2007), and women are not always adequately prepared in terms of travel advice and insurance (Kingman & Economides 2003). Travel-related morbidity can be avoided by postponing the trip until after the birth, but this may not be feasible due to family desire or emergent situations. It is important to convey the risks associated with travel during pregnancy and to inform women of useful preventive interventions (McGovern et al 2007).

Long-distance air travel

The policies of commercial airlines regarding travel by pregnant women vary, with most limiting air travel beyond 36 weeks gestation due to associated risks (Breathnach et al 2004). Some airlines require that women carry with them a letter from their doctor or midwife outlining the estimated due date, single or multiple pregnancies, the absence of complications, and fitness to fly for the duration of the flight(s) booked. A survey of women’s knowledge of air travel risks in pregnancy reported that only one-third of respondents sought travel advice and one-quarter were unaware of the risk of venous thrombosis (Kingman & Economides 2003). Advice on venous thrombosis provided by health professionals also varies (ranging from simple preventive measures to use of aspirin or heparin) (Voss et al 2004).

Preventive measures to minimise the risk of venous thrombosis include (ACOG 2009; Brenner 2009):

- using support stockings and periodic movement of the lower extremities
- avoiding restrictive clothing
- going for a walk occasionally
- maintaining hydration (eg drinking plenty of water, avoiding caffeine and not drinking alcohol).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Inform pregnant women that long-distance air travel is associated with an increased risk of venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism, although it is unclear whether there is additional risk during pregnancy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vaccinations

Some vaccinations for travel overseas are contraindicated in pregnancy. The NICE Guidelines (NICE 2008) advise:

- in general, killed or inactivated vaccines, toxoids and polysaccharides can be given during pregnancy, as can oral polio vaccine
- live vaccines are generally contraindicated because of largely theoretical risks to the baby
- measles, mumps, rubella, BCG and yellow fever vaccines should be avoided in pregnancy.

The risks and benefits of specific vaccines should be examined for each woman and the advice of a travel medicine doctor sought. Recommendations on vaccinations during pregnancy are included in the Australian Immunisation Handbook and the World Health Organization provides interactive maps on areas where the risk of specific infections is medium to high (see Section 18.4).

Travel insurance

Women should be advised to compare various policies and read the exclusion clauses carefully.

Practice point

V. Pregnant women should be advised to discuss considerations such as air travel, vaccinations and travel insurance with their midwife or doctor if they are planning to travel overseas.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Travel to malaria-endemic areas

Due to the risks associated with maternal malaria and potential adverse effects associated with preventive medications, the safest option is for women to avoid travel to malaria-endemic areas during pregnancy. When travel cannot be deferred, women should be advised about preventive measures and any risks associated with them.

Taking precautions against mosquito bites is an important preventive measure. Insecticide-treated bed nets have been shown to reduce malarial levels in the general population (Jacquerioz & Croft 2009) and adverse
outcomes among pregnant women (Gamble et al 2006). Other barrier measures include:

- wearing clothes that have been pretreated with insecticide
- wearing long-sleeved treated clothing when outdoors in the evening and at night
- applying insect repellent regularly to exposed skin.

Barrier measures have the additional advantage of protecting against other mosquito-transmitted infections, such as dengue fever, Japanese encephalitis and yellow fever.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18 If pregnant women cannot defer travel to malaria-endemic areas, advise them to use insecticide-treated bed nets.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019

Medications to prevent malaria infection reduce antenatal parasite prevalence and placental malaria among pregnant women, regardless of number of previous pregnancies (Garner & Gülmezoglu 2006). Among women having their first or second baby, they also have positive effects on birth weight and may reduce the risk of perinatal death (Garner & Gülmezoglu 2006).

The use of preventive medicine depends on the level of risk (eg travel destination, season, length of stay). The Therapeutic Goods Administration advises that the use of preventive medicines is justified in high-risk situations (TGA 2013).

**Practice point**

Beyond the first trimester, mefloquine is approved for use to prevent malaria. Neither malarone nor doxycycline are recommended for prophylaxis any time during pregnancy. Chloroquine (or hydroxychloroquine) plus proguanil is safe but less effective so seldom used. For areas where only vivax is endemic, chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine alone is appropriate.

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019

Current information on specific medicines in pregnancy is available from the Therapeutic Goods Administration and information on areas where there is a risk of transmission of malaria is available from the WHO and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (see Section 18.4).

The risks and benefits of specific anti-malarial medications should be examined for each woman and the advice of an expert in travel medicine sought.

### 18.3 Practice summary: travel

**When:** Early in antenatal care and when women seek advice about travel during pregnancy

**Who:** Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker; infectious disease specialist; travel medicine specialist

- **Discuss the use of seat belts:** Explain that using a seat belt will not harm the baby and will improve outcomes should an accident occur. Describe how to fit the seat belt correctly.

- **Discuss air travel:** If a woman is planning long-distance air travel during pregnancy, she should discuss this with a health professional and make enquiries with individual airlines and travel insurers to assess whether planned travel is possible. If travel is arranged, provide advice on minimising the risk of venous thrombosis.

- **Discuss prevention of infection while travelling:** Explain that vaccinations required for travel to some destinations may be contraindicated during pregnancy. Provide advice on malaria prevention to women who are unable to defer travel to malaria-endemic areas.

- **Take a holistic approach:** Assist women who are planning to travel to access relevant services (eg health professionals with expertise in travel medicine). Advise that they take their antenatal record with them when travelling.
18.4 Resources


CDC Traveler’s Health


WHO Interactive disease maps

18.5 References


PART D: CLINICAL ASSESSMENTS

A range of clinical assessments is offered to promote and enhance the physical and emotional wellbeing of a woman and her baby during pregnancy. This section discusses assessments that are offered to all women during pregnancy. Recommendations are based on evidence about the accuracy of assessments in predicting complications in pregnancy and the effectiveness of interventions in reducing symptoms.

Table D1 presents a summary of advice on assessments during pregnancy considered a priority for inclusion in these Guidelines. Advice on other assessments, such as routine breast and pelvic examination (which are not recommended) is included in the NICE Guidelines (NICE 2008).

Table D1: Summary of advice for women about assessments during pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical assessment</th>
<th>Advice about assessment</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight and body mass index</td>
<td>Calculation of body mass index at the first antenatal visit allows appropriate advice about nutrition and physical activity to be given during pregnancy</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age</td>
<td>Ultrasound scanning is most accurate in determining gestational age between 8 and 14 weeks of pregnancy; after 24 weeks of pregnancy, the date of the last menstrual period is used</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal development and anatomy</td>
<td>Ultrasound scanning at 18–20 weeks of pregnancy detects structural anomalies</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal growth restriction and wellbeing</td>
<td>Fetal growth is assessed at each antenatal visit</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Promoting awareness of the normal pattern of fetal movement assists women in knowing when to seek advice if they perceive decreased or absent movements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hearing the fetal heart is not predictive of pregnancy outcomes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of preterm birth</td>
<td>Discussing risk and protective factors for preterm birth may assist some women to reduce their risk</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure</td>
<td>Measuring blood pressure at the first antenatal visit allows identification of women who have chronic hypertension and may require additional monitoring during pregnancy.</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinuria</td>
<td>Testing women for proteinuria at the first antenatal visit identifies existing kidney disease or urinary tract infection</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>Routine measuring of blood pressure during pregnancy allows monitoring for new onset hypertension</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After the first antenatal visit, proteinuria is tested in women with risk factors for, or clinical indications of, pre-eclampsia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
19  Weight and body mass index

Body mass index (prior to pregnancy or at the first antenatal visit) and weight gain during pregnancy are among the important determinants of the health of both mother and baby.

19.1  Background

The worldwide prevalence of obesity has risen dramatically in the past few decades and Australia is among those countries with the highest prevalence. There is a well-documented increased risk of complications for women who are overweight or obese during pregnancy. Conversely, being underweight during pregnancy can also affect the baby’s health.

19.1.1  Calculating and interpreting BMI

Body mass index (BMI) is an index of weight-for-height that is commonly used to classify underweight, overweight and obesity in adults. It is calculated by dividing weight by the square of height: weight (kg)/height (m)$^2$. The WHO classification of BMI classification is given in Table D2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI (kg/m$^2$)</th>
<th>Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18.50</td>
<td>Underweight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5-24.9</td>
<td>Healthy weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0-29.9</td>
<td>Overweight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥30.0</td>
<td>Obesity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


19.1.2  Weight classification during pregnancy in Australia

Among women who gave birth in Australia in 2013 (AIHW 2016):$^{16}$

- 19% were obese, 24% were overweight, 46% were in the normal weight range and 3% were underweight at the beginning of their pregnancy
- Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women were more likely than non-Indigenous women to be obese (25%) or underweight (7%), less likely to be in the normal weight range (37%) and had a similar likelihood of being overweight (23%)
- compared to women born in Australia, women born overseas were less likely to be obese (13 vs 21%) and more likely to be in the healthy weight range (51 vs 44%); rates of overweight (23 vs 25%) or underweight (4 vs 3%) were similar
- compared to women in the highest socioeconomic status quintile, those in the lowest quintile were more likely to be obese (25 vs 12%), less likely to be in the healthy weight range (40 vs 53%) and had a similar likelihood of being overweight (25 vs 23%) or underweight (both 4%)
- obesity was most common in very remote areas (25 vs 17% in major cities), prevalence of overweight was similar across geographical regions, prevalence of healthy weight decreased with increasing remoteness (47% in major cities to 36% in very remote areas) and underweight was more common in very remote areas (6 vs 3% in major cities).

19.1.3  Risks associated with a low or high BMI

- **Underweight**: a low BMI is associated with increased risk of preterm birth, small-for-gestational-age babies and low birth weight (Liu et al 2016). A BMI <20 has been associated with an increased risk of a low birth weight baby among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women (Panaretto et al 2006).

$^{16}$ Data from Victoria, Queensland, Western Australia, South Australia, Tasmania and the Australian Capital Territory.
• **Overweight**: BMI >25 has been linked with stillbirth (Chu et al 2007a), congenital anomalies (Chu et al 2007b; Oddy et al 2009; Stothard et al 2009), neural tube defects (Rasmussen et al 2008; Oddy et al 2009; Stothard et al 2009), preterm birth (Viswanathan et al 2008; McDonald et al 2010), low birth weight (Viswanathan et al 2008; McDonald et al 2010), large-for-gestational-age babies (HAPO 2010), gestational hypertension (Callaway et al 2006), gestational diabetes (Chu et al 2007b; Callaway et al 2006), postpartum haemorrhage (CMACE & RCOG 2010) and major depressive disorders (Bodnar et al 2009).

• **Obesity**: BMI ≥30 is also linked to the above outcomes and to an inability to initiate breastfeeding (Viswanathan et al 2008), postpartum weight retention (Thornton et al 2009) and increased rate of caesarean section (Callaway et al 2006; Chu et al 2007c; HAPO 2010).

### 19.1.4 Weight gain during pregnancy

While BMI prior to or early in pregnancy is independently associated with pregnancy outcomes, the amount of weight gained during pregnancy is also a contributing factor (Nohr et al 2008; Viswanathan et al 2008). The US Institute of Medicine (IOM) provides guidance on weight gain in pregnancy based on pre-pregnancy BMI (see Table D3).

#### Table D3: IOM 2009 recommendations for weight gain in pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Recommended weight gain (kg)</th>
<th>Rates of weight gain 2nd and 3rd trimester (kg/wk)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18.5</td>
<td>12.5-18.0</td>
<td>0.51 (0.44-0.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5 to 24.9</td>
<td>11.5-16.0</td>
<td>0.42 (0.35-0.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0 to 29.9</td>
<td>7.0-11.5</td>
<td>0.28 (0.23-0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥30</td>
<td>5.0-9.0</td>
<td>0.22 (0.17-0.27)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note:* The IOM recommendations are consensus-based and were written in 2009. Since that time, evidence has accumulated on the need to tailor weight gain recommendations to the individual in women with a BMI ≥40; further research is required into the applicability of the IOM recommendations in these women. It should also be noted that the recommended weight gain ranges are indicative only and provide suggested limits rather than specific goals.

Source: (NHMRC 2013) based on (IOM 2009).

A recent systematic review of 23 studies from the US, Europe and Asia found 47% of women gained more and 23% gained less weight during pregnancy than recommended (Goldstein et al 2017). In an Australian study (de Jersey et al 2012), 36% of women gained weight according to guidelines, 26% gained inadequate weight and 38% gained excess weight. Among overweight women, 56% gained weight in excess of the IOM guidelines compared with 30% of those who started with a healthy weight (P < 0.001).

### 19.1.5 Risks associated with low or high weight gain during pregnancy

A recent systematic review found (Goldstein et al 2017):

- gestational weight gain below the recommendations was associated with higher risk of small-for-gestational age (OR 1.53; 95% CI 1.44 to 1.64) and preterm birth (OR 1.70; 95% CI 1.32 to 2.20); there was no clear difference in risk of caesarean section (OR 0.98; 95% CI 0.96 to 1.02).

- gestational weight gain above the recommendations was associated with higher risk of large-for-gestational age (OR 1.85; 95% CI 1.76 to 1.95), macrosomia (OR 1.95; 95% CI 1.79 to 2.11) and caesarean section (OR 1.30; 95% CI 1.25 to 1.35).

Gestational weight gain above recommendations is also associated with hypertension (Crane et al 2009) and pre-eclampsia (DeVader et al 2007). High gestational weight gain in women who are obese has been associated with neonatal metabolic abnormalities (Crane et al 2009).

Weight gain before and during pregnancy not only affects the current pregnancy but may also contribute to future weight retention (Nohr et al 2008; Viswanathan et al 2008; Siega-Riz et al 2009).
19.2 Assessing BMI and weight gain

Routinely measuring women’s height and weight and calculating BMI at an early antenatal contact is recommended in New Zealand (NZ MoH 2014), the United Kingdom (NICE updated 2016), the United States (ACOG 2013) and in Australia (RANZCOG 2017).

Encouraging self-monitoring of weight is recommended in New Zealand (NZ MoH 2014), while the NICE guidelines recommend confining repeated weighing to circumstances in which clinical management is likely to be influenced (NICE updated 2016). In Canada, weight gain tracking charts have been developed for the different weight classifications (Health Canada 2010).

Guidelines on the management of obesity in pregnancy have been developed in Australia (RANZCOG 2017), the United Kingdom (CMACE & RCOG 2010) and Canada (SOGC 2010). These guidelines are consistent in recommending that women who are obese be advised of the risks associated with obesity in pregnancy.

19.2.1 Measuring height and weight and calculating BMI

Routine measurement of women’s weight and height at the first antenatal contact allows identification of women who require additional care during pregnancy. When there is an accurate record of a woman’s pre-pregnancy BMI, this may be used to inform gestational weight gain. Note that the BMI can be less accurate for assessing healthy weight in certain groups due to variations in muscle mass and fat mass (eg cut-offs lower than the WHO classifications are recommended for women from Asian backgrounds and higher cut-offs are recommended for women from Pacific Islands) (Duerenberg et al 2002; James et al 2004; Depres & Tchernof 2007).

Consensus-based recommendations

| VIII. | Measure women’s weight and height at the first antenatal visit and calculate their body mass index (BMI) to inform gestational weight gain. |
| IX. | Give women advice about appropriate weight gain during pregnancy in relation to their pre-pregnancy BMI (if recorded) or their BMI at the first antenatal visit. |

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016 UNDER REVIEW

19.2.2 Discussing weight and weight gain with women

Women who have a BMI that is below or above the healthy range are likely to require additional care during pregnancy. For women with an elevated BMI, there may be additional implications for care during pregnancy (eg the potential for poor ultrasound visualisation) and the birth (eg need for the birth to take place in a larger centre, difficulties with fetal monitoring). Relevant risks associated with a woman’s BMI should be explained and the woman given the opportunity to discuss these and how they might be minimised.

Practice point

| X. | Adopting a respectful, positive and supportive approach and providing information about healthy eating and physical activity in an appropriate format may assist discussion of weight management. This should be informed by appropriate education for health professionals. |

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016 UNDER REVIEW

19.2.3 Recent evidence on routine weight monitoring

No systematic reviews on weight monitoring were identified. A recent Australian RCT (n=782) (Brownfoot et al 2015; Brownfoot et al 2016) addressed regular weighing at antenatal care visits plus advice on weight gain versus usual care. The study found no clear difference in weight gain, proportion of women gaining more weight than IOM recommended range or secondary outcomes (Brownfoot et al 2015). Among a subset of women who provided feedback (n=586), 73% were comfortable with being weighed routinely (Brownfoot et al 2016).

A pilot study (Daley et al 2015) (n=76) combined regular weighing by midwives and advice on weight gain with self-weighing between antenatal visits. Compared to usual care, there was no clear difference in the percentage of women gaining excessive weight during pregnancy or in mean depression and anxiety scores. Feedback in a subset of participants showed support for routine weighing among participants (9/12) and midwives (7/7).
When these two trials were pooled (n=711), there was no clear difference in excessive gestational weight (RR 1.05 95% CI 0.95 to 1.16) or in mean weekly weight gain (0.01 kg per week 95%CI –0.03 to 0.05). Quality of evidence was low for both outcomes. There was no indication in the two trials that either excessive gestational weight gain or mean gestational weight gain differed in women of normal weight at the beginning of pregnancy compared with women who were overweight or obese.

A third study (from Australia) found that, compared to usual care, self-weighing plus advice on weight gain reduced weight gain among women who were overweight but not among women who were normal weight or obese before pregnancy. However, the intervention did not influence excessive weight gain (n=236) (Jeffries et al 2009).

**Consensus-based recommendation**

X. At every antenatal visit, offer women the opportunity to be weighed and encourage self-monitoring of weight gain.

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022

**19.2.4 Supporting weight management**

A recent meta-analysis of individual patient data from 36 RCTs, found that diet and physical activity based interventions during pregnancy reduced gestational weight gain (MD −0.70 kg; 95% CI −0.92 to –0.48 kg) and lowered the odds of caesarean section (OR 0.91; 95% CI 0.88 to 0.99) (i-WIP Collaborative Group 2017). There was no evidence that effects differ across subgroups of women (ie it is likely that women of all BMI groups could benefit from specific advice on diet and physical activity for weight management).

**Consensus-based recommendation**

Xi. At every antenatal visit, discuss weight change, diet and level of physical activity with all women.

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022

Nutrition and physical activity in pregnancy are discussed in Chapter 11.

**19.2.5 Specific risk assessments required for pregnant women who are underweight or overweight/obese**

A high BMI during pregnancy highlights the need to monitor fetal growth (RCOG 2014), gestational diabetes (Chu et al 2007b; Callaway et al 2006) and hypertensive disorders (Callaway et al 2006; HAPO 2008; 2010), congenital anomaly (Chu et al 2007b; Oddy et al 2009; Stothard et al 2009) and neural tube defects (Rasmussen et al 2008; Oddy et al 2009; Stothard et al 2009). Individual assessment of the risk of potential complications during the birth, including anaesthetic risk, may also be necessary for women with a BMI ≥40.

**19.2.6 Other considerations**

- Potential for sub-optimal visualisation on ultrasound for women with elevated BMI (delaying the ultrasound until 20 to 22 weeks pregnancy for women with BMI ≥30 may provide better results but needs to be balanced against the possibility of a delayed diagnosis of structural anomalies) (SOGC 2010).
- Antenatal consultation with an obstetric anaesthetist to identify any potential difficulties with venous access, regional or general anaesthesia for women with a BMI ≥40.
- Additional support for initiating breastfeeding for women with BMIs lower or higher than the healthy range.
- For women with a high BMI, ongoing nutritional advice following childbirth from an appropriate health professional, with a view to weight reduction and maintenance.
19.3 Practice summary: measuring weight and BMI

When: At first antenatal visit

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker

☐ Explain the purpose of assessing weight and weight gain during pregnancy: For women with a BMI outside the healthy range, discuss the risks associated with a woman’s weight being below or above the healthy range before, during pregnancy and in between pregnancies.

☐ Engage women in discussions about weight gain: Offer women the opportunity to be weighed and to discuss their weight gain since the last antenatal visit. Use the IOM recommendations to give women advice about the risks of inadequate or excessive weight gain, regardless of BMI. Provide advice on nutrition and lifestyle based on the Australian dietary and physical activity guidelines.

☐ Take a holistic approach: Provide women with culturally appropriate advice on the benefits of a healthy diet and regular physical activity.

☐ Consider referral: Women who are gaining weight at a rate below or above recommendations for gestational weight gain may benefit from referral for nutrition and lifestyle advice (eg from an accredited practising dietitian).

19.4 Resources

19.4.1 Health professionals


19.4.2 Women and families


19.5 References


Gestational age

Ultrasound examination in the first trimester allows accurate assessment of gestational age, and identifies and allows for appropriate care of women with multiple pregnancies.

20.1 Assessing gestational age

Methods used to assess gestational age include known date of ovulation, date of the last menstrual period (LMP) and diagnostic ultrasound. Diagnostic ultrasound is a sophisticated electronic technology, which uses pulses of high frequency sound to produce an image. This imaging enables measurement of the fetus and estimation of the gestational age.

20.1.1 Accuracy and effectiveness

The NICE guidelines reviewed the diagnostic value and effectiveness of screening methods in determining gestational age. Studies identified included a Cochrane review, four RCTs and a number of observational studies. Findings were as follows.

- **Accuracy of screening tests:** Evidence suggests that ultrasound is a more accurate predictor of gestational age than LMP (Okonofua 1989; Rowlands & Roysten 1993; Alexander et al 1995; Crowther et al 1999; Taipale 2001; Savitz et al 2002; Olesen & Thomsen 2006). If only LMP is available, the estimated date of birth should be calculated as the first day of the LMP plus 282 days (Nguyen 1999). The estimated date of birth based on LMP is subject to significant error and will be influenced by the woman’s age, number of previous pregnancies, BMI and whether she smokes (Savitz et al 2002; Morin 2005).
- **Measurements used:** Crown–rump length (CRL) measurement should be used in the first trimester for estimating gestational age (Selbing 1983; Taipale 2001). CRL > 90 mm is unreliable in estimating gestational age in second trimester and head circumference (HC) measurement, which appears more reliable than the biparietal diameter (BPD) (Johnsen et al 2006), should be used instead when establishing an estimated date of birth in the second trimester.

These findings are largely supported by subsequent lower level studies as follows.

- A small comparative study (n=30) (Martins et al 2008) suggested that fetal head and trunk volume (HT) could be more accurate than CRL for estimating gestational age, possibly due to flexion of the fetal head affecting CRL measurement.
- An Australian prospective cohort study (n=396) (McLennan & Schüter 2008) found that CRL measurement predictions were superior to BPD measurement predictions.
- A retrospective comparative study (n=165,908) (Dietz et al 2007) found that ultrasound-based estimates of gestational age were more accurate than LMP based-estimates of gestational age.
- A prospective cohort study (Hoffman et al 2008) found that LMP classified more births as post term than ultrasound (4.0% vs 0.7%), with a greater difference among young women, non-Hispanic Black and Hispanic women, women of non-optimal body weight and mothers of low birth weight babies.
- A retrospective study (n=40,730) (Koster et al 2008) showed that the estimation of gestational age from CRL was not consistent, with reported age for a single CRL differing by up to 10 days. This highlights the need to ensure that reference curves and standards are consistently applied.
- A prospective cross-sectional study (n=200) (Salpou et al 2008) concluded that significant ethnic differences between mothers were not reflected in fetal biometry at second trimester. The results support the recommendation that ultrasound in practical health care can be used to assess gestational age in various populations with little risk of error due to ethnic variation.

A Cochrane review (Whitworth et al 2010), which compared selective versus routine use of ultrasound in pregnancy, concluded that ultrasound improves the early detection of multiple pregnancies.
20.1.2 Timing of assessment

The systematic review conducted to inform the development of these Guidelines identified one prospective cohort study (n=8,313) (Verberg et al 2008) that investigated the best time to conduct gestational age assessment. The study found that the earlier the ultrasound assessment in pregnancy (preferably between 10 and 12 weeks), the more accurate the prediction of date of birth. The results indicate that after 24 weeks of pregnancy, a reliable LMP provides better estimates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendations</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Provide information and offer pregnant women who are unsure of their conception date an ultrasound scan between 8 weeks 0 days and 13 weeks 6 days to determine gestational age, detect multiple pregnancies and accurately time fetal anomaly screening.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Use crown-rump length (CRL) measurement to determine gestational age. If the CRL is above 84 mm, estimate the gestational age using head circumference.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

Practice point
Y. The timeframe for ultrasound assessment of gestational age overlaps with that for assessment of nuchal translucency thickness as part of testing for fetal chromosomal anomalies (11 weeks to 13 weeks 6 days), which may enable some women to have both tests in a single scan. This should only occur if women have been provided with an explanation of the purpose and implications of the tests and have given their informed consent to both tests.

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

20.1.3 Calculating the estimated date of birth

The ability to estimate the range of dates during which birth may occur is influenced by the regularity and length of a woman’s menstrual cycle, whether the date of ovulation (rather than that of intercourse) is known and the timing of any ultrasound assessment. Selection of the better estimate of the date of birth is based on the following criteria (Altman & Chitty 1997; Campbell Westerway 2000; Callen 2008):

- if the LMP was certain and menstruation regular, compare the LMP estimate to the ultrasound estimate:
  - ultrasound performed between 6 and 13 weeks pregnancy: if the two dates differ by 5 days or less, use the LMP estimate; if the dates differ by more than 5 days, use the ultrasound estimate
  - ultrasound performed between 13 and 24 weeks pregnancy: if the two dates differ by 10 days or less, use the LMP estimate; if the dates differ by more than 10 days, use the ultrasound estimate
- if the ultrasound was performed between 6 and 24 weeks pregnancy and the LMP was not certain or menstruation irregular, use the ultrasound estimate
- if the LMP was certain and menstruation regular and no ultrasound was performed between 6 and 24 weeks pregnancy (or none with a heartbeat), use the LMP estimate.

Practice point
Z. The agreed due date should not be changed without advice from another health professional with considerable experience in antenatal care.

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

20.2 Other considerations in gestational age assessment

20.2.1 Safety

The NICE guidelines do not discuss the safety of ultrasound and the literature review conducted to inform these Guidelines identified only a single prospective observational study (n=52) (Sheiner et al 2007). The study found a negligible rise in temperature at the ultrasound beam’s focal point. No studies were identified that assessed psychological harms to the mother, risk of overdiagnosis of placenta praevia or its contribution to anxiety.
20.2.2 Cost-effectiveness
An analysis of the cost implications of recommending routine ultrasound for gestational age assessment in the first trimester was undertaken to inform the development of these Guidelines (see separate document on economic analyses). The analysis aimed to balance the costs of additional scans undertaken against the savings resulting from:
- optimising the timing and performance of maternal serum testing and thereby reducing the number of diagnostic tests (chorionic villus sampling and amniocentesis) undertaken
- reducing rates of inductions (which in turn may reduce the rate of caesarean section).

The analysis was limited by a lack of data on privately funded ultrasounds and those carried out in hospitals and therefore could only identify implications for Medicare expenditure. Data limitations also meant that the analysis had to rely on a range of assumptions and on the literature, which is inconsistent in some areas. While some studies have found no significant difference in the rate of induction between women who have a first trimester scan and women who have both a first and second trimester scan (Crowther et al. 1999; Ewigman et al. 1990; Harrington et al. 2005; Whitworth et al. 2010), others have found decreased rates of induction associated with first trimester screening (Bennett et al. 2004).

The analysis was therefore unable to conclusively determine whether the benefits of the recommendation would be likely to outweigh the costs. While a maximum number of additional scans (75,500) and associated costs ($4.53 million) was estimated, the benefits vary considerably depending on whether a decrease in inductions is assumed; from $230,000 if only improved power of maternal serum testing is included, to around $17 million if a decrease in inductions is assumed, with an additional saving of around $5 million if the link between induction and caesarean section is included.

20.2.3 Who should conduct the assessment?
A range of health professionals may be trained to carry out ultrasounds, including midwives, Aboriginal health workers and GPs. In addition to having appropriate training and accreditation, it is important that caseload is sufficient to maintain skills.

Minimum standards for health professionals conducting ultrasound assessments are disseminated by the Australian Society for Ultrasound in Medicine, the Australasian Sonographer Accreditation Registry, the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Radiologists, and the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists.

Practice points
AA. Ultrasound assessment of gestational age should only be performed by a person who has had specific training.
BB. Repeated ultrasound assessments should only be used when clinically indicated.

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

20.2.4 Additional considerations for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women
Accurately assessing gestational age is particularly important among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women as:
- many women live in rural and remote areas and move to a larger centre to give birth, requiring logistical arrangements to be made around the estimated date of birth (see below)
- the higher rates of preterm birth and intrauterine growth restriction.

20.2.5 Issues of access in rural and remote areas
In remote regions, it may be difficult for women to access ultrasound examination early in pregnancy due to limited availability of adequate equipment, health professionals not offering ultrasound, a lack of accredited and trained professionals in some areas and the costs involved in travelling for the assessment (this is not consistently funded under State/Territory schemes to support travel and accommodation for women from rural and remote areas to access care and services). Health professionals should ensure that history taking is comprehensive and detailed, paying attention to ongoing assessment of fetal growth and wellbeing.
20.3 Practice summary: estimating or confirming gestational age

When: At the first antenatal visit

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker

- Discuss the purpose of the ultrasound: Explain that it is intended to assess the gestational age of the baby (when the conception date is not known) to enable other screenings that a woman elects to have to be conducted at the appropriate time.

- If a woman chooses to have an ultrasound, arrange an appointment or referral: Whether providing the ultrasound or arranging referral, ensure that the ultrasound takes place between 8 weeks 0 days and 13 weeks 6 days of pregnancy.

20.4 References


21  Fetal development and anatomy

Ultrasound examination between 18 and 20 weeks gestation allows assessment of fetal development and anatomy. It is also used to estimate gestational age when this has not been assessed in the first trimester.

21.1  Background

Diagnostic ultrasound is a sophisticated electronic technology that uses pulses of high frequency sound to produce an image. This imaging can enable measurement of the baby, estimation of the gestational age and identification of structural anomalies. Gestational age assessment and testing for chromosomal anomalies in the first trimester are discussed in Chapter 20 and Part H. This section discusses the second trimester scan to assess the development and anatomy of the baby and the position of the placenta. This assessment is also known as the morphology scan.

21.1.1  Congenital anomalies in Australia

In Australia in 2010, congenital anomalies (including chromosomal and structural anomalies) was the leading cause of perinatal death in single pregnancies (29%) and accounted for 76.1% of neonatal deaths of babies born at 32–36 weeks gestation and 44.1% of deaths of babies born after 37 weeks gestation (Li et al 2012). Available data on neural tube defects among babies born to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women show a higher overall prevalence than among non-Indigenous women (16.6 vs 7.3 per 10,000 total births in 2006-2008) (AIHW 2011).

21.2  Offering assessment of fetal development and anatomy

21.2.1  Accuracy and effectiveness of ultrasound assessment of fetal development and anatomy

- **Gestational age:** While gestational age assessment using ultrasound is more accurate in the first trimester (Kalish et al 2004; Caughey et al 2008), some women may not have access to ultrasound until later in pregnancy. Gestational age has been successfully estimated in the second trimester (Johnsen et al 2005; Oleson & Thomsen 2006).

- **Structural anomalies:** Ultrasound has been used in the second trimester to detect anomalies of the heart (Perri et al 2005; Del Bianco et al 2006; Westin et al 2006; Fadda et al 2009), renal tract (Cho et al 2005) and umbilical artery (Cristina et al 2005), neural tube defects (Norem et al 2005) and anomalies resulting from exposure to alcohol (Kfir et al 2009). The rate of detection of structural anomalies is generally higher in the second than in the first trimester (Saltvedt et al 2006; Hildebrand et al 2010).

- **“Soft” markers:** While the combination of nuchal thickness and biochemical markers in the first trimester is more effective in identifying chromosomal anomalies (see Part H), some markers (eg echogenic bowel, short femur, short humerus, thickened nuchal fold, absent nasal bone) identified in the second trimester ultrasound occur more frequently in babies with chromosomal anomalies (Bottalico et al 2009). A combination of markers is more accurate than a single marker alone; for example, only 5% of babies with identified chromosomal anomalies had echogenic bowel as the only finding (Iruretagoyena et al 2010).

- **Placenta:** Second trimester ultrasound has effectively identified placental location (Cargill et al 2009), overlap of the cervical os (Robinson et al 2012), placental length (which may assist in identifying risk of having a small-for-gestational age baby) (McGinty et al 2012) and placenta praevia (which may resolve in women with [61%] and without [90%] a previous caesarean section) (Lal et al 2012).

- **Type of ultrasonography:** Accurate assessment can be performed using standard 2D ultrasonography. Assessment may be performed more rapidly using 3D ultrasonography (Benacerraf et al 2006; Piliu et al 2006).

21.2.2  Timing of ultrasound assessment of fetal development and anatomy

Recommended timing of the ultrasound scan varies in international guidelines but is generally in the range of 18–20 weeks as:

- sensitivity in detecting structural anomalies increases after 18 weeks gestation (Cargill et al 2009)
- detection of structural anomalies before 20 weeks gestation gives women the choice of ending the pregnancy, where this is permitted under jurisdictional legislation.
Ultrasound can be used to assess gestational age up to 24 weeks gestation and to detect anomalies throughout the pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Offer pregnant women ultrasound screening to assess fetal development and anatomy between 18 and 20 weeks gestation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Practice point**

**CC.** Timing of the ultrasound will be guided by the individual situation (eg for women who are obese, visualisation may improve with gestational age).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CC. Timing of the ultrasound will be guided by the individual situation (eg for women who are obese, visualisation may improve with gestational age).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is no benefit from repeated diagnostic ultrasound assessments unless clinically indicated. Repeated tests may increase costs for women, be inconvenient and have the potential to increase anxiety (eg through false positives). As well, access for some women is limited as this technology is not available in all settings.

**Practice point**

**DD.** Repeated ultrasound assessment may be appropriate for specific indications but should not be used for routine monitoring.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DD. Repeated ultrasound assessment may be appropriate for specific indications but should not be used for routine monitoring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 21.3 Other considerations

#### 21.3.1 Benefits and harms

A Cochrane review (Whitworth et al 2010) found a reduced number of inductions for ‘prolonged pregnancy’ and no significant differences in birth weight, size for gestational age, Apgar scores and rates of admission to neonatal intensive care between babies exposed to ultrasound in early pregnancy (before 24 weeks) and those not exposed. There were no significant differences in growth and development, visual acuity or hearing for children aged 8–9 years (Whitworth et al 2010). Follow-up at 15–16 years (n=4,458) found no significant effect on overall school performance (Stalberg et al 2009).

No studies were identified that assessed psychological benefits or harms to the mother. Women may not be fully informed about the purpose of routine ultrasound and may be made anxious, or be inappropriately reassured by scans (Garcia et al 2002; Lalor & Devane 2007). A small systematic review found insufficient evidence to support either high or low levels of feedback during ultrasound to reduce maternal anxiety and change maternal health behaviour (smoking, alcohol use) (Nabhan & Faris 2010).

#### 21.3.2 Who should conduct the assessment?

Minimum standards for health professionals conducting ultrasound assessments are disseminated by the Australian Society for Ultrasound in Medicine, the Australasian Sonographer Accreditation Registry, the Australian Sonographers Association, the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Radiologists, and the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EE. Ultrasound assessment should only be performed by healthcare professionals with appropriate training and qualifications, within the appropriate scope (eg diagnostic or point of care).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 21.3.3 Access to ultrasound

The costs associated with ultrasound may limit access for some women, particularly if bulk-billed services are not available in their area.

In remote regions, it may be difficult for women to access ultrasound examination due to limited availability of appropriate equipment, a lack of accredited and trained professionals in some areas and the costs involved in travelling for the assessment. It is noted that there is a lack of consistency in funding across the States and Territories to support travel and accommodation for women from rural and remote areas to access care and services.
21.3.4 Cost effectiveness

An economic analysis carried out to inform the development of these Guidelines (see separate document on economic analyses) found that screening for congenital anomalies at 18-20 weeks is moderately cost-effective, without generating significant risks, although without driving substantive benefits. This excludes the positive psychological value of the information obtained from the ultrasound (which may be associated with improvements in fetal wellbeing) and benefits from the detection of placental problems and confirmation of gestational age, making these estimates fairly conservative.

21.4 Discussing assessment of fetal development and anatomy

Not all women will want an ultrasound and some may not understand the purpose of the assessment or think that it is being offered because there is something wrong with the pregnancy.

In discussing the ultrasound scan, it is important to explain:

- that it is the woman’s decision whether the ultrasound takes place
- where ultrasound services are available if the woman chooses to have one
- that ultrasound does not detect all fetal and maternal anomalies
- any costs involved for the woman and the timeframe for receiving results
- choices if any anomalies are detected (some parents may not want an ultrasound if there is no change in birth outcomes).

21.5 Practice summary: fetal development and anatomy

**When:** Between 18 and 20 weeks

**Who:** Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker

- **Discuss the purpose of the ultrasound:** Explain that ultrasound assessment is offered to all women to check the anatomy and growth of the baby and can also be used to estimate gestational age if this has not already been done.

- **If a woman chooses to have an ultrasound, arrange an appointment or referral:** When arranging referral, ensure that the ultrasound takes place before 20 weeks of pregnancy.

- **Take a holistic approach:** Provide advice to assist women in accessing services (e.g., availability of bulk-billed services and interpreters). For women who need to travel for assessment, explain the need to plan early and organise travel and accommodation. Provide information on available funding to assist with these costs.

- **Arrange follow-up:** Routinely make sure that women are informed of the results of the scan and document these in her antenatal record. If an anomaly is suspected or identified, offer women access to appropriate counselling and ongoing support by trained health professionals.

21.6 Resources


21.7 References


22  Fetal growth restriction and well-being

Antenatal visits provide an opportunity to assess fetal growth, auscultate the fetal heart (although this cannot predict pregnancy outcomes) and encourage women to be aware of the normal pattern of fetal movements for their baby.

22.1  Fetal growth restriction

Monitoring growth aims to identify small-for-gestational age babies, who are at increased risk of associated morbidity and mortality.

22.1.1  Background

Perinatal deaths associated with small-for-gestational age in Australia

In Australia in 2014, intrauterine growth restriction was the cause of 5.4% of perinatal deaths among singleton babies (AIHW 2016). Perinatal deaths associated with intrauterine growth restriction among singleton babies were most common at 28–31 weeks gestation (13.2%).

Risk factors for small-for-gestational age

Major risk factors (OR>2.0) for having a small-for-gestational age fetus or newborn include (RCOG 2014):

- maternal diabetes with vascular disease (OR 6.0, 95%CI 1.5 to 2.3), renal impairment (aOR 5.3, 95%CI 2.8 to 10) chronic hypertension (ARR 2.5, 95%CI 2.1 to 2.9) or antiphospholipid syndrome (RR 6.22, 95%CI 2.43 to 16.0).
- having a previous small-for-gestational age baby (OR 3.9, 95%CI 2.14 to 7.12) or stillbirth (OR 6.4, 95%CI 0.78 to 52.56).
- vigorous daily exercise (leading to being very out of breath) (aOR 3.3, 95%CI 1.5 to 7.2).
- maternal age >40 years (OR 3.2, 95%CI 1.9 to 5.4).
- PAPP-A <0.4 MoM (OR 2.6).
- using cocaine in pregnancy (OR 3.23, 95%CI 2.43 to 4.3).
- smoking 11 or more cigarettes a day in pregnancy (OR 2.21, 95%CI 2.03 to 2.4).
- maternal (OR 2.64, 95%CI 2.28 to 3.05) or paternal (OR 3.47, 95%CI 1.17 to 10.27) history of being a small-for-gestational-age baby is also a significant risk factor but may not be ascertainable.

Other risk factors (OR <2.0) include (RCOG 2014):

- maternal diet (low fruit intake pre-pregnancy) (aOR 1.9; 95%CI 1.3 to 2.8).
- nulliparity (OR 1.89; 95%CI 1.82 to 1.96).
- in vitro fertilisation (IVF) singleton pregnancy (OR 1.6; 95%CI 1.3 to 2.0).
- BMI ≥30 (RR 1.55; 95%CI 1.3 to 1.7).
- smoking up to 10 cigarettes a day (OR 1.54; 95%CI 1.39 to 1.7).
- history of pre-eclampsia (aOR 1.31; 95%CI 1.19 to 1.44).
- pregnancy interval of <6 months (aOR 1.26; 95%CI 1.18 to 1.33) or ≥60 months (aOR 1.29; 95%CI 1.2 to 1.39).

Practice point

FF. Early in pregnancy, assess women for risk factors for having a small-for-gestational-age fetus/newborn.

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022

---

17 The information and recommendations in this chapter have been adapted from RCOG (2014) The Investigation and Management of the Small-For Gestational Age Fetus: Green Top Guideline 31. London: Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. This involved mapping of clinical questions and rewording of recommendations for consistency within these Guidelines.
**Consensus-based recommendations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XII.</th>
<th>When women are identified as being at risk of having a small-for-gestational-age fetus/newborn, provide advice about modifiable risk factors.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XIII.</td>
<td>Refer women with a major risk factor or multiple other factors associated with having a small-for-gestational-age fetus/newborn for ultrasound assessment of fetal size and wellbeing at 28-30 and 34-36 weeks gestation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022

---

**22.1.2 Protective and preventive factors**

A high green leafy vegetable intake pre-pregnancy has been reported to be protective (aOR 0.44, 95% CI 0.24-0.81) (RCOG 2014).

Low-dose aspirin for women at risk of pre- eclampsia is likely to reduce intrauterine growth restriction by about 10% (whether taken earlier or later than 16 weeks) (Meher et al 2017).

---

**22.1.3 Assessing fetal growth**

**Abdominal palpation**

Low-level evidence from cohort and case-control studies performed in low-risk populations has consistently shown abdominal palpation to be of limited accuracy in the detection of a small-for-gestational age newborn (sensitivity 19-21%, specificity 98%) and severely small-for-gestational age newborn (<2.3rd centile, sensitivity 28%) (Kean & Liu 1996; Bais et al 2004). In mixed-risk populations, the sensitivity increases to 32-44% (Hall et al 1980; Rosenberg et al 1982). In high-risk populations sensitivity is reported as 37% for a small-for-gestational age newborn and 53% for severely small-for-gestational age newborn (Bais et al 2004) (low quality evidence).

**Consensus-based recommendation**

| XIV. | Do not assess fetal growth based solely on abdominal palpation. |

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022

---

**Measurement of fundal height**

A systematic review highlighted the wide variation of predictive accuracy of fundal height measurement for a small-for-gestational age newborn (Morse et al 2009). Although early studies reported sensitivities of 56-86% and specificities of 80-93% for fundal height detection of small-for-gestational age (Belizan et al 1978; Cnattingius et al 1984; Mathai et al 1987), a large study (n=2,941) reported fundal height to be less predictive with a sensitivity of 27% and specificity of 88% (LR+ 2.22, 95% CI 1.77 to 2.78; LR- 0.83, 95% CI 0.77 to 0.90) (Persson et al 1986). Maternal obesity, abnormal fetal lie, large fibroids, polyhydramnios and fetal head engagement contribute to the limited predictive accuracy of fundal height measurements. Fundal height is associated with significant intra- and inter-observer variation (Bailey et al 1989; Morse et al 2009) and serial measurement may improve predictive accuracy (Pearce & Campbell 1987).

The impact on perinatal outcome of measuring fundal height is uncertain. A systematic review found only one trial (n=1,639), which showed that fundal height measurement did not improve any of the perinatal outcomes measured (Neilson 2000).

**Consensus-based recommendation**

| XV. | At each antenatal visit from 24 weeks, measure fundal height in centimetres. |

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022

---

**Practice points**

| GG. | Refer women after 24 weeks gestation with a fundal height ≥3cm less than expected, a single fundal height which plots below the 10th centile or serial measurements that demonstrate slow or static growth by crossing centiles for ultrasound measurement of fetal size. |
| HH. | Refer women in whom measurement of fundal height is inaccurate (for example: BMI >35, large fibroids, polyhydramnios) for serial assessment of fetal size using ultrasound. |

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022
Customised charts

Customised fundal height charts are adjusted for maternal characteristics (e.g., maternal height, weight). As no RCTs have compared customised with non-customised fundal height charts, the evidence for their effectiveness in improving outcomes such as perinatal morbidity/mortality is lacking (RCOG 2014).

22.2 Fetal movements

Fetal movement assessment is widely used to monitor fetal wellbeing (Froen et al. 2008; O’Sullivan et al. 2009) and is most commonly undertaken through subjective maternal perception. Fetal movement counting is a more formal method to quantify fetal movements (Mangesi & Hofmeyr 2007). Maternal perception rather than formal fetal movement counting is recommended in Australia (Gardener et al. 2017) and in the United Kingdom (NICE 2008; RCOG 2011). Maternal reporting of decreased fetal movement occurs in 5–15% of pregnancies in the third trimester (Froen 2004; Heazell et al. 2008; Flenady et al. 2009).

22.2.1 Background

Risks associated with decreased fetal movement

Stillbirth, which affects over 2,700 families in Australia and New Zealand each year (Hilder et al. 2014), is often preceded by maternal perception of decreased fetal movement (Froen 2004; Erlandsson et al. 2012). Decreased fetal movement is also strongly linked to other adverse perinatal outcomes such as neurodevelopmental disability, infection, feto-maternal haemorrhage, umbilical cord complications, low birth weight and fetal growth restriction (Froen et al. 2008; Heazell & Froen 2008). Decreased fetal movements for some women may be associated with placental dysfunction or insufficiency, which could lead to fetal growth restriction and/or stillbirth (Warrander et al. 2012).

22.2.2 Information on fetal movements

Antenatal education about fetal movement has been shown to reduce the time from maternal perception of decreased fetal movements to health-seeking behaviour (Tveit et al. 2009). A reduction in stillbirth rates has been associated with increased awareness of decreased fetal movements among women and health professionals in both the overall study population (OR 0.67, 95% CI: 0.49–0.94) and in women with decreased fetal movements (aOR 0.51, 95% CI: 0.32 to 0.81) (Tveit et al. 2009; Saastad et al. 2010).

However, many women do not receive adequate information about fetal movements (Saastad et al. 2008; Peat et al. 2012). A recent study found that more than one-third of women at 34 weeks gestation or later did not recall receiving information from their healthcare professional about fetal movement (McArdle et al. 2015). Another study found that information provided by midwives was not always consistent with evidence-based guidelines (Warland & Glover 2017). Pregnant women preferred to be given as much information as possible about fetal movements and cited health professionals as a trustworthy source (McArdle et al. 2015).

Consensus-based recommendations

| XVI. | Early in pregnancy provide women with verbal and written information about normal fetal movements. This information should include a description of the changing patterns of movement as the fetus develops, normal wake/sleep cycles and factors that may modify the mother’s perception of fetal movements. |
| XVII. | Advise women with a concern about decreased fetal movements to contact their health professional immediately. |

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022

Practice point

| II. | Emphasise the importance of maternal awareness of fetal movements at every antenatal visit. |

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022

---

The information and recommendations in this chapter have been adapted from Gardener G, Daly L, Bowring V et al (2017) Clinical practice guideline for the care of women with decreased fetal movements. Brisbane: The Centre of Research Excellence in Stillbirth. This involved mapping of clinical questions and rewording of recommendations for consistency within these Guidelines.
22.2.3 Monitoring fetal movements

A Cochrane review assessed the effect of formal fetal movement counting and recording (eg using kick charts) on perinatal death, major morbidity, maternal anxiety and satisfaction, pregnancy intervention and other adverse pregnancy outcomes (5 RCTs; n=71,458) (Mangesi et al 2015). The review did not find sufficient evidence to inform practice. In particular, no trials compared fetal movement counting with no fetal movement counting. Only two studies compared routine fetal movements with standard antenatal care. Indirect evidence from a large cluster-RCT (Grant et al 1989) suggested that more babies at risk of death were identified in the routine fetal monitoring group but this did not translate to reduced perinatal mortality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consensus-based recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XVIII. Do not advise the use of kick charts as part of routine antenatal care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JJ. Maternal concern about decreased fetal movements overrides any definition of decreased fetal movements based on numbers of fetal movements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

22.2.4 Discussing fetal movements

Information given to women should include that:

- most women are aware of fetal movements by 20 weeks of gestation, and although fetal movements tend to plateau at 32 weeks of gestation, there is no reduction in the frequency of fetal movements in the late third trimester
- patterns of movement change as the baby develops, and wake/sleep cycles and other factors (eg maternal weight and position of the placenta) may modify the woman’s perception of movements
- taking a short amount of time each day to be aware of the baby’s movements is a good way for women to ‘check on’ the baby
- most women (approximately 70%) who perceive a single episode of decreased fetal movements will have a normal outcome to their pregnancy (RCOG 2011)
- if a woman does report decreased fetal movement, a range of tests can be undertaken to assess the baby’s wellbeing.

22.3 Fetal heart rate assessment

Auscultation of the fetal heart has traditionally formed an integral part of a standard antenatal assessment.

22.3.1 Auscultation

Routine auscultation of the fetal heart rate is not recommended in the United Kingdom (NICE 2008). Although successful detection of a fetal heart confirms that the baby is alive, it does not guarantee that the pregnancy will continue without complications (Rowland et al 2011) and is unlikely to provide detailed information on the fetal heart rate such as decelerations or variability (NICE 2008).

The sensitivity of Doppler auscultation in detecting the fetal heart is 80% at 12 weeks + 1 day gestation and 90% after 13 weeks (Rowland et al 2011). Attempts to auscultate the fetal heart before this time may be unsuccessful, and lead to maternal anxiety and additional investigations (eg ultrasound) in pregnancies that are actually uncomplicated (Rowland et al 2011). It is unlikely that a fetal heart rate will be audible before 28 weeks if a Pinard stethoscope is used (Wickham 2002).

Although there is no evidence on the psychological benefits of auscultation for the mother, it may be enjoyable, reduce anxiety and increase mother-baby attachment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consensus-based recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XIX. If auscultation of the fetal heart rate is performed, a Doppler may be used from 12 weeks and either Doppler or a Pinard stethoscope from 28 weeks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
22.3.2 Cardiotocography

Electronic fetal heart rate monitoring is not recommended as a routine part of antenatal care in the United Kingdom (NICE 2008) or Canada (Liston et al 2007). A Cochrane review found no clear evidence to support the use of cardiotocography in women at low risk of complications (Grivell et al 2010). Anxiety levels in women who undergo routine cardiotocography are increased. This reaction seems to be influenced by the perception of fetal movement during the examination and is more evident in women whose pregnancies are affected by complications (Mancuso et al 2008).

Consensus-based recommendation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XX.</th>
<th>Do not routinely use electronic fetal heart rate monitoring (cardiotocography) for fetal assessment in women with an uncomplicated pregnancy.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022

22.4 Practice summary: Fetal growth restriction and wellbeing

**Fetal growth restriction**

*When: At all antenatal visits*

*Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker*

- **Discuss fetal growth:** Early in pregnancy, give all women appropriate written information about the measurement of fetal growth and an opportunity to discuss the procedure with a health professional.

- **Take a consistent approach to assessment:** When measuring fundal height, use a non-elastic tape with numbers facing downwards so that an objective measurement is taken. Measure from the variable point (the fundus) and continue to the fixed point (the symphysis pubis) or vice versa. Take and document measurements in a consistent manner.

- **Take a holistic approach:** Abdominal palpation provides a point of engagement between the health professional and mother and baby.

**Fetal movements**

*When: At antenatal visits from 20 weeks*

*Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker*

- **Discuss fetal movement patterns:** Emphasise the importance of the woman’s awareness of the pattern of movement for her baby and factors that might affect her perception of the movements.

- **Advise early reporting:** Women should report perceived decreased fetal movement on the same day rather than wait until the next day.

- **Take a holistic approach:** Support information given with appropriate resources (eg written materials suitable to the woman’s level of literacy, audio or video) and details of whom the woman should contact if decreased fetal movements are perceived.

**Fetal heart rate**

*When: At antenatal visits between 12 and 26 weeks gestation*

*Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker*

- **Discuss fetal heart rate:** Explain that listening to the fetal heart does not generally provide any information about the health of the baby and that other tests (such as ultrasound) are relied upon for identification of any problems with the pregnancy.

- **Take a holistic approach:** Some women may be reassured by hearing the fetal heart beat.
22.5 Resources

22.5.1 Fetal growth


22.5.2 Fetal movements


22.6 References


23 Risk of preterm birth

While there are many known and unknown causes of preterm birth, women identified as being at risk may benefit from advice about risk and protective factors.

23.1 Background

Preterm birth is defined as birth before 37 completed weeks of pregnancy (WHO 2012). Sub-categories of preterm birth are based on weeks of gestational age: early preterm (<34 weeks), very preterm (28 to <32 weeks) and extremely preterm (<28 weeks). This section is concerned with spontaneous preterm birth as opposed to planned preterm birth.

23.1.1 Incidence of preterm birth

In Australia in 2014 (AIHW 2016):

- overall, 8.6% of babies were born preterm, with most of these births occurring at gestational ages between 32 and 36 completed weeks
- the average gestational age for all preterm births was 33.3 weeks
- babies whose mothers smoked during pregnancy were more likely to be born preterm (13%) than those whose mothers did not smoke during pregnancy (8%).
- other characteristics associated with increased likelihood of preterm birth included:
  - babies born in multiple births: 63% of twins and all (100%) of other multiples (triplets and higher) were preterm, compared with 7% of singleton babies
  - babies born to mothers usually residing in more remote areas: 13% in very remote areas compared with 8% in major cities
  - babies of younger (<20 years) and older (≥40 years) mothers: 11% and 12% were preterm, compared with 8% of babies with mothers aged 20–39 years.

Nationally in 2014, approximately 14% of babies of Indigenous mothers were born preterm, compared with 8% of babies of non-Indigenous mothers (AIHW 2016); similar rates were found in an earlier West Australian study (14.8 and 7.6%) (Langridge et al 2010). However, a study in a Melbourne hospital found no significant difference in risk of preterm birth between Indigenous and non-Indigenous babies and mothers (Indigenous babies aOR 1.19, 95%CI 0.77 to 1.87, Indigenous mothers aOR 0.97 95%CI 0.52 to 1.80) (Whish-Wilson et al 2016).

23.1.2 Risks associated with preterm birth

Preterm birth is associated with perinatal mortality, long-term neurological disability (including cerebral palsy), admission to neonatal intensive care, severe morbidity in the first weeks of life, prolonged hospital stay after birth, readmission to hospital in the first year of life and increased risk of chronic lung disease (WHO 2012). Preterm birth can have a serious emotional impact on the family. In Australia in 2014 (AIHW 2016):

- preterm babies were more likely to be admitted to a special care nursery or neonatal intensive care unit (72%) than babies born at term (10%) or post-term (13%)
- spontaneous preterm birth accounted for 14% of all perinatal deaths and one third (33%) of perinatal deaths of babies of Indigenous mothers.

23.2 Identifying women at increased risk of giving birth preterm

A range of risk and protective factors influence the likelihood of preterm birth. While many risk factors are not modifiable during a woman’s current pregnancy, addressing modifiable risk factors may reduce risk of preterm birth. It should also be noted that many women who experience preterm birth have no risk factors.

23.2.1 Significant risk factors

There is a significant association between preterm birth and:

- social disadvantage (OR 1.27, 95%CI: 1.16 to 1.39) (Ncube et al 2016) and lower levels of maternal education (RR 1.48; 95%CI 1.29 to 1.69) (Ruiz et al 2015)
Systematic reviews of RCTs found:

- women who were overweight and obese who participated in aerobic exercise for 30-60 minutes three to seven times per week had a lower risk of preterm birth <37 weeks (RR 0.62, 95% CI 0.41 to 0.95) compared to controls (Magro-Malosso et al 2016)
- no clear difference in risk of preterm birth <37 weeks with treatment of periodontal disease (RR 0.87; 95%CI 0.70 to 1.10; low quality evidence) (Iheozor-Ejiofor et al 2017).

Systematic reviews of observational studies showed the following associations with preterm birth:

- **country of origin/ethnicity:** odds of very preterm birth among East African immigrants were higher than among Australian-born women (aOR 1.55, 95%CI 1.27 to 1.90) (Beihu et al 2016) and higher among African American women than among Caucasian women (pooled OR 2.0; 95%CI 1.8 to 2.2), with no significant association for Asian or Hispanic ethnicity (Schaaf et al 2013)
- **weight:** risk was increased among women who were obese and gained more than the IOM recommendations (aOR 1.54; 95% CI 1.09 to 2.16) (Faucher et al 2016)
- **emotional health and well-being:** increased risk was associated with low social support compared to high social support (OR 1.22, 95%CI 0.84 to 1.76); stress (OR 1.52, 95%CI 1.18 to 1.97) (Hetherington et al 2015); untreated depression (OR 1.56; 95%CI 1.27 to 1.94) (Jarde et al 2016) and anxiety (OR 1.50, 95%CI 1.33 to 1.70) (Ding et al 2014), (OR 1.70, 95%CI 1.33 to 2.18) (Rose et al 2016) but not with maternal personality traits (Chatzi et al 2013)
- **exposure to antidepressants:** risk was increased among women exposed to antidepressants during pregnancy compared to women with depression but without antidepressant exposure (OR 1.17, 95%CI 1.10 to 1.25) (Eke et al 2016), (RR 2.85, 95%CI 2.00 to 4.07) (Huang et al 2014a); and risk was significantly increased with exposure in the third trimester (aOR 1.96, 95%CI 1.62 to 2.38) but not in the first trimester (aOR 1.16, 95%CI 0.92 to 1.45) (Huybrechts et al 2014)
- **environmental factors:** increased risk was associated with high environmental temperature (Beltran et al 2013), especially heat stress (Carolan-Olah & Frankowska 2014); exposure to passive smoke in any place (OR 1.20, 95%CI 1.07 to 1.34) or at home (OR 1.16, 95%CI 1.04 to 1.30) (Cui et al 2016); risk associated with exposure to fine particulate matter was unclear due to significant heterogeneity between studies (Sun et al 2015)
- **pre-existing conditions:** risk of preterm birth was increased among women with hepatitis C (OR 1.62, 95%CI 1.48 to 1.76, P < 0.001) (Huang et al 2015), human papilloma virus (OR 2.12, 95%CI 1.51 to 2.98, P < 0.001) (Huang et al 2014c), hypothyroidism (OR 1.19, 95%CI 1.12 to 1.26; P < 0.00001) and hyperthyroidism (OR, 1.24, 95, CI 1.17-1.31; P < .00001) (Sheehan et al 2015) but not hepatitis B (OR 1.12, 95%CI 0.94 to 1.33) (Huang et al 2014b).
- **lifestyle factors:** incidence of preterm birth (4.5% vs 4.4%; RR 1.01, 95%CI 0.68 to 1.50) was similar among women in the normal BMI category undertaking aerobic exercise during pregnancy and controls (Di Mascio et al 2016); risk was increased among women with serum vitamin D levels lower than 50 nmol/L (OR 1.29, 95%CI 1.16 to 1.45) (Qin et al 2016); and there was no clear or statistically significant relationship between preterm birth and shift work (van Melick et al 2014), multivitamin use (Johnston et al 2016) or influenza vaccination during pregnancy (Fell et al 2015)
• **history of gynaecological procedures**: risk was increased among women with a history of dilatation and curettage (D&C) (OR 1.29, 95% CI 1.17 to 1.42) or multiple D&Cs (OR 1.74, 95% CI 1.10 to 2.76) (Lemmers et al 2016); surgically induced termination of pregnancy (OR 1.52, 95% CI 1.08 to 2.16); surgically managed miscarriage (OR 1.19, 95% CI 1.03 to 1.37) (Saccone et al 2016); loop electrosurgical excision procedure compared to women with no history of cervical dysplasia (pooled RR 1.61, 95% CI 1.35 to 1.92) but not when compared to women with a history of cervical dysplasia but no cervical excision (pooled RR 1.08, 95% CI 0.88 to 1.33) (Conner et al 2014); and treatment for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia before (OR 1.4, 95% CI 0.85 to 2.3) or during pregnancy (OR 6.5, 95% CI 1.1 to 37) (Danhof et al 2015).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consensus-based recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XXI. When women are identified as being at risk of giving birth preterm based on the presence of risk factors, provide advice about modifiable risk factors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 23.3 Prediction and prevention

#### 23.3.1 Cervical length measurement

Cervical length measurement is an evolving area. There is currently uncertainty about the thresholds that identify high risk and the precision of measurement, particularly with transabdominal cervical length measurement.

**Factors associated with short cervical length**

Factors associated with women being at increased risk of short cervical length at mid trimester include:

- previous spontaneous (Cho et al 2017) or induced (Miller et al 2015) preterm birth
- previous cervical excisional procedure (Cho et al 2017; Miller et al 2015)

Studies were consistent in finding no significant association between women’s short cervical length and maternal height (Cho et al 2017; van der Ven et al 2015) or assisted conception (Aboulghar et al 2009; Miller et al 2015). The evidence on the association between cervical length and maternal weight (Cho et al 2017; Kandil et al 2017; Palma-Dias et al 2004) or age (D’Agostini et al 2013; Miller et al 2015; van der Ven et al 2015) was inconsistent.

**Accuracy of transvaginal cervical length measurement**

Measuring women’s cervical length at the 18-20 week ultrasound using a threshold of 25 mm has the potential to predict preterm birth (Crane & Hutchens 2008; Domin et al 2010; Honest et al 2012) but is more accurate when combined with assessment of relevant maternal factors (eg nulliparity, previous preterm birth) (Celik et al 2008). No evidence on harms associated with cervical length measurement was identified.

**Accuracy of transabdominal cervical length measurement**

While transabdominal measurement of cervical length has been used as an initial screen prior to transvaginal ultrasound (Cho & Roh 2016; Friedman et al 2013a; Friedman et al 2013b; Kongwattanakul et al 2016; Saul et al 2008), the cervix may not be adequately visualised by this method in as many as 60% of women (Friedman et al 2013a; Friedman et al 2013b). The evidence on transabdominal ultrasound is inconsistent in terms of gestational age and cut-offs and universal transvaginal ultrasound is more cost-effective than including an initial transabdominal measurement (Miller & Grobman 2013).

**Universal versus targeted cervical length screening**

In settings where universal transvaginal screening of women’s cervical length has been implemented:

- there has been a reduction in preterm births <37 weeks (aOR 0.82; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.76 to 0.88), <34 weeks (aOR 0.74; 95% CI 0.64 to 0.85) and <32 weeks (aOR 0.74; 95% CI 0.62 to 0.90), with similar effect sizes in nulliparous and multiparous women with previous term births (Son et al 2016)
- in a United States study, women were more likely to decline cervical length screening if they were African American (aOR 2.17; 95% CI 1.93 to 2.44), obese (aOR 1.18; 95% CI 1.06 to 1.31), multiparous (aOR 1.45; 95% CI 1.29 to 1.64), aged <35 years (aOR 1.24; 95% CI 1.08 to 1.43), or smokers (aOR 1.42; 95% CI 1.20 to 1.68) (Temming et al 2016)
• after 6 months of implementation, there was no change in rates of acceptance of cervical length screening and rates of spontaneous preterm birth <28 weeks were higher among women who declined screening (aOR 2.01; 95%CI 1.33 to 3.02) (Temming et al 2016).

A study that calculated the number of women needed to screen (NNS) to prevent one early preterm birth found that, with a cut-off of ≤15 mm, the NNS in low-risk women would be 1,075 compared to 344 among nulliparous women and 167 among women with a previous preterm birth. At a cut-off of ≤20 mm, NNSs were 802, 221 and 97, respectively (Facco & Simhan 2013).

Another study found that targeted screening increased specificity compared to universal screening but sensitivity was reduced and nearly 40% of women with a short cervix were not identified (Miller et al 2015).

Timing of transvaginal cervical length measurement among women at high risk of preterm birth

Evidence from observational studies suggests that cervical length measurement earlier than 20 weeks may predict cervical shortening and risk of early preterm birth in women at high risk of preterm birth (Banicevic et al 2014; Souka et al 2011; Vaisbuch et al 2010). However, a cervical length >25 mm does not preclude preterm birth in these women, with 16% to 21% experiencing preterm birth before 37 weeks (Caradeux et al 2017; Care et al 2014; Owen et al 2010).

Implementation of universal transvaginal cervical length measurement

Studies in the United States and the United Kingdom suggest that universal cervical length measurement is cost-effective when women with a short cervix (≤25 mm) at 18-25 weeks receive vaginal progesterone (Cahill et al 2010; Crosby et al 2016; Einerson et al 2016; Jain et al 2016; Werner et al 2011). No Australian cost-effectiveness studies were identified.

Transvaginal measurement of cervical length does not significantly increase the time for completion of ultrasound examination and attitudes regarding discomfort or embarrassment did not differ between women who underwent no cervical length screening or transvaginal or transabdominal screening (Romero et al 2014). However, a number of barriers may prevent or restrict the implementation of a universal cervical length screening program — cost, availability of vaginal progesterone and other treatment options, women’s acceptance of, or willingness to undergo, transvaginal ultrasound and the perceptions and beliefs of health professionals (Pedretti et al 2017). Issues of access to ultrasound services (eg due to remote location or language barriers) and availability of accredited trained professionals in some areas may also limit the availability of cervical measurement.

Consensus-based recommendation

XXII. If a woman’s cervical length is measured at the 18-20 week ultrasound and is ≤25 mm, assess other risk factors for preterm birth and seek expert advice if her risk of preterm birth appears to be high.

Approved by NHMRC in April 2019; expires April 2024

23.3.2 Holistic preventive strategies

Systematic reviews that evaluated holistic models of care and their effect on preterm birth found:

• a significant effect in reducing risk of preterm birth among women receiving midwifery-led care compared to other models of care for childbearing women and their infants (average RR 0.76, 95%CI 0.64 to 0.91; n=13,238; 8 studies; high quality) (Sandall et al 2016)

• no significant difference among:
  — women receiving group antenatal care compared to those receiving standard care (RR 0.87, 95%CI 0.70 to 1.09; 11 studies) (Carter et al 2016) and (RR 0.75, 95%CI 0.57 to 1.00; 3 3 studies; n=1,888, moderate quality) (Catling et al 2015)
  — women randomised to specialist preterm birth programs compared to those receiving standard care (RR 0.92, 95%CI 0.76 to 1.12; 15 RCTs) (Fernandez Turienzo et al 2016)
  — low risk women receiving a reduced number of antenatal visits (RR 1.02, 95%CI 0.94 to 1.11; 7 studies, n=53,661, moderate quality) (Dowswell et al 2015)
  — women receiving additional social support compared to those receiving standard care (RR 0.92, 95%CI 0.83 to 1.01; 11 RCTs; n=10,429) (Hodnett et al 2010), including adolescent women (RR 0.67; 95%CI 0.42 to 1.05; 4 studies; n=684) (Sukhato et al 2015)
women receiving telephone support during pregnancy compared to women receiving routine care or other support (RR 0.91, 95%CI 0.77 to 1.08, 4 RCTs; n=3,992) (Lavender et al 2013)

— women in preterm labour using relaxation techniques compared to those not using relaxation techniques (RR 0.95; 95%CI 0.57 to 1.59; 11 RCTs; n=833) (Khianman et al 2012)

• successful approaches to increasing access to antenatal care and reducing preterm birth among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women include community-based collaborative antenatal care and community-based support (Rumbold & Cunningham 2008) and partnership between Aboriginal grandmothers, Aboriginal Health Officers, midwives and existing antenatal care services (Bertilone & McEvoy 2015).

23.3.3 Progesterone treatment for women with a short cervix

A systematic review analysed the effectiveness of progesterone compared to placebo in women with short cervical length (without other risk factors for preterm birth or premature onset of labour). It found that, while preterm birth <34 weeks, <37 weeks and neonatal deaths were reduced in women overall, there was only a reduction of preterm birth <34 weeks in women with a short cervix (Jarde et al 2017).

When studies specific to vaginal progesterone treatment in women with a short cervix were analysed separately, there were statistically significant effects on preterm birth <35 weeks (risk ratio [RR] 0.62; 95%CI 0.42 to 0.92; 1 RCT, n=458, moderate certainty) (Hassan et al 2011), preterm birth <34 weeks (RR 0.60; 95%CI 0.41 to 0.89; 2 RCTs, n=330, moderate certainty) (Forseca et al 2007; van Os et al 2015), preterm birth <28 weeks (RR 0.55; 95%CI 0.25 to 0.97; 1 RCT, n=458, moderate certainty) (Hassan et al 2011) and respiratory distress syndrome (RR 0.51; 95%CI 0.31 to 0.86; 3 RCTs; n=788, moderate certainty) (Forseca et al 2007; Hassan et al 2011; van Os et al 2015). Definitions of short cervix ranged from 10–20 mm to ≤30 mm. Treatment was commenced at 20 to 24 weeks gestation and was continued until 34–37 weeks.

There were no statistically significant effects on preterm birth associated with intramuscular progesterone compared with placebo in women with a short cervix (1 RCT; n=657, low certainty) (Grobman et al 2012).

A modelling study in the United Kingdom found universal cervical length screening and vaginal progesterone for women with a cervical length of ≤15 mm was cost-effective (Crosby et al 2016).

23.4 Discussing risk of giving birth preterm

When risk of preterm birth is increased, modifiable risk factors should be addressed (Freak-Poli et al 2009; Kiran et al 2010; Carter et al 2011). Based on the evidence discussed in Section 23.2, discussion with women at risk of preterm birth can include the benefits of:

• having adequate social and emotional support
• quitting tobacco smoking and avoiding exposure to passive smoke
• not drinking alcohol during pregnancy
• having tests for urogenital infections
• participating in regular exercise, particularly if they are overweight or obese.

Women can also be advised that risk is not reduced by supplementing with Vitamins C or E (Rumbold et al 2015a; Rumbold et al 2015b) or probiotics (Othman et al 2007; Hauth et al 2010).

A Cochrane review found no evidence to support or refute bed rest for prevention of preterm birth (Sosa et al 2015). A subsequent cohort study found that, among women at high risk of preterm birth, activity restriction was associated with increased risk of preterm birth (Levin et al 2017).
23.5 Practice summary: risk of preterm birth

When: A woman has identified risk factors for giving birth preterm

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker

Discuss lifestyle factors associated with preterm birth

- Explain that smoking during pregnancy makes it more likely that the baby will be born preterm and also causes other serious risks to the pregnancy.
- Explain that not drinking alcohol during pregnancy is the safest option.
- Offer testing for urogenital infection if the woman has risk factors for preterm birth. If results are positive, consider counselling, contact tracing, partner testing and treatment, and repeat testing.

Discuss protective factors

- Explain that moderate physical activity during pregnancy has a range of health benefits, particularly for women who are overweight or obese.

Take a holistic approach

- Provide information on relevant community supports (eg smoking cessation programs, drug and alcohol services, physical activity groups).
- Consider whether a woman may be at increased risk if she has recently arrived from a country with a high prevalence of preterm birth.
- Provide social and emotional support and access to continuity of carer, where possible

23.6 References


24 Blood pressure

Measuring blood pressure at the first antenatal visit aims to identify women with chronic hypertension (high blood pressure), which may be related to existing kidney disease. After 20 weeks, high blood pressure and/or proteinuria may indicate pre-eclampsia.

24.1 Background

Healthy pregnancy is characterised by a fall in blood pressure, detectable in the first trimester, usually reaching its lowest point in the second trimester and rising to pre-conception levels towards the end of the third trimester (Lowe et al 2015). Hypertensive disorders during pregnancy include (Lowe et al 2015):

- **chronic hypertension**: blood pressure ≥140 mmHg systolic and/or ≥90 mm diastolic confirmed before pregnancy or before 20 completed weeks pregnancy, without a known cause (essential hypertension), associated with a secondary cause such as existing kidney disease (secondary hypertension) or associated with measurement in a healthcare setting (white coat hypertension)
- **gestational hypertension**: new onset hypertension (defined as a blood pressure ≥140 mmHg systolic and/or ≥90 mm diastolic) after 20 weeks pregnancy without any maternal or fetal features of pre-eclampsia, followed by return of blood pressure to normal within 3 months after the birth
- **pre-eclampsia**: a multi-system disorder characterised by hypertension and involvement of one or more other organ systems and/or the fetus, with raised blood pressure after 20 weeks pregnancy commonly the first manifestation and proteinuria a common additional feature (although not required to make a clinical diagnosis) (see Chapter 26)
- **superimposed pre-eclampsia**: development of one or more of the systemic features of pre-eclampsia after 20 weeks pregnancy in a woman with chronic hypertension.

24.1.1 Prevalence of high blood pressure

- In Australia in 2014–15 (AIHW 2016), 22% of adult women had measured high blood pressure, excluding those taking medication.
- Among Indigenous adults, 18% of women had measured high blood pressure (AIHW 2016).
- A substantial number of pregnancies (0.2-5%) are complicated by pre-existing hypertension (Low et al 2015).
- Pre-eclampsia in the second half of pregnancy occurs in about 22% of women with chronic hypertension (Lowe et al 2015).

24.1.2 Risks associated with high blood pressure during pregnancy

Women with chronic hypertension are at greater risk of pregnancy complications, such as placental abruption, superimposed pre-eclampsia, fetal loss, preterm labour, low birth weight, perinatal death (Jain 1997; Sibai 2002) and gestational diabetes (Hedderson & Ferrara 2008). Other risk factors for pre-eclampsia are discussed in Section 26.2.

24.2 Measuring blood pressure

Routine measurement of women’s blood pressure at the first antenatal visit and throughout pregnancy is recommended in the United Kingdom (NICE 2008; 2010) and Canada (SOGC 2008). This advice reflects the importance of predicting the risk of pre-eclampsia to allow monitoring and preventive treatment. Any woman presenting with new hypertension after 20 weeks pregnancy should be assessed for signs and symptoms of pre-eclampsia (see Section 26.2).

**Recommendation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>22</th>
<th>Measure blood pressure at a woman’s first antenatal visit to identify existing high blood pressure.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
24.2.1 Measuring blood pressure

Blood pressure should be measured as outlined below (NICE 2008):

- using the woman’s right arm (Lowe et al 2015), remove tight clothing and ensure arm is relaxed and supported at heart level
- use cuff of appropriate size (e.g., use a large cuff if arm circumference is >33cm and a thigh cuff if it is >42cm)
- inflate cuff to 20-30 mmHg above palpated systolic blood pressure
- lower column slowly, by 2 mmHg per second or per beat
- read blood pressure to the nearest 2 mmHg
- measure diastolic blood pressure as disappearance of sounds (phase V; or IV if phase V is absent).

Women with a single diastolic blood pressure reading of 110 mmHg or more, or two consecutive readings of 90 mmHg or more at least 4 hours apart and/or significant proteinuria (1+) require increased monitoring and treatment should be considered. Women with a systolic blood pressure equal to or above 140 mmHg on two consecutive readings at least 4 hours apart require further assessment and treatment should be considered.

Automated blood pressure measuring devices

Although mercury sphygmomanometry remains the gold standard for measuring blood pressure, due to environmental and safety concerns its use is declining and automated devices are increasingly being used in the general hypertensive population (Brown et al 2011). Few studies have compared these devices with sphygmomanometry in pregnant women (Lowe et al 2015). While they may give similar mean blood pressure values to those obtained with sphygmomanometry, there is wide intra-individual error and their accuracy may be further compromised in pre-eclamptic women (Gupta et al 1997; Brown et al 1998).

The potential errors of automated devices may be offset by comparing blood pressure recordings by routine mercury sphygmomanometry (Brown et al 2011). Considerations with automated devices include:

- using only devices that have been validated for use in pregnancy by the British Hypertensive Society, the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instruments or other accepted and published criteria
- maintaining some mercury sphygmomanometers to allow regular calibration of all devices
- when a pregnant woman uses an automated device for home blood pressure measurements, checking the device against mercury sphygmomanometry to ensure accuracy of readings.

White coat hypertension

White coat or “office” hypertension occurs in early pregnancy with the same frequency as it does in non-pregnant women (Brown et al 2005). A prospective study (n=241) (Brown et al 2005) found that 32% of women early in pregnancy who were given an initial diagnosis of essential hypertension had white coat hypertension. Half of these women retained this phenomenon throughout pregnancy and had good pregnancy outcomes, 40% developed (benign) gestational hypertension and also had good pregnancy outcomes and 8% developed proteinuric pre-eclampsia, which was significantly fewer than in women with confirmed essential hypertension (22%).

Women with pre-existing hypertension

Women presenting for antenatal care currently on medication for hypertension should have their medicines reviewed to ensure their safety in pregnancy.
24.3 Practice summary: blood pressure

**When:** At first antenatal visit

**Who:** Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker

☐ **Explain the risks associated with high blood pressure in pregnancy:** Discuss the importance of identifying high blood pressure early in pregnancy.

☐ **Offer lifestyle advice:** Highlight to women who experience raised blood pressure in pregnancy the benefits of not smoking, maintaining a healthy weight, regular physical activity and a healthy diet.

☐ **Arrange treatment or referral if required:** For women with chronic hypertension, further testing may be required to exclude white coat hypertension or kidney disease and treatment may be needed.

24.4 Resources

British Hypertensive Society Automatic Digital Blood Pressure Devices for Clinical Use


24.5 References


Detection of proteinuria does not predict pre-eclampsia but may lead to identification and treatment of kidney disease or urinary tract infection.

25.1 Background

High amounts of protein in the urine (proteinuria) can be caused by a range of conditions. Proteinuria in the first trimester may suggest underlying kidney disease or the presence of urinary tract infection (see Chapter 38). After 20 weeks pregnancy, proteinuria is associated with pre-eclampsia.

25.1.1 Kidney disease in Australia

- In 2011–12, an estimated 1 in 10 Australians aged 18 and over had biomedical signs of chronic kidney disease (AIHW 2016). Of these, only 10% were aware they had the condition based on self-reported data, reflecting that this is a highly under-diagnosed condition.
- Indigenous Australians were 2.1 times as likely as non-Indigenous Australians to have biomedical signs of chronic kidney disease (AIHW 2016).
- Compared with those living in the highest socioeconomic areas, people living in the lowest socioeconomic areas were 1.6 times as likely to have biomedical signs of chronic kidney disease (AIHW 2016).

25.1.2 Risks associated with proteinuria in pregnancy

- Maternal proteinuria has been strongly associated with preterm birth (Franceschini et al 2005).
- Chronic kidney disease in pregnancy has been associated with pre-eclampsia, preterm labour, small-for-gestational age babies and perinatal death (Bramham et al 2011).

25.2 Testing for proteinuria

25.2.1 Accuracy of tests for detecting proteinuria

The 24-hour urine collection test is considered ‘the gold standard’ for testing for proteinuria in women during pregnancy, although it is often inconvenient for pregnant women to undertake a 24-hour urine collection. The test is frequently used as a reference point when evaluating the accuracy of other tests such as urine dipstick visual check, urine automated analyser, 2-hour and 12-hour tests, spot protein:creatinine ratio or microalbumin:creatinine ratio (Risberg et al 2004; Price et al 2005; Waugh et al 2005; Schubert et al 2006; Rizk et al 2007; Abebe et al 2008; Côté et al 2008a; Dwyer et al 2008; Kyle et al 2008; Gangaram et al 2009a; 2009b). One study has questioned the accuracy of the 24-hour urine test (Côté et al 2008b).

Studies evaluating other test types have found that:

- **dipstick testing**: is inaccurate in predicting significant proteinuria (Waugh et al 2004; Gangaram et al 2005) and has a high incidence of false positives (Davey & MacGillivray 1988; Phelan et al 2004)
- **2-hour and 12-hour collections**: correlate with 24-hour collections in quantifying proteinuria, with the 12-hour collection having higher sensitivity (89% vs 86%), specificity (93% vs 82%) and positive predictive value (84% vs 77%) and lower false positive (12% vs 18%) and false negative (11% vs 14%) rates than 2-hour collection (Abebe et al 2008)
- **protein:creatinine ratio**: is a better screening test than automated dipstick urinalysis to detect significant proteinuria (Risberg et al 2004; Dwyer et al 2008), may be useful to rule out clinically significant proteinuria (Waugh et al 2004; Price et al 2005; Côté et al 2008a; Meads et al 2008; Gangaram et al 2009a) and has the advantage of results being available immediately (Kyle et al 2008).

25.2.2 Automated analysis of dipsticks

Due to considerable observer errors involved in dipstick urinalysis, an RCOG Study Group recommended that automated dipstick readers be employed (Shennan & Waugh 2003). This can significantly improve false positive
and false negative rates. An initial result of 1+ or greater of protein should be confirmed by a 24-hour urinary protein measurement or a protein:creatinine ratio (Rodriguez-Thompson & Lieberman 2001).

**Consensus-based recommendation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XXIII. Routinely offer testing for proteinuria at the first antenatal visit, regardless of stage of pregnancy.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23 For point-of-care testing, use an automated analyser if available, as visual inspection of a urinary dipstick is the least accurate method to detect true proteinuria.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**25.2.3 Repeat testing r**

Repeat testing for proteinuria is of little or no benefit in predicting pre-eclampsia and should be confined to women with other risk factors such as existing or newly diagnosed high blood pressure and new or pre-existing kidney disease (Beunis et al 2004; Alto 2005; Sirohiwal et al 2009). See also Section 26.2.3.

**25.2.4 Testing in rural and remote areas**

Considerations in urine testing in rural and remote areas include (Bookallil et al 2005):

- the availability of appropriate storage facilities for dipstick tests and for urine collections for women with abnormal dipstick results (see below)
- if a woman has an abnormal dipstick result, whether specimens can be provided to pathology services within the timeframe in which they can still be cultured (ideally within 24 hours).

**25.3 Responding to test results**

A finding of 300 mg/24 hours or more or a protein:creatinine ratio of 30 mg/mmol of creatinine is customarily regarded as significant (Ferrazzani et al 1990; Waugh et al 2003). However, a proteinuria threshold of 500 mg/24 hours has been suggested to be more predictive in relation to the likelihood of adverse outcome (Shennan & Waugh 2003).

- Women with abnormal dipstick urine test results (including the presence of leukocytes, nitrites or blood) should have a midstream urine sample sent for microscopic examination, culture and sensitivity testing. If the result is asymptomatic bacteriuria, this should be treated appropriately (Murray et al 2002).
- Women found to have true proteinuria and/or haematuria at their first antenatal visit may have underlying kidney disease, which should be investigated (Murray et al 2002).

**25.4 Practice summary: testing for proteinuria**

**When:** At first antenatal visit

**Who:** Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker

- Explain the risks associated with proteinuria in pregnancy: Discuss the importance of identifying kidney disease or urinary tract infection early in pregnancy.

- Arrange treatment or referral if required: For women with proteinuria, further testing may be required to exclude urinary tract infection or kidney disease and monitoring for pre-eclampsia may be needed.

**25.5 Resources**


References


Risk of pre-eclampsia

Identifying women with risk factors for or clinical signs of pre-eclampsia allows timely provision of advice on prevention and symptoms that may indicate a need for additional care. Antenatal care also provides an opportunity to discuss long-term preventive strategies with women who develop pre-eclampsia.

26.1 Background

26.1.1 Features of pre-eclampsia

Hypertensive disorders during pregnancy are described in Section 24.1. In pre-eclampsia, hypertension is accompanied by one or more of the following features (Lowe et al 2015):

- impaired kidney or liver function
- haematological involvement
- neurological symptoms (persistent headache, visual disturbances, stroke, convulsions)
- pulmonary oedema
- fetal growth restriction and/or
- placental abruption.

Pre-eclampsia is a progressive disorder that worsens if pregnancy continues (Lowe et al 2015). Birth of the baby is the definitive treatment and is followed by resolution, generally over a few days but sometimes much longer (Lowe et al 2015). Decisions about management (e.g. induction/caesarean section or continuation of the pregnancy) are based on maternal and fetal factors (e.g. gestational age).

26.1.2 Prevalence of pre-eclampsia

Australian studies in a range of settings estimated the incidence of any pre-eclampsia as 3.0%–3.3% (Thornton et al 2013; Thornton et al 2016), early onset (<34 weeks) pre-eclampsia as 0.4% (Park et al 2013; Park et al 2015) and late-onset (≥34 weeks) pre-eclampsia as 2.4% (Park et al 2013). Studies were consistent in noting a decrease in prevalence and incidence of pre-eclampsia in Western Australia (Hammond et al 2013; Diouf et al 2016) and New South Wales (Thornton et al 2013; Roberts et al 2015) (no studies from the other states and territories were identified).

The prevalence of pre-eclampsia among specific population groups was influenced by:

- mental health: a diagnosis of schizophrenia or bipolar disorder conferred a five-fold increased likelihood of having pre-eclampsia (OR 5.28; 95%CI 2.79 to 9.98; p=0.001) (Judd et al 2014) in one study and a three-fold increase in another (9% v 3%; P < 0.0001) (Nguyen et al 2012)
- body mass index: prevalence was increased among women with BMI >25 (OR 1.97; 95%CI 0.93 to 4.16) (Vanderlilie et al 2016), BMI >30 (OR 2.86; 95%CI 2.54 to 3.22; p=0.001) (Davies-Tuck et al 2016), BMI 30–34.9 (OR 2.01; 95%CI 1.48 to 2.73; p=0.001), BMI 35–39.9 (OR 2.41; 95%CI 1.68 to 3.47; p<0.001), BMI 40–44.9 (OR 3.32; 95%CI 2.18 to 5.08; p=0.001), BMI ≥45 (OR 3.98; 95%CI 2.56 to 6.19; p=0.001) (Magann et al 2013) or BMI >50 (aOR 3.43; 95%CI 1.72 to 6.84) (Sullivan et al 2015)
- country of birth: compared with women born in Australia prevalence was lower among women from Western Europe (OR 0.91; 95%CI 0.85 to 0.97), Eastern Europe (OR 0.79; 95%CI 0.67 to 0.94), South Asia (OR 0.58; 95%CI 0.55 to 0.62), East-SouthEast Asia (OR 0.64; 95%CI 0.58 to 0.71), North Africa and Middle East (OR 0.69; 95%CI 0.63 to 0.77) and similar among those from Sub-Saharan Africa (OR 0.95; 95%CI 0.85 to 1.07) and Latin America and the Caribbean (OR 1.06; 95%CI 0.90 to 1.26) (Urquia et al 2014).

Prevalence did not appear to be influenced by:

- maternal age >45 years: there was no significant difference in prevalence between women aged >45 years and <45 years though some suggestion of increase with age (OR 1.86; 95%CI 0.9 to 3.6; p=0.052) (Carolan et al 2013)
- refugee background: there was no clear difference in prevalence between refugee background and migration for non-humanitarian reasons among women from North Africa (age-adjusted OR 1.4; 95%CI 0.4 to 4.6; p=0.79), Middle and East Africa (OR 1.1; 95%CI 0.2 to 4.9; p=0.71) and West Africa (4.9% vs 0%) (Gibson-Helm et al 2014).
• **conception by assisted reproductive technology**: after stratification by plurality, the difference in gestational hypertension/pre-eclampsia rates between ART and non-ART mothers was not statistically significant, with aOR 1.05 (95% CI, 0.98-1.12) for mothers of singletons (Wang et al 2016).

• **vaginal bleeding in pregnancy**: prevalence of pre-eclampsia was not associated with the presence or absence of bleeding (aOR 0.96; 95% CI 0.67 to 1.38) (Smits et al 2012).

26.1.3 Risks associated with pre-eclampsia

- Significant pre-eclampsia is associated with serious maternal morbidity and, very rarely, with death. There were nine maternal deaths related to hypertensive disorders of pregnancy between 2008 and 2012 in Australia (Humphrey et al 2015), all of which were due to pre-eclampsia and its complications.

- Women with complicated pre-eclampsia are more likely to have a caesarean section, stillbirth or neonatal death (Bhattacharya & Campbell 2005). In 2012, hypertension or pre-eclampsia were the reasons for 9.0-13.2% of labour inductions in New South Wales, Queensland, South Australia, Tasmania and the Northern Territory and 1.3-2.4% of caesarean sections in Queensland, South Australia, Tasmania and the Northern Territory. Data collection methods varied and, for other states and territories, were unavailable or unpublished (Hilder et al 2014).

- Neonatal complications associated with pre-eclampsia in a large cross-sectional study (n=647,392) (Schneider et al 2011) were small for gestational age, low Apgar scores, acute respiratory distress syndrome and postpartum neonatal hypoglycaemia.

- Women who have had pre-eclampsia are at increased long-term risk of chronic hypertension, ischaemic heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, kidney disease, diabetes mellitus, thromboembolism, hypothyroidism and impaired memory (Williams 2012).

26.2 Assessing risk of pre-eclampsia

Whether a woman will require additional care (eg more frequent antenatal visits) is based on the presence of risk factors for and clinical features of pre-eclampsia.

26.2.1 Identifying women with risk factors for pre-eclampsia

Factors with an established association with a high risk of pre-eclampsia include (Bartsch et al 2016) (low to high quality evidence):

- a history of pre-eclampsia (RR 8.4, 95%CI 7.1 to 9.9) (high quality evidence)
- chronic hypertension (RR 5.1, 95%CI 4.0 to 6.5) (high quality evidence)
- pre-existing diabetes (RR 3.7; 95%CI 3.1 to 4.3) (moderate quality evidence)
- autoimmune disease such as systemic lupus erythematosus (RR 2.5; 95%CI 1.00 to 6.3) or antiphospholipid syndrome (RR 2.8; 95%CI 1.8 to 4.3) (moderate quality evidence)
- nulliparity (RR 2.1; 95%CI 1.9 to 2.4) (low quality evidence)
- BMI >30 (RR 2.8; 95% 2.6 to 3.6) (low quality evidence)
- pre-existing kidney disease (RR 1.8; 95%CI 1.5 to 2.1) (low quality evidence).

Other factors that are associated with increased risk of pre-eclampsia are maternal family history of pre-eclampsia (eg among mother and sisters) (115% increase in risk) (Boyd et al 2013) and increasing maternal glucose levels (aOR for 1 SD increase 1.19; 95% CI 1.11 to 1.28 for 1-hour plasma glucose; 1.21; 95%CI 1.13 to 1.30 for 2-hour plasma glucose)(HAPO Study Cooperative Research Group 2010).

**Recommendation**

24 Early in pregnancy, assess all women for clinical risk factors for pre-eclampsia.

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022
Findings from systematic reviews provided information on associations with additional factors:

- **Cardiovascular factors**: women with pre-eclampsia had higher levels of total cholesterol (MD 20.20 mg/dL; 95%CI 8.70 to 31.70; p<0.001), non-HDL-C (MD 29.59 mg/dL; 95%CI 12.13 to 47.06; p<0.001) and triglycerides (MD 80.29 mg/dL; 95%CI 51.45 to 109.13; p<0.0001) in the third trimester (Gallos et al 2013; Spracklen et al 2014), lower levels of HDL-C in the third trimester (MD -8.86 mg/dL; 95%CI -11.50 to -6.21; p<0.0001) (Spracklen et al 2014) and were more likely to have arterial stiffness (SMD 1.62; 95%CI 0.73 to 2.50) (Hausvater et al 2012) than women without pre-eclampsia.

- **Body mass index**: there was a clear association between overweight (aRR 1.70; 95%CI 1.60 to 1.81, P<0.001), obesity (aRR 2.93; 95%CI 2.58 to 3.33, P<0.001) and severe obesity (aRR 4.14; 95%CI 3.61 to 4.75, P<0.001) and risk of pre-eclampsia (Wang et al 2013).

- **Mental health**: there were significant associations between pre-eclampsia and mental stress (OR 1.49; 95%CI 1.27 to 1.74; P<0.001), work stress (OR 1.50; 95%CI 1.15 to 1.97; P=0.003), anxiety or depression (OR 1.88; 95%CI 1.08 to 3.25; P=0.02) (Zhang et al 2013) and depression symptoms alone (OR 1.63; 95%CI 1.32 to 2.02) (Hu et al 2015).

- **Blood group**: AB versus non-AB blood group increased risk in women overall (OR 2.42; 95%CI 1.63 to 3.58) and in primigravid women (OR 2.44; 95%CI 1.46 to 4.07) (Alpoin et al 2013).

- **Assisted reproductive technology**: in contrast to the findings on prevalence above, systematic reviews suggested that risk was increased in women receiving donor oocytes (OR 4.34; 95%CI 3.10 to 6.06; P<0.0001) (Blazquez et al 2016; Masoudian et al 2016) or donor sperm (OR 1.63; 95%CI 1.36 to 1.95) (Gonzalez-Comadran et al 2014).

- **Immunological factors**: interferon-gamma levels were higher in women with pre-eclampsia than in controls (SMD 0.93; 95%CI 0.07 to 1.79) (Yang et al 2014).

- **Micronutrient levels**: levels of vitamin C and E were lower in women with pre-eclampsia than in controls but not when levels in mild and severe subtypes were analysed (Cohen et al 2015); risk was lower among women with vitamin D level >50 nmol/L vs <50 nmol/L (OR 0.58; 95%CI 0.32 to 1.07) (Hypponen et al 2013); and levels of copper were higher (Fan et al 2016) and levels of zinc (SMD -0.587; 95%CI -0.963 to -0.212) (Ma et al 2015) and selenium (MD -0.47 mg/L; 95%CI -1.24 to -1.7; p = 0.008) (Xu et al 2016) lower among women with pre-eclampsia than among controls.

- **Gynaecological and obstetric factors**: there was no significant association between risk of pre-eclampsia and fetal sex (RR 1.01; 95%CI 0.97 to 1.05) (Jaskolka et al 2016) or interpregnancy interval 2-4 vs <2 years (aOR 1.01; 95%CI 0.95 to 1.07) or 2-4 vs >2 years (aOR 1.10; 95%CI 1.02 to 1.19) (Cormick et al 2016) but a higher risk following chorionic villus sampling compared to amniocentesis (OR 2.47; 95%CI 1.14 to 5.33) (Basaran et al 2016).

- **Periodontal disease**: while reviews of observational studies showed an effect on risk (Sgolastra et al 2013; Wei et al 2013; Huang et al 2014), a review of RCTs found no significant effect (OR 1.00; 95%CI 0.78 to 1.28) (Kunnen et al 2010). A recent Cochrane review found no clear effect of treatment for periodontal disease on risk of pre-eclampsia (RR 1.10; 95%CI 0.74 to 1.62; 3 studies; very low-quality evidence) (Iheozor-Ejiofor et al 2017).

Smoking (RR 0.67; 95%CI 0.60 to 0.75) (Wei et al 2015) and exposure to environmental carbon monoxide (aOR 0.63; 95%CI 0.55 to 0.71) (Zhai et al 2012) appeared to reduce risk of pre-eclampsia but are associated with other negative health effects. There was insufficient evidence to assess the relationship between pre-eclampsia and shift work (Palmer et al 2013).

### 26.2.2 Preventive measures

Preventive treatment with low-dose aspirin in women at high risk and calcium supplementation in women with low dietary intake is recommended in the United Kingdom (NICE updated 2011), Canada (SOGC 2014) and Australia (Lowe et al 2015) and by the WHO (WHO 2011).

**Calcium**

There is strong evidence that calcium supplementation is of benefit for women at risk of pre-eclampsia if dietary intake is low (Patrelli et al 2012; Hofmeyr et al 2014). The WHO defines low dietary intake as ~900 mg per day and the Australian and New Zealand Nutrient Reference Values recommend an intake of 1,000 mg per day in pregnant women, 1,300 mg if they are younger than 18 years (NHMRC 2005). In Australia, calcium intake is low in relation to recommendations for some girls and women of reproductive age (NHMRC 2011). The sources and recommended number of serves of calcium-rich foods during pregnancy are discussed in Chapter 11.2.1.
### Recommendation

**25** Advise women at high risk of developing pre-eclampsia that calcium supplementation is beneficial if dietary intake is low.

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019

UNDER REVIEW

### Practice point

**KK.** If a woman has a low dietary calcium intake, advise her to increase her intake of calcium-rich foods.

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019

UNDER REVIEW

### Effectiveness of aspirin in preventing pre-eclampsia

Systematic reviews and meta-analyses have found that:

- **low-dose aspirin** (defined as <75 mg/day) has moderate benefits when used for prevention of pre-eclampsia (RR 0.83; 95%CI: 0.77 to 0.89); higher doses (>75 mg/day) may be more effective but adverse effects may also increase (Duley et al 2007);
- there was a reduction in risk among women at high risk (ie with previous pre-eclampsia) (RR 0.79; 95%CI: 0.65 to 0.97) but not those at low risk with use of low-dose aspirin (defined as 40-160 mg/day) (Trivedi 2011);
- the effect of low-dose aspirin (defined as 50-150 mg/day) was only significant for preterm pre-eclampsia (RR 0.11 95%CI 0.04 to 0.33) (Roberge et al 2012).

**Recommendation**

**26** Advise women at moderate-high risk of pre-eclampsia that low-dose aspirin from early pregnancy may be of benefit in its prevention.

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019

UNDER REVIEW

### Vitamins

There is insufficient evidence that the risk of pre-eclampsia is reduced by supplementing vitamin B₂ (Neugebauer et al 2006) or vitamins C and E (Salles et al 2012). A meta-analysis found associations between supplementation with vitamins C (1,000 mg) and E (400 IU) in women at risk of pre-eclampsia and some adverse effects: gestational hypertension (RR 1.11; 95%CI 1.05 to 1.17) and premature rupture of the membranes (RR 1.73; 95%CI 1.34 to 2.23) (Conde-Agudelo et al 2011).

**Recommendation**

**27** Advise women that vitamins C and E are not of benefit in preventing pre-eclampsia.

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019

UNDER REVIEW

### Physical activity

Systematic reviews found a trend towards a protective effect from leisure time or recreational physical activity during pregnancy in case-control studies (RR 0.65, 95%CI 0.47 to 0.89 or OR 0.77, 0.64 to 0.91, p < 0.01) (Kasawara et al 2012; Aune et al 2014) but not in cohort studies (OR 0.99, 0.93 to 1.05, p= 0.81) (Kasawara et al 2012). Physical activity during pregnancy has general health benefits (see Section 11.4).

### Salt intake

Reducing salt intake is unlikely to reduce the risk of pre-eclampsia (Duley 2011). However, avoiding foods with added salt has other health benefits (NHMRC 2013).

### 26.2.3 Identifying women with clinical signs of pre-eclampsia

Routine measurement of blood pressure and testing for proteinuria at each antenatal visit are recommended in the United Kingdom (NICE updated 2016). However, routine testing for proteinuria is not recommended internationally (Tranquilli et al 2014), in the United States (ACOG 2013) or Australia (Lowe et al 2015; RANZCOG 2015).

- **Hypertension:** Women with new onset hypertension (defined as a blood pressure ≥140 mmHg systolic and/or ≥90 mmHg diastolic) that occurs after 20 weeks pregnancy should be assessed for signs and symptoms of pre-eclampsia (Lowe et al 2015).
- **Proteinuria:** Routine testing for proteinuria is not helpful in predicting pre-eclampsia and should be confined to women with increased blood pressure or sudden weight gain. Proteinuria should not be considered mandatory in making a diagnosis of pre-eclampsia (Lowe et al 2015).
Measurement of blood pressure and testing for proteinuria are discussed in chapters 24 and 25.

**Consensus-based recommendations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XXIV.</th>
<th>Routinely measure blood pressure to identify new onset hypertension.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XXV.</td>
<td>Recommend testing for proteinuria at each antenatal visit if a woman has risk factors for or clinical indications of pre-eclampsia, in particular, raised blood pressure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022

Where possible, women with clinical signs of pre-eclampsia (hypertension, proteinuria, fetal growth restriction) should be referred for specialist assessment and management. Section 26.5 includes resources on the management of hypertensive disorders in pregnancy.

### 26.2.4 Predicting pre-eclampsia

Predicting which women will develop pre-eclampsia is an area that is rapidly changing. A range of measures has been used to further predict risk of pre-eclampsia, including biophysical (eg mean arterial pressure, uterine artery pulsatility) and biochemical (eg pregnancy-associated placental protein-A [PAPP-A], free beta-human chorionic gonadotrophin [β-hCG], placental growth hormone [PIGF] and soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 [sFlt-1]:PIGF ratio) markers, both individually and in combination with maternal characteristics. The sFlt:PIGF ratio showed high sensitivity and specificity for onset of pre-eclampsia within 4 weeks at 19-25 weeks (100; 100%), 26-31 weeks (83; 99%) (Ohkuchi et al 2013) and 24-36 weeks (66.2; 83.1%) (Zeisler et al 2016).

While it is clear that maternal characteristics combined with biochemical and biophysical markers are more sensitive in predicting pre-eclampsia than maternal characteristics alone, there is currently insufficient evidence to support a recommendation on any particular approach. Existing algorithms are more effective in predicting early onset pre-eclampsia (which has very low prevalence), have low sensitivity in predicting late onset pre-eclampsia and have a false positive rate of 5-10%. A systematic review noted that the reliability and validity of models may be limited by methodological deficiencies (Brunelli & Prefumo 2015) and an external validation study found lower performance than was reported (Oliveira et al 2014). An analysis of the cost-effectiveness of screening for and diagnosing pre-eclampsia found that routine use of biomarkers will be feasible only when accuracy is significantly increased (Zakiyah et al 2015).

### 26.3 Discussing risk of pre-eclampsia

It is important that women are given information about the symptoms of pre-eclampsia from early pregnancy.

**Practice point**

| LL. | Give women information about the urgency of seeking advice from a health professional if they experience: headache, visual disturbance (such as blurring or flashing before the eyes), epigastric pain (just below the ribs), vomiting and/or rapid swelling of the face, hands or feet. |

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019

UNDER REVIEW
26.4 Practice summary: pre-eclampsia

**When:** Early in pregnancy

**Who:** Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker

- Discuss risk factors for pre-eclampsia early in pregnancy: Explain that the likelihood of pre-eclampsia is increased if a woman has certain risk factors.
- Discuss pre-eclampsia screening: Explain that if a woman has high blood pressure and/or proteinuria, she will require additional care during the rest of her pregnancy.
- Discuss symptoms of pre-eclampsia with women at high risk: Explain the importance of seeking medical advice immediately if symptoms occur.
- Take a holistic approach: Ask women at risk of pre-eclampsia about how many serves of calcium-rich foods they eat each day (see Chapter 11). Discuss low cost and culturally appropriate strategies for increasing calcium intake. Advise women who develop pre-eclampsia of the need for ongoing surveillance due to their increased risk of developing hypertension.
- Consider referral: Women at risk of pre-eclampsia who have a low dietary calcium intake may benefit from referral to an accredited practising dietitian.
- Document and follow-up: Note risk factors and the results of blood pressure measurement and proteinuria testing in the woman’s antenatal record. Further investigations may be warranted if increases in blood pressure or new proteinuria are identified at subsequent visits.

26.5 Resources


26.6 References


Zhai D, Guo Y, Smith G et al (2012) Maternal exposure to moderate ambient carbon monoxide is associated with decreased risk

PART E: SOCIAL AND EMOTIONAL SCREENING

This section describes screening for depression and anxiety, assessment of psychosocial risk factors and screening for family violence.

Table E1: Summary of advice for women about social and emotional assessments during pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Advice about assessment</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Detecting symptoms of depression enables appropriate follow-up</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>Anxiety, either alone or with depression, is common in pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial risk factors</td>
<td>Assessment of psychosocial factors aims to identify women who are more vulnerable to mental health conditions during pregnancy</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family violence</td>
<td>All women are asked about domestic violence during pregnancy to enable access to additional support and care</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key considerations for service provision are outlined below.

- **Systems for follow-up and support**: Before screening and assessment is carried out, systems need to be in place to ensure that appropriate health professionals are available to provide follow-up care if required and to assist if there are concerns for the safety of the woman, the fetus or infant or other children in the woman’s care. Health professionals will greatly benefit from identifying other professionals from whom they can seek advice, clinical supervision or support regarding mental health care in the perinatal period.

- **Who attends assessment**: Women need to feel safe during screening and assessment, so consideration should be given to other people who may be present. While the presence of significant others is often helpful, sensitivity is required about whether it is appropriate to continue with psychosocial assessment while they are in the room. Screening for family violence should only be conducted when alone with the woman.

- **Informed consent**: An explanation of the purpose of screening and assessment should be given before they take place. It is important to stress that this is part of usual care and results will generally remain confidential. If a woman does not consent to assessment and/or screening, this should be explored and documented and assessment and screening offered at subsequent consultations.

- **Confidentiality**: It should also be explained that confidentiality may not be kept if there is a perceived risk of harm to the woman or her baby as there is a duty of care for this to be communicated to key others. However, in this situation, only information relevant to the risk will be shared.
Screening for depressive and anxiety disorders\textsuperscript{19}

Accurately identifying women experiencing symptoms of depression and anxiety enables referral for more formal mental health assessment and suitable follow-up.

1.1 Background

Depressive disorders in the antenatal period are symptomatically the same as those at other times and range from mild to severe. Anxiety disorders at this time include generalised anxiety disorder, obsessive compulsive disorder, panic disorder, social phobia, specific phobia and post-traumatic stress disorder and are often reported as equally prevalent as depressive disorder at this time (Fairbrother et al 2016).

1.1.1 Prevalence of depressive and anxiety disorders

Australian and other studies have reported the 4-year period prevalence\textsuperscript{20} of antenatal depression as up to one in ten women (Buist & Bilsztra 2006). Primary anxiety disorders are prevalent and their comorbidity with depression is very high (Wisner et al 2013). Point prevalence of anxiety disorder of one in five in the third trimester of pregnancy has been reported (Giardinelli et al 2012).

Depression may arise in pregnancy or pre-date the pregnancy. In a subset of women in a large US study of women assessed at 6 weeks postnatally, two in five episodes of depression began postnatally, one in three during pregnancy and one in four before pregnancy (Wisner et al 2013). Australian studies have reported persistence of maternal depressive symptoms beyond the first year postpartum, with more mothers reporting depressive symptoms at 4 years follow-up than in the first 12 months postpartum (Woolhouse et al 2015), symptoms persisting from pregnancy to 4 years postpartum in one in eleven women (Giallo et al 2017) and symptoms persisting from the first year to 6-7 years postpartum in one in six women (Giallo et al 2014).

27.1.1 Impact of depressive and anxiety disorders

Obstetric complications in women with depression (independent of antidepressant use) are slightly increased risk of preterm birth, low birth weight, gestational hypertension and perinatal death (Grigoriadis et al 2013). Anxiety disorders during pregnancy may have a negative influence on obstetric, fetal and perinatal outcomes, including more pregnancy symptoms (nausea and vomiting); more medical visits; increased alcohol or tobacco consumption or unhealthy eating habits; pre-eclampsia and preterm birth; and postnatal depression and mood disorders (Marc et al 2011). High levels of maternal anxiety during pregnancy is associated with increased exposure of the fetus to maternal cortisol and risk of adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes (O’Donnell et al 2012).

27.2 Screening for depression

27.2.1 Effectiveness of screening tools

Review of evidence on four screening tools for depression in the antenatal period (the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale [EPDS], the depression module of the Patient Health Questionnaire [PHQ-9], the Whooley Questions and the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale [K-10]) found that:

- a score of 13 or more on the EPDS has moderate sensitivity and high specificity for detecting possible depression in pregnant women and a score of 10 or more has moderate sensitivity and specificity (high quality) (NICE 2015)
- it is uncertain whether the other tools (at relevant cut-offs) have adequate sensitivity or specificity to detect possible depressive disorders in pregnant women (very low to low quality) (NICE 2015).

Assessment of the non-technical characteristics of the tools found that ease of administration and implementability were high for all tools, acceptability was high for the EPDS and unknown but likely to be good

\textsuperscript{19} The information in this chapter is based on Austin M-P, Highet N and the Expert Working Group (2017) Mental Health Care in the Perinatal Period: Australian Clinical Practice Guideline. Melbourne: Centre of Perinatal Excellence. NHMRC approval of recommendations was for that Guideline.

\textsuperscript{20} Period prevalence is the prevalence over a specific time period (eg from a longitudinal study) and point prevalence is that a specific point of time (eg from a cross-sectional study).
for the other tools. The EPDS is the only one of the tools for which effectiveness (defined as positive impact on depressive symptoms, services referred or utilised and impact on a woman’s mental health) is rated ‘good’ and that has been validated in other languages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence-based recommendations</th>
<th>Strong</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Use the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) to screen women for a possible depressive disorder.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Arrange further assessment of woman with an EPDS score of 13 or more.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**27.2.2 Other considerations in screening for depression**

**Timing of screening**

The timing of screening should reflect available resources and existing contacts between the woman and the health professionals caring for her. An obvious contact point is the first antenatal visit. However, it is acknowledged that the time available at this visit and the number of other assessments undertaken may limit opportunities for assessment of mental health. Timing of repeat screening is based on results of the initial screen and clinical indications.

**Consensus-based recommendations**

XXVI. Conduct screening as early as practical in pregnancy and repeat at least once later in pregnancy.

XXVII. For a woman with an EPDS score between 10 and 12, monitor and repeat the EPDS in 4-6 weeks as her score may increase subsequently.

XXVIII. Repeat the EPDS at any time in pregnancy if clinically indicated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consensus-based recommendations</th>
<th>XXVI. Conduct screening as early as practical in pregnancy and repeat at least once later in pregnancy.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>XXVII. For a woman with an EPDS score between 10 and 12, monitor and repeat the EPDS in 4-6 weeks as her score may increase subsequently.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>XXVIII. Repeat the EPDS at any time in pregnancy if clinically indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mode of assessment**

As a self-report tool, the EPDS is usually completed by the woman, preferably without consultation with others. At times it may be appropriate for a health professional to verbally administer the questionnaire (face-to-face or by phone). Electronic screening is an emerging practice.

**Risk of harm**

Regardless of the total EPDS score, perinatal women who score positive on Question 10 may be at risk of harming themselves and/or their children and further assessment is necessary.

**Consensus-based recommendation**

XXIX. For a woman with a positive score on Question 10 on the EPDS, undertake or arrange immediate further assessment and, if there is any disclosure of suicidal ideation, take urgent action in accordance with local protocol/policy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consensus-based recommendation</th>
<th>XXIX. For a woman with a positive score on Question 10 on the EPDS, undertake or arrange immediate further assessment and, if there is any disclosure of suicidal ideation, take urgent action in accordance with local protocol/policy.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**27.2.3 Culturally appropriate screening for depression**

**Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women**

For Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women, EPDS score may be influenced by the woman’s understanding of the language used, mistrust of mainstream services or fear of consequences of depression being identified (ie involvement of child protections services). Translations of the EPDS developed in consultation with women from Aboriginal communities have been found to identify a slightly higher number of women experiencing symptoms of depression (Hayes et al 2006; Campbell et al 2008). A recent adaptation of the EPDS assessed in the Kimberley region of Western Australia includes an additional component of psychosocial assessment, acknowledging the contribution that stressful events and social health issues play in mental health (Marley et al 2017). Many elements of the approach taken to adapting this instrument (ie the way in which questions are asked, implementation by Aboriginal health workers) are likely to have broader relevance to urban as well as remote and regional Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities.

If use of the EPDS is considered inappropriate, involvement of an Aboriginal health worker may facilitate assessment of symptoms.
Consensus-based recommendation

XXX. When screening Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women, consider language and cultural appropriateness of the tool.

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022

Migrant and refugee women

Scores used to identify possible depression in migrant and refugee women are generally lower than those used in the general Australian population. Specific scores are given in translated versions of the tool.

Cultural practices (such as attending the consultation with a family member) and the perceived degree of stigma associated with depression may also influence the performance of the EPDS.

Consensus-based recommendation

XXXI. Use appropriately translated versions of the EPDS with culturally relevant cut-off scores.

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022

27.3 Screening for anxiety

The evidence on screening for anxiety is heterogeneous in terms of study characteristics and cut-off values used and firm conclusions cannot be drawn.

In the absence of a freely available practical screening tool for anxiety disorders with adequate evidence in the antenatal period, clinical judgment must be used. This may include consideration of items 3, 4 and 5 of the EPDS (Matthey et al 2013a; Matthey et al 2013b) and relevant items from the Depression Anxiety Stress Scale (DASS), the K-10 and the Antenatal Risk Questionnaire (ANRQ).

Consensus-based recommendations

XXXII. Be aware that an anxiety disorder is very common in the perinatal period and should be considered in the broader clinical assessment.

XXXIII. As part of the clinical assessment, use anxiety items from other screening tools (eg EPDS items 3, 4 and 5; Depression Anxiety Stress Scale anxiety items; and Kessler Psychological Distress Scale items 2, 3, 5 and 6) and relevant items in structured psychosocial assessment tools (eg the Antenatal Risk Questionnaire [ANRQ]).

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022

27.4 Practice summary: depression and anxiety

When: As early as practical in pregnancy

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker

- Establish referral pathways: Identify appropriate health professionals available to provide follow-up care and to assist if there are concerns for the safety of the woman or fetus. Identify other professionals from whom you can seek advice, clinical supervision or support regarding mental health care in the antenatal period.

- Seek informed consent: Explain the purpose of screening for depression and anxiety and emphasise that this is part of usual care and results will generally remain confidential.

- Identify level of support needed: Base decisions on follow-up on clinical judgement and the woman’s preferences, taking into consideration that not all women with a score of 13 or more will benefit from follow-up, and that low or high scores may reflect other factors.

- Consider safety: If concerned about the woman’s mental health and safety, contact mental health services.

27.5 Resources


COPE online training program

COPE fact sheets for health professionals
27.6 References


Assessing psychosocial factors that affect mental health

Psychosocial assessment allows identification of circumstances (past and present) that affect a woman’s mental health. The number and type of factors identified influences the care pathway.

28.1 Background

The experience of pregnancy and parenthood differs for each woman and is influenced by the stability of her relationships and social network. While the biggest risk factor for developing perinatal mental health conditions is a past mental health history, the presence of psychosocial risk factors may be associated with greater risk of onset, relapse or exacerbation of mental health conditions. Women who feel isolated either by distance, culture, or both, are more likely to develop distress or mental health conditions in the perinatal period (Austin et al 2015). The likelihood is also greater for women who have experienced life stressors (eg family problems, loss, family violence or disability) or multiple trauma (Austin et al 2015).

28.2 Psychosocial assessment

Psychosocial assessment can be undertaken as part of the clinical interview and/or using a structured psychosocial assessment tool. Different approaches can be taken to suit the setting, health professional confidence and skills, as well as time constraints. Structured questionnaires are useful in providing a comprehensive, time-efficient overview of the woman’s circumstances, especially when the health professional is not experienced in undertaking a detailed psychosocial assessment as part of the broader clinical evaluation.

Practice point

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MM.</th>
<th>Assess psychosocial risk factors as early as practical in pregnancy.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

28.2.1 Psychosocial assessment tools

Tools developed with the aim of identifying psychosocial factors in the antenatal period for which there is moderate to high quality evidence include the Antenatal Risk Questionnaire (ANRQ), the Antenatal Psychosocial Health Assessment (ALPHA) and the Pregnancy Risk Questionnaire (PRQ). Evaluation of these tools for their technical performance and acceptability found the following.

- The ANRQ has acceptable technical performance in identifying women at increased risk of depression or anxiety disorder (OR 6.3 [95% CI 3.5 to 11.5]) and has a positive effect on the rates of referral for mental health assessment (moderate quality) (Austin et al 2013; Reilly et al 2015). Ease of administration and acceptability among women are high.

- In contrast, the ALPHA has limited psychometric properties, is moderately acceptable to users and is effective in identifying family violence (OR 2.7; 95%CI 1.1 to 6.9) and ‘high level of psychosocial concern’ on the health professional’s part (OR 2.8; 95%CI 0.7 to 11.7) but does not have adequate capacity to identify women at increased risk of postnatal depression (moderate quality) (Carroll et al 2005).

- The PRQ has acceptable psychometric properties and is effective in predicting cases of postnatal depression and anxiety (OR 9.18; p <0.001) (moderate quality) but is considered too lengthy for routine use in the public health setting (Austin et al 2005).

28.2.2 Using the ANRQ

The ANRQ is a 13-item structured questionnaire that generates a total psychosocial risk score (cumulative risk) and identifies specific factors that independently put the woman at greater psychosocial risk (past history of trauma or significant mental health condition) (Austin et al 2013; Reilly et al 2015). It covers the relationship with her partner, social support, recent stressful life events, anxiety or perfectionism, history (and treatment) of depression or other mental health conditions, experience of abuse as a child or as an adult, and quality of relationship with her mother in childhood. A cut-off score of 23 or more is recommended but women with a...
significant mental health history or history of abuse are at increased risk of poor psychosocial outcome irrespective of the total ANRQ score.

**Evidence-based recommendation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence-based recommendation</th>
<th>Strong</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 If using a tool to assess psychosocial risk, administer the ANRQ.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consensus-based recommendation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consensus-based recommendation</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XXXIV. Undertake psychosocial assessment in conjunction with a tool that screens for current symptoms of depression/anxiety (e.g., the EPDS).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**28.3 Other considerations in psychosocial screening**

As a clinically useful psychosocial assessment tool needs to be brief and to cover the key risk domains, it cannot be fully comprehensive and should be used to ‘start the conversation’.

**28.3.1 Further exploration and interpretation of psychosocial assessment**

Psychosocial risk items endorsed by the woman need to be further explored and documented. The results of the evaluation need to be conveyed to the woman and then (in consultation with the woman) be translated into an approach to referral or monitoring. This will be reliant on the availability of referral pathways.

**Practice point**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice point</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NN. Ensure that health professionals receive training in the importance of psychosocial assessment and the use of a psychosocial assessment tool.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OO. Ensure that there are clear guidelines around the use and interpretation of the psychosocial tool/interview in terms of threshold for referral for psychosocial care and/or ongoing monitoring.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**28.3.2 Education about psychosocial risk factors**

Given the potential impact that psychosocial risk factors may have on a woman’s mental health, it is important that all women are provided with information about the nature of the different risk factors that may increase her likelihood of experiencing a mental health condition. In turn this provides an opportunity to identify supports (protective factors) to assist in the prevention of mental health conditions, and/or raise awareness of the importance of early symptom recognition to facilitate early detection and intervention.

**Practice point**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice point</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP. Discuss with the woman the possible impact of psychosocial risk factors (she has endorsed) on her mental health and provide information about available assistance.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**28.3.3 Culturally appropriate assessment of psychosocial risk**

The psychosocial assessment tools described above are only available in English and no published evidence has been identified describing their use in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander or migrant and refugee women. A more conversational approach to psychosocial assessment may be needed in these groups, with a focus on developing rapport and trust.

A South Australian study (of Aboriginal women) found that women were happy to be asked about social health issues, including family and community violence, when questions were asked by Aboriginal women from the community in an interview or when women were given the option to self-complete a questionnaire (Weetra et al 2016). Similarly, involvement of multicultural health workers may be a consideration in the assessment of migrant and refugee women.

Consideration should also be given to psychosocial risk factors that are not covered in the tools but may be relevant to specific groups (e.g., lack of secure housing, experience of trauma).

**Consensus-based recommendation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consensus-based recommendation</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XXXV. Consider language and cultural appropriateness of any tool used to assess psychosocial risk.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
28.4 Practice summary: psychosocial assessment

When: As early as practical in pregnancy

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker

☐ Provide information: Explain that pregnancy can be challenging and that some life factors make it more likely that a woman will experience symptoms of depression or anxiety.

☐ Seek informed consent: Explain that asking about psychosocial factors is a part of usual care during pregnancy and ask the woman for her consent.

☐ Offer support: If a woman has psychosocial risk factors ask if she would like help with any issues.

28.5 Resources


COPE online training program

COPE fact sheets for health professionals

28.6 References


29  Family violence

Antenatal care provides an opportunity to ask women about exposure to violence. Asking questions may assist women to disclose their experiences of violence to health professionals and enable access to additional support and care, including community, legal and police support services.

29.1  Background

‘Family violence’ may involve partners, siblings, parents, children and people who are related in other ways. It includes violence in many family contexts, including violence by a same sex partner, violence by young people against parents or siblings, elder abuse, and violence by carers in a domestic setting against those for whom they are responsible (Royal Commission into Family Violence Victoria 2016). It is also referred to as domestic violence.

‘Intimate partner violence’ includes any behaviour within an intimate relationship that causes physical, psychological or sexual harm to those in the relationship, including physical aggression, psychological abuse, forced intercourse & other forms of sexual coercion, various controlling behaviours (WHO 2013).

In the context of these Guidelines, family violence is used as the overarching term and intimate partner violence is referred to when this was specified in studies.

29.1.1  Violence against women in Australia

- **Women in the general population**: The Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) estimates that 17% of all women aged 18 and over have experienced intimate partner violence (from either a current or previous partner) since the age of 15 (ABS 2013). Among women who were pregnant at some time during a relationship and experienced violence with their most recent violent partner or their current partner, 54% and 22% respectively reported that they were pregnant at the time of the violence and 25% and 13% reported that violence occurred for the first time during pregnancy (ABS 2013).

- **Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women**: The full extent of violence against women in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities is difficult to determine due to under-reporting, lack of screening by service providers, incomplete identification of gender and Indigenous status in many datasets, and the lack of nationally comparable data on family violence available from police, courts, health or welfare sources (Olsen & Lovett 2016). Despite under-reporting, surveys show that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women report higher levels of violence and suffer higher levels of injury and death as a result of family violence than non-Indigenous women (Olsen & Lovett 2016).

29.1.2  Risks associated with violence in pregnancy

Violence in pregnancy poses significant risks for women. The risk of being a victim of attempted/completed murder is three-fold higher (aOR 3.08; 95%CI 1.86 to 5.10) among women abused during pregnancy than among those who are not (McFarlane et al 2002).

Intimate partner violence is associated with adverse reproductive outcomes, including multiple unintended pregnancies and/or terminations and delayed pregnancy care (WHO 2013). Women who experience intimate partner violence during pregnancy are 4 times more likely to report depressive symptoms and 10 times more likely to report anxiety symptoms during pregnancy (Brown et al 2008). These symptoms frequently persist in the postnatal period (Woolhouse et al 2012) and affect a woman’s ability to form secure infant attachment (1st 1001 Days APPG 2015).

Women who experience violence during pregnancy are at increased risk of miscarriage (Morland et al 2008), pre-term labour and birth (Shah et al 2010) and having low birthweight infants (El Kady et al 2005; Yost et al 2005; Silverman et al 2006; Shah et al 2010). Women physically assaulted during pregnancy also have higher risks of placental abruption, caesarean section, haemorrhage and infection than women without a history of being assaulted (El Kady et al 2005). In addition, violence before pregnancy is a major independent risk factor for hypertension, oedema, vaginal bleeding, placental problems, severe nausea and vomiting, dehydration, diabetes, kidney infection and/or urinary tract infection, as well as premature rupture of membranes (Silverman et al 2006).
29.2 Assessing for family violence

Recent guidelines in New Zealand (NZ MoH 2016) and the United States (USPSTF 2013) recommend routine enquiry about intimate partner violence among women of childbearing age (the latter noting a need for further research). However, guidelines in Canada (Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care 2003) and the United Kingdom (Feder et al 2009) do not recommend routine screening for family violence.

Some Australian states and territories have policies in place to support routine (New South Wales, Northern Territory) or targeted (Victoria) screening for family violence. While most states/territories do not have a dedicated screening tool for family violence in pregnancy, these are in development (Queensland), a tool that is used in other settings is recommended for use (Western Australia) or there are other mechanisms that prompt questioning (eg hand-held pregnancy records in South Australia, public hospital computerised recording system in Tasmania) (AIHW 2015).

While the screening approaches vary considerably between jurisdictions, there are some common questions in use across the tools. Questions used in at least four jurisdictions are listed in Table E2.

Table E2: Questions used in assessment of family violence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are you (ever) afraid of your partner or ex-partner (or someone in your family)?</td>
<td>(AIHW 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(In the last year) has (your partner or) someone in your family or household ever pushed, hit, kicked, punched or otherwise hurt you?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you (ever) safe to go home when you leave here?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A review of validated screening tools that have been tested within a health-care setting and used in a antenatal context (either in part or full) found that Hurt, Insult, Threaten, Scream (HITS) and Humiliation, Afraid, Rape, Kick (HARK) tools were both considered potentially useful to recommend for national use in the antenatal context (AIHW 2015). Both have been recommended for routine screening of women of childbearing age by the United States Preventative Services Task Force and cover a number of domains of family violence. However, a systematic review of ten screening tools found that three (Women Abuse Screen Tool (WAST), Abuse Assessment Screen (AAS) and HARK had strong psychometric properties but that further testing and validation are critically needed, particularly in relation to cultural and gender sensitivities (Arkins et al 2016).

29.2.1 Effectiveness of screening

A Cochrane review (O’Doherty et al 2015) found that screening by health professionals increased identification of women experiencing intimate partner violence (OR 2.95, 95% CI 1.79 to 4.87, moderate quality evidence) but did not have a clear effect on increasing referrals (low quality evidence). Face-to-face screening was not clearly more effective in women disclosing than written/computer-based techniques (OR 1.12, 95% CI 0.53 to 2.36, moderate quality evidence). Another systematic review (Hussain et al 2015) also found that face-to-face screening was not clearly more effective than either computer-based screening or self-administered written screening (with some overlap in included studies).

29.2.2 Acceptability to women

Studies found that women were largely supportive of routine enquiry:

- being asked was considered acceptable (Roelens et al 2008; Roelens 2010; Spangaro et al 2011b; Lutgendorf et al 2012; Baird et al 2013; Stockl et al 2013; Salmon et al 2015)
- family violence was considered an important domain of enquiry (Rietveld et al 2010; Ben Natan et al 2011; Salmon et al 2015)
- women would be willing to disclose if asked (Decker et al 2013).

However, women may not always feel able to disclose immediately (Salmon et al 2015). Reasons for not disclosing include not considering the violence serious enough, fear of the offender finding out and not feeling
comfortable with the health professional (Spangaro et al 2010). Beneficial encounters are characterised by familiarity with the health professional, acknowledgement of the violence, respect and relevant referrals (Liebschutz et al 2008) and direct asking and care (defined as showing interest and a non-judgemental attitude) (Spangaro et al 2016). Multiple assessments for family violence during pregnancy increase reporting (O’Reilly et al 2010).

As women should be assessed for family violence without the partner present, strategies need to be developed so that a woman’s partner can be involved in other domains of enquiry when assessment for psychosocial risk factors that affect mental health is conducted (Rollans et al 2016).

Recommendation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>31</th>
<th>Explain to all women that asking about family violence is a routine part of antenatal care and enquire about each woman’s exposure to family violence.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consensus-based recommendation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XXXVI.</th>
<th>Ask about family violence only when alone with the woman, using specific questions or the tool used in your state/territory.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

29.2.3 Acceptability to health professionals

While many health professionals think screening is important (DeBoer et al 2013), some are reluctant to enquire about family violence (Roelens 2010; Ben Natan et al 2011; Shamu et al 2013). Factors increasing a health professional’s likelihood of screening women included having previously screened women (Ben Natan et al 2011), having a therapeutic relationship with the woman (LoGiudice 2015), knowledge of prior abuse (Lutgendorf et al 2010), recognising silent cues (LoGiudice 2015), having scripted questions (Spangaro et al 2011a), interdisciplinary collaboration (Chang et al 2009; Kulkarni et al 2011; Mauri et al 2015) and access to resources (Chang et al 2009) and referral services (Spangaro et al 2011a).

29.2.4 Barriers to screening

The most commonly recognised barrier to screening was lack of training (Garcia & Fisher 2008; Chang et al 2009; Lazenbatt et al 2009; Lutgendorf et al 2010; Roelens 2010; Kulkarni et al 2011; Spangaro et al 2011a; DeBoer et al 2013; Shamu et al 2013; Saucedo-Barrientos et al 2014; Baird et al 2015; Infanti et al 2015; Mauri et al 2015). Other barriers identified included:

• variations in timing and the manner in which screening takes place (LoGiudice 2015)
• lack of peer support (Garcia & Fisher 2008), confidence (Lazenbatt et al 2009) or continuity of care (Lauti & Miller 2008)
• presence of the woman’s partner (LoGiudice 2015)
• women’s unwillingness to disclose (Mauri et al 2015)
• time constraints (Chang et al 2009; Lutgendorf et al 2010; Roelens 2010)
• cultural taboos (Mauri et al 2015)
• health professionals’ attitudes to violence (Ben Natan et al 2011; Saucedo-Barrientos et al 2014)
• concerns about privacy and confidentiality (Lauti & Miller 2008)
• uncertainty regarding management and referral options (Lutgendorf et al 2010; LoGiudice 2015)
• need for debriefing (Lauti & Miller 2008), guidelines and employer support (Finnbogadottir & Dykes 2012).

The WHO recommends that all health professionals be trained in first-line response to family violence. The steps are to: listen, believe, inquire about needs, validate the person’s experience, enhance safety and offer ongoing support.

Consensus-based recommendation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XXXVII.</th>
<th>Undertake and encourage regular and repeat training of health professionals, as training programs improve confidence and competence in identifying and caring for women experiencing family violence.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
29.2.5 Interventions

There is insufficient evidence to assess the effectiveness of interventions for family violence on pregnancy outcomes (Jahanfar et al 2014). However, brief advocacy interventions (providing information and support to access community resources, including legal, police, housing and financial services) may provide small short-term mental health benefits and reduce physical abuse (Rivas et al 2015). Home visits from nurses or community health workers may also reduce episodes of physical abuse (Prosman et al 2015; Sharps et al 2016). In the context of antenatal care in Australia, safety assessment (see Section 29.4), referral to relevant support services (eg women’s resource centres or refuges) is an appropriate response to disclosure of family violence.

29.3 Discussing and responding to family violence

Discussion of family violence requires rapport between the health professional and the woman. Women experiencing abuse may not speak up when the subject is first raised but may choose to open up later when they feel sufficient trust and confidence in the health professional, possibly at a subsequent visit with the same person. It is important for health professionals to enquire about family violence in private and in a sensitive manner and provide a response that takes into consideration the complexity of women’s needs. If a woman discloses that she is experiencing family violence, an immediate response is needed, with the woman’s safety a primary consideration.

Table E3: Key considerations in discussing and responding to family violence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consideration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enquire about family violence when alone with the woman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explain that the woman’s responses will be kept confidential (subject to legal requirements)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actively listen to what the woman tells you</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not blame or judge the woman or her partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inform the woman that she is not alone, there are other women experiencing family violence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affirm that the woman has made an important step by discussing her experiences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforce that family violence is against the law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforce that the woman should not self-blame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affirm that the decision to discuss family violence is a major step to enhance her safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assist the woman to assess her safety and that of children in her care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss options for safe temporary accommodation if needed and available (eg safe house, family or friends, hospital, women’s refuge)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage the woman to access specialist support services (eg woman’s health centre, social worker, counsellor, mental health service, family violence and sexual assault service)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inform the woman of her legal right to protection and provide information on legal support services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inform the woman that disclosure of family violence may require further discussion and possible reporting in relation to child protection issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be aware of security supports that can be used to protect the woman and yourself if needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document a woman’s responses (ensuring that records are kept confidential and secure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report any incidents of violence according to organisational policy and jurisdictional legislation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Adapted from (Eastern Perth Public and Community Health Unit 2001) and (NHMRC 2002).

Health professionals with limited experience in responding to family violence can enhance their practice by:
- seeking training and support (eg clinical supervision) where available (see Section 29.4)
- planning a response to disclosure of violence, including considerations of safety, confidentiality, sensitivity and informed support

---

22 Legislation around mandatory reporting to police and child protection in relation to disclosure of domestic violence varies. Health professionals need to be aware of the relevant laws and requirements in their jurisdiction.
• being familiar with specialised counselling services, emergency housing agencies and legal support services in the local area.

**Practice point**

QQ. Be aware of family and community structures and support, and of community family violence and sexual assault services that can be called for urgent and ongoing support.

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022

### 29.3.1 Considerations in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities

In Indigenous communities, violence against women is conceptualised within extended families and the wider community (Olsen & Lovett 2016). Family violence is understood to be the result of, and perpetuated by, a range of community and family factors, rather than one individual’s problematic behaviour within an intimate partnership.

No one causal factor can explain violence against Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women (Olsen & Lovett 2016). Instead, a number of interrelated factors have been identified, highlighting the complex and cumulative nature of violence and victimisation including colonisation and the breakdown of culture, intergenerational patterns of violence, alcohol and other drugs, and socioeconomic stressors (Olsen & Lovett 2016). These factors also influence responses to disclosure of family violence by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women. Confidentiality and privacy are important considerations. Women should be asked about who they would like to be involved in their care and offered a clear choice about referral options, including both Aboriginal-specific services and mainstream services.

It is important to respect and understand that, despite the disproportionate burden of violence against Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women, violence is not normal or customary in these communities (Olsen & Lovett 2016). Indigenous Australians are diverse peoples who, while having a number of areas of commonality, differ in their languages, culture and history. Not all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women are subjected to violence and not all communities have high rates of violence.

**Practice point**

RR. Responses to assisting Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women who are experiencing family violence need to be appropriate to the woman and her community.

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022

Approaches to addressing factors underlying family violence in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities are beyond the scope of these Guidelines. Some relevant resources are identified in Section 29.4.

### 29.3.2 Considerations among migrant and refugee women

Women from migrant and refugee backgrounds who are experiencing violence may be disadvantaged by a lack of knowledge about their rights, lack of good support systems, and social isolation (Taft 2013). They may be experiencing abuse by multiple people, including in-laws and intimate partners.

Small studies have noted the need to focus on the individual woman beyond ethnicity and cultural differences (Byrskog et al 2015) and to consider different definitions of violence (Byrskog et al 2015), cultural factors influencing disclosure (Wellock 2010) and the need for involvement of independent interpreters (Wellock 2010) or bicultural health workers.

Cultural taboos may surround the issue of violence in families and this may make it difficult for women to disclose without additional encouragement, support and sensitivity (Taft 2013). The building of a trusting therapeutic relationship is essential to facilitate this disclosure. Cultural sensitivity but, equally importantly, non-judgemental and supportive practice will make it ‘culturally safe’ for women to find the appropriate moment to speak about their concerns with a health professional.

### 29.3.3 Considerations in rural and remote areas

Assisting women experiencing family violence in rural and remote areas may be complex due to:

- limited resources to call on for advice or an immediate response
- limited specialised services to assist in the woman’s ongoing care
- difficulties ensuring confidentiality in smaller towns and communities
• difficulties when the health professional has a relationship with the woman (eg through family, kinship or friendship), particularly if mandatory reporting is required.

29.3.4 Practice summary: assessing for family violence

When: As early as practical and at subsequent antenatal visits

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker

☐ Discuss assessment for family violence: Explain that enquiry about family violence is a routine part of antenatal care and that it aims to identify women who would like assistance. Explain confidentiality and provide opportunities for the woman to discuss family violence in privacy (eg without her partner present).

☐ Take a holistic approach: If a woman affirms that she is experiencing family violence, other considerations include counselling and ongoing support. The safety of the woman and children in her care should be assessed and referral to other services (eg police, emergency housing, community services) made as required.

☐ Learn about locally available support services: Available support services for women who are experiencing family violence will vary by location.

☐ Document the discussion: Document in the medical record any evidence of injuries, treatment provided because of injuries, referrals made and any information the woman provides. If woman-held records are used, the information included in these should be limited and more detailed records kept at the health service.

☐ Seek support: Depending on your skills and experience in discussing family violence with women and assisting them if they are experiencing family violence, seek advice and support through training programs, clinical supervision, mentoring and/or helplines.

☐ Be aware of relevant legislation: Each state and territory has requirements about reporting violence as set out in its legislation.

29.4 Resources

29.4.1 Training

DV-Alert Lifeline offers nationally recognised training and non-accredited training across all states and territories in Australia. DV-alert is funded by the Department of Social Services and is free for front-line community and health workers.

29.4.2 Guidance


Futures without Violence: online tools


RACGP (2014) Abuse and Violence. Working with our Patients in General Practice. Strengthening Hospital Responses to Family Violence


29.4.3 Safety assessment

Danger Assessment helps to determine the level of danger to a woman experiencing abuse.

29.4.4 Indigenous communities


29.5 References


PART F: ROUTINE MATERNAL HEALTH TESTS

This section discusses the evidence for offering women a range of tests as part of usual care (see Table F1). Recommendations are based on evidence about the diagnostic accuracy of available tests, the effectiveness of interventions to prevent mother-to-child transmission of infection or other effects on the unborn baby, and the availability of treatments.

For notifiable infections (HIV, hepatitis B, hepatitis C, rubella, syphilis), diagnoses are required to be reported to the National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System. This allows analysis of trends in jurisdictions and groups at risk, although data quality varies for the different conditions and reporting of Indigenous status is incomplete in some States and for some conditions. Evidence on the prevalence and incidence of other conditions is generally from observational studies and may not be representative of the Australian population or groups within the population. While incidence or prevalence data are not always available, each chapter includes a brief discussion that aims to give health professionals an indication of the likelihood that women in their community will be affected.

Table F1: Summary of advice on tests offered to all women during pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Test(s)</th>
<th>Follow-up/rationale</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anaemia</td>
<td>Haemoglobin concentration</td>
<td>Full blood count and consideration of possible nutrient deficiencies for women with low haemoglobin concentrations</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin disorders</td>
<td>Full blood count</td>
<td>Further investigations for women with abnormal red cell indices, family history or origin in a high-risk country</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational diabetes</td>
<td>Plasma glucose (fasting or following 75 g glucose loading)</td>
<td>Treatment of gestational diabetes reduces the risk of perinatal complications</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV**</td>
<td>EIA and Western blot</td>
<td>Antiretroviral treatment in pregnancy reduces risk of transmission</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B**</td>
<td>Blood test for HbsAg#</td>
<td>Vaccination of newborn reduces risk of infection</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C**</td>
<td>Blood test for hepatitis antibody RNA if antibodies detected</td>
<td>Avoiding certain interventions among women who test positive reduces risk of mother-to-child transmission and direct-acting antiviral therapy used postpartum (or post breastfeeding) is highly curative protecting future pregnancies</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syphilis#</td>
<td>Treponemal assay confirmed with an alternative treponemal assay</td>
<td>Treatment benefits mother and prevents congenital syphilis</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubella</td>
<td>Blood test for rubella antibody</td>
<td>Vaccination after birth protects future pregnancies. Inadvertent vaccination in early pregnancy is highly unlikely to harm the baby</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymptomatic bacterialia</td>
<td>Midstream urine culture</td>
<td>Treatment reduces risk of pyelonephritis</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B streptococcus*</td>
<td>Self-collected vaginal-rectal swab culture</td>
<td>Identification of colonisation allows treatment during labour to reduce transmission to the baby</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* According to organisational policy.
** Specialist care and psychosocial support are required for women with HIV, hepatitis B or hepatitis C.
# Psychosocial support, partner testing and contact tracing needed for women with sexually transmitted infections. EIA=enzyme immunoassay; HbsAg=hepatitis B surface antigen; HIV=human immunodeficiency virus.

23 Tests are offered in the context of engagement and consultation with women. Health professionals must use standard precautions for infection prevention and control. Tests evolve with advances in technology and health professionals must keep up-to-date with the latest developments and evidence.
Considerations before testing

Before tests are carried out, it is essential that:

- women are informed that it is their choice to have tests
- women are able to give informed consent: verbal discussion should cover the reasons for testing, harms and benefits and associated treatments and be supported by appropriate resources (eg written materials, audio or video) and efforts should be made to ensure that women have understood the information they are given
- women have opportunities to ask questions about tests and treatments
- women are reassured that test results remain confidential (unless the condition is notifiable, in which case they are given information about the notification process)
- discussions about consent are documented by the health professional involved
- women who decline testing are offered the opportunity to discuss any concerns they may have without being coerced to reconsider the test
- there are processes for follow-up of women with a positive test result, their babies and, in some situations, partners.

Discussion of testing should be approached with sensitivity, particularly when there is a potential for testing to raise maternal anxiety or if testing is for a sexually transmitted infection.

Considerations after a positive test result

- Psychosocial support: Diagnosis of a condition that may affect pregnancy and/or the health of the baby can be distressing, particularly if there are no interventions that can change outcomes. Women should be given information about available supports and assisted to access these.
- Referral for specialist care: For some conditions, such as haemoglobin disorders and thyroid dysfunction, specialist involvement will be required.
- Sexually transmitted infections: If a sexually transmitted infection is identified, there is an increased risk of other sexually transmitted infections. Testing and treatment of sexually transmitted infections and contract tracing have public health benefits as transmission to partners is reduced.
- Blood-borne infections: Specific supports are likely to be required for women identified as using intravenous drugs.
- Notification: State/Territory legislation on notification of communicable diseases must be followed.

Type of test

The tests discussed in this section are those currently in use in Australia. With continuous advances in technology and testing, techniques change rapidly. The most appropriate test may also depend on the clinical setting.

Testing in rural and remote areas

It is acknowledged that in Australia, access to tests may vary (eg due to distance from pathology services), storing tests and samples appropriately may be challenging (eg due to high temperatures or humidity) and there may be difficulties in recalling women to receive test results. In these situations, resources should be focused on responding to local needs (eg ensuring that tests are available to identify highly prevalent conditions).
30 Anaemia

Antenatal care provides an opportunity to identify women with possible anaemia. If anaemia is diagnosed, supplementation with the deficient nutrient (most commonly iron) may be advised where indicated.

30.1 Background

Anaemia is a lower than normal concentration of haemoglobin or number of red blood cells, which results in reduced capacity of the blood to carry oxygen. During pregnancy, the WHO criteria for mean minimum normal haemoglobin concentration in healthy pregnant women is 110 mg/dL in the first half of pregnancy and 105 mg/dL in the second. Iron deficiency is the most common cause of anaemia in pregnancy worldwide (WHO 2001), but other deficiencies may also cause anaemia:

- **Iron deficiency**: demand for iron is increased during pregnancy (NPS 2010) and insufficient iron intake or absorption (eg diet poor in iron-rich foods and/or rich in foods that diminish iron absorption) or blood loss (eg due to gastrointestinal parasites) (ACOG 2008) can result in microcytic anaemia

- **Folate deficiency**: demand for folate is also increased during pregnancy and inadequate dietary intake, prolonged vomiting or impaired absorption (eg due to gastric bypass surgery or gastrointestinal conditions) can result in macrocytic anaemia

- **Vitamin B12 deficiency**: prolonged inadequate intake (eg limited access to source foods or vegetarian diet) or impaired absorption (eg due to gastric bypass surgery, pernicious anaemia or gastrointestinal conditions) can result in macrocytic anaemia

- **Haemoglobinopathies**: these include sickle cell anaemia and thalassaemia (see Chapter 30).

Symptoms of anaemia include general weakness and tiredness but the threshold concentrations of haemoglobin at which these symptoms occur in pregnancy is not known (Reveiz et al 2011).

30.1.1 Prevalence of iron, folate and vitamin B12 deficiency during pregnancy

- Estimates suggest that one-quarter of the world’s population has anaemia. The burden of anaemia is considerably higher among indigenous populations compared to the general population (Khambalia et al 2011).

- The prevalence of iron-deficiency anaemia during pregnancy is generally low (< 20%) in developed countries (van den Broek 2003) and higher (35-75%) in developing countries (Africa, Asia, South America) (van den Broek 2003; Kalavani 2009) and areas of socioeconomic disadvantage (USPSTF 2006). Reported predictors for having anaemia by 32 weeks gestation include young maternal age, non-white ethnic origin and increasing parity (Barroso et al 2011).

- Few studies have reported the prevalence of iron-deficiency anaemia during pregnancy in Australia. Iron-deficiency anaemia was identified in 18% of pregnant women in a Tasmanian study (n=2,654) (Khalafallah et al 2010) and in 11% of pregnant women in a South Australian study (n=430) (Zhou et al 2006).

- Data from Queensland suggest higher prevalence of iron-deficiency anaemia during pregnancy among Aboriginal than non-Indigenous women (Wills & Coory 2008). Smaller studies have found a prevalence of anaemia during pregnancy among Aboriginal women of 50% in remote Northern Territory communities (Bar-Zeev et al 2013), 12% across 34 Aboriginal community health services (range 3-22%) (n=535) (Rumbold et al 2011) and 10% in Brisbane (n=1,523) (Stapleton et al 2011).

- Data from Western Australia and South Australia show higher prevalence of iron-deficiency anaemia during pregnancy among adolescent (14%) than adult women (6%) and among Aboriginal (23-25%) compared with non-Indigenous (8-10%) adolescent women (Westemberg et al 2002; Lewis et al 2009).

- Mandatory fortification of flour with folic acid has reduced the prevalence of low folate levels among Australian women of childbearing age (0.16% in 2010) (Brown et al 2011). A Western Australian study found low folate levels in 10% of Aboriginal women before flour fortification (Maxwell et al 2012).
• Vitamin B₁₂ deficiency is common in most of the developing world (Stabler & Allen 2004; Allen 2009). Few studies have examined the prevalence of vitamin B₁₂ deficiency in Australia (Flood et al 2006). However, there is emerging evidence of vitamin B₁₂ deficiency among refugees due to limited or no sources of animal foods before resettlement (Benson et al 2010; Benson et al 2013).

Preventing iron deficiency (through inclusion of iron-rich foods in the diet and/or iron supplementation) is discussed in Section 11.3.2.

30.1.2 Risks associated with iron, folate and vitamin B₁₂ deficiency during pregnancy

Severe iron-deficiency anaemia (haemoglobin concentration <70 mg/dL) can cause cardiac failure, (Lops 1995; WHO 1992; Williams & Wheby 1992) and reduce tolerance of blood loss associated with birth. It is unclear whether mild to moderate anaemia is associated with poor outcomes (Reveiz et al 2011).

Deficiencies of folate (De-Regil et al 2010) or vitamin B₁₂ (Molloy et al 2009) during pregnancy are associated with neural tube defects.

30.2 Testing for anaemia

Routinely offering a full blood count early in pregnancy and at 28 weeks is recommended in the United Kingdom (NICE 2008) and in Australia (RANZCOG 2009). Initial haemoglobin concentration is usually assessed in the context of this full blood count.

30.2.1 Assessing haemoglobin concentration

During pregnancy, maternal red cell mass and plasma volume increase and the haemoglobin concentration is reduced (NICE 2008). Haemoglobin is therefore checked against gestation-related thresholds.

Table F2: Assessing haemoglobin concentration during pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gestational age</th>
<th>Minimum haemoglobin concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–20 weeks</td>
<td>110 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20+ weeks</td>
<td>105 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Consensus-based recommendation

XXXVIII. Routinely offer testing for haemoglobin concentration to pregnant women early in pregnancy (at the first visit) and at 28 weeks gestation.

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019

Practice point

SS. In areas where prevalence of iron-deficiency anaemia is high consider testing ferritin at the first antenatal visit.

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019

30.2.2 Further investigations

Haemoglobin concentration is not sensitive enough to be the sole means of diagnosing anaemia. Diagnostic tests include:

• full blood count (if this has not already been conducted)
• serum ferritin, which is the most sensitive single test to detect adequate iron stores (90% sensitivity at a cut-off of 30 μg/litre) (Breymann 2002)
• specific tests for folate and vitamin B₁₂, if mean cell volume is high.

Practice point

TT. Further investigation is required for women with a low haemoglobin concentration for their gestational stage. Repeat testing at 36 weeks may also be required for women who have symptoms or risk factors for anaemia or who live in or have come from an area of high prevalence.

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019
30.3  Treating iron-deficiency anaemia

30.3.1 Effectiveness and safety of treatments for iron-deficiency anaemia

The evidence on treatments for iron-deficiency anaemia covers a very wide range of supplements, doses and routes of administration and focuses on changes in maternal haemoglobin concentration.

- Iron supplementation improves maternal haemoglobin concentrations, but there is a lack of evidence about the overall benefits of treating mild iron-deficiency anaemia in pregnancy (Reveiz et al 2011).
- Oral iron can cause gastrointestinal adverse effects (e.g. nausea, constipation) (Reveiz et al 2011).
  Intramuscular or intravenous iron is more effective than oral iron, but may have adverse effects (venous thrombosis and allergic reactions for intravenous treatment and pain, discoloration and allergic reactions for intramuscular treatment) (Reveiz et al 2011).

Iron as part of general nutritional supplementation is discussed in Section 11.3.2. Given the lack of evidence on outcomes, the recommendation is not to routinely offer iron supplementation to women during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Advise iron supplementation for women identified as having iron-deficiency anaemia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Practice point

UU. Oral iron remains first-line treatment for iron-deficiency anaemia identified in the antenatal period. Intravenous iron should be offered to women who do not respond to oral iron or are unable to comply with therapy. In some remote settings, intramuscular iron may be administered by a health professional who does not have intravenous endorsement or where intravenous iron cannot be accessed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Advise women with iron-deficiency anaemia that low-dose iron supplementation is as effective as high dose, with fewer side effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

30.3.2 Dose of supplementation

Recent studies provide high-level evidence on lower doses of iron supplementation. Iron supplements that are low dose (e.g. 20 mg) or taken less often than daily appear to be effective in treating anaemia in pregnancy with fewer gastrointestinal side effects compared with high-dose (e.g. 80 mg) or daily supplements (de Souza et al 2004; Sharma et al 2004; Zhou et al 2009; Reveiz et al 2011).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation 20</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Advise women with iron-deficiency anaemia that low-dose iron supplementation is as effective as high dose, with fewer side effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

30.3.3 Other considerations

Treatment for hookworm infestation should also be considered in areas of high prevalence.

30.4 Discussing anaemia

When haemoglobin concentration is low, points for discussion include:

- while anaemia in pregnancy is most commonly associated with iron deficiency, deficiencies of folate or vitamin B₁₂ also result in anaemia and further tests are required to identify the cause
- if a deficiency is identified, supplementation with the appropriate nutrient can correct the deficiency
- supplements can be combined with foods rich in the relevant nutrient:
  - iron-rich foods include meat, seafood and poultry; including a vitamin C rich fruit or vegetable in each meal and limiting tea and coffee to between meals aids absorption (Marsh et al 2009)
  - foods rich in folate include fortified bread and cereals, dried beans and peas, dark green vegetables and citrus fruit and juice
  - foods that contain vitamin B₁₂ include meat, eggs, milk and cheese.
30.5 Practice summary: anaemia

When: Early in pregnancy and at 28 weeks gestation

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker

- Discuss the reasons for testing for anaemia: Explain that anaemia causes tiredness and can have other effects on the pregnancy.
- Explain the causes of anaemia: Iron-deficiency anaemia is common during pregnancy. Other causes of anaemia may be a consideration for women who live in or have come from areas where folate or vitamin B<sub>12</sub> deficiencies are common.
- Take a holistic approach: Consider the availability of iron-rich foods appropriate to the woman’s cultural practices and preferences and the affordability of supplements. For women taking supplements for iron-deficiency, explore culturally appropriate, low cost ways for women to increase their fibre and fluid intake if they are experiencing constipation.
- Consider referral: If there is concern about the quality of dietary iron intake or if the woman would like information about nutrition for herself and her family, consider referral to an accredited dietitian.
- Document and follow-up: When a woman is tested for anaemia, tell her the results and note them in her antenatal record. Have a system in place so that women with iron-deficiency anaemia during pregnancy are given information about iron supplementation and receive ongoing follow-up, including further investigation if anaemia does not resolve after pregnancy.

30.6 Resources


30.7 References

31 Haemoglobin disorders

While identifying parents who are carriers for haemoglobin disorders before conception is preferable, discussing testing and the implications of carrier status early in pregnancy enables women and their partners to make informed choices.

31.1 Background

Mutation of the genes that contain the information for cells to make haemoglobin can result in low or absent production of normal adult haemoglobin (thalassaemias) or changes in the structure of the haemoglobin protein (haemoglobin variants such as sickle cell disease).

When babies inherit mutated globin genes from both parents, they may be affected by or be a carrier for a haemoglobin disorder. It is very unlikely that the baby will be affected when only one parent is a carrier for a haemoglobin disorder, but the baby may be a carrier.

31.1.1 Prevalence of haemoglobin disorders

• Globally, over 330,000 affected infants are born each year (83% sickle cell disorders and 17% thalassaemias), around 7% of pregnant women are carriers of haemoglobin disorders and over 1% of couples are at risk (Modell & Darlison 2008).

• The risk of being a carrier for a haemoglobin disorder varies with ethnicity (Gaff et al 2007):
  — alpha thalassaemia is most prevalent among people of Chinese and South-East Asian origin but occurs in many other ethnic groups, including people from Southern European countries, the Middle East, the Indian subcontinent, Pakistan, Africa, the Pacific Islands and New Zealand (Maori)
  — beta thalassaemia is prevalent among people from the Middle East, Southern Europe, Indian subcontinent, Central and South-East Asia and Africa
  — sickle cell disease is seen in many populations including people from Africa, the Middle East, Southern Europe, India, Pakistan, South America and the Caribbean.

• In Australia (Gaff et al 2007):
  — alpha thalassaemia has been identified in some Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities in the Northern Territory and northern Western Australia
  — sickle cell disease has been most commonly seen in individuals of Southern European and Middle-Eastern origin (especially Lebanese and Turkish) but is becoming more prevalent with increasing immigration from sub-Saharan Africa and the Indian subcontinent.

31.1.2 Risks associated with haemoglobin disorders

• Thalassaemias vary in severity depending on the number of faulty globin genes (CGE 2007). Symptoms range from mild anaemia to severe anaemia that requires blood transfusions lifelong. A baby with alpha thalassaemia, if born alive, does not usually survive for long after the birth (Bart’s hydrops fetalis).

• Sickle cell anaemia is characterised by chronic anaemia, bone and chest pain, organ damage, failure to thrive, repeated infections and painful swelling of the hands and feet (CGE 2007).

31.2 Testing for haemoglobin disorders

In Australia, the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RANZCOG) recommends that local policies for testing for haemoglobin disorders take into account the ethnic mix of women tested (RANZCOG 2009).

31.2.1 Discussing ethnicity

It is not possible to assume ethnicity from country of birth or surname. More information can be obtained by asking women where their parents, grandparents or great-grandparents were born (Gaff et al 2007). An RCT in the United Kingdom found that a questionnaire listing a range of ethnicities was more effective in ascertaining ancestry than a simple question about ethnic origins outside the United Kingdom (Dyson et al 2006).
31.2.2 Test

The RANZCOG recommends that mean corpuscular volume (MCV) and mean corpuscular haemoglobin (MCH) be tested in all women (RANZCOG 2009). A small study found that MCV had a sensitivity of 92.9% and specificity of 83.9% for thalassaemia testing (Sirichotiyakul et al 2005).

Testing using MCV and MCH will identify some but not all carriers of alpha and beta globin gene changes. It should be noted that some beta globin gene changes (eg sickle cell trait) result in normal red cell indices and detection relies on haemoglobin electrophoresis.

31.2.3 Harms and benefits of testing

Narrative reviews indicate testing of women at increased risk of being carriers for haemoglobin disorders can identify couples who are both carriers and have a 25% risk of having a pregnancy with a significant genetic disorder for which antenatal diagnosis is possible (Langlois et al 2008). No studies identified harms associated with testing. One study found that being well informed about haemoglobin disorders may reduce anxiety in women who are subsequently identified as carriers (Brown et al 2011).

31.2.4 Timing of testing

Narrative reviews suggest that the ideal time for testing for haemoglobin disorders would be preconception (Gaff et al 2007). If this is not possible, testing should take place as early as possible in pregnancy. Studies have found that when testing was offered in primary care (eg as part of the pregnancy confirmation visit), women were tested at an earlier gestation (Thomas et al 2005; Dormandy et al 2010a; Dormandy et al 2010b).

31.2.5 Cost-effectiveness of testing

Studies have found that antenatal testing in populations with a high prevalence of haemoglobin disorders is cost effective (Leung et al 2004; Koren et al 2009). While testing at confirmation of pregnancy may require additional resources, it increases the number of women tested by 10 weeks gestation (Dormandy et al 2010a). Cost-effectiveness studies support testing of fathers after a woman has been identified as a carrier for a haemoglobin disorder rather than on confirmation of pregnancy (Dormandy et al 2010a; Bryan et al 2011).

Consensus-based recommendation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XXXIX.</th>
<th>As early as possible in pregnancy, routinely provide information about haemoglobin disorders and offer testing (full blood count).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Practice point

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VV.</th>
<th>Consider offering ferritin testing and haemoglobin electrophoresis as part of initial testing to women from high-risk population groups.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

31.3 Further investigations

Further testing is recommended for women who (Gaff et al 2007):
- have a MCV ≤ 80 fl and/or MCH ≤ 27 pg
- have a family history of anaemia, thalassaemia or other abnormal haemoglobin variant and/or
- originate from high-risk population groups: Southern Europe, Middle East, Africa, China, South-East Asia, the Indian subcontinent, Pacific Islands, New Zealand (Maori), South America and some Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities in northern Western Australia and the Northern Territory.

Relevant tests include:
- ferritin testing to exclude iron-deficiency anaemia
- electrophoresis or high pressure liquid chromatography, to identify haemoglobin variants (red cell indices can be normal in carriers for some haemoglobin disorders).

Further studies (eg DNA analysis) may be carried out for final clarification of the carrier state.

Diagnosis of an affected baby is generally by chorionic villus sampling, usually in the first trimester (Gaff et al 2007). A small study (n=777) found that ultrasound markers (middle cerebral artery peak systolic velocity
combined with fetal cardiothoracic ratio) had a low false positive rate in diagnosing alpha thalassaemia (Leung et al 2010).

31.4 Discussing haemoglobin disorders

Providing women with sufficient information about haemoglobin disorders enables informed choices about testing (Brown et al 2011). Discussion to inform a woman’s decision-making about testing for haemoglobin disorders should take place before testing and include:

- people can be carriers of haemoglobin disorders without being affected by the condition or may be only mildly affected
- people from some ethnic groups are more likely to be carriers of or affected by haemoglobin disorders
- if only one parent is a carrier, it is unlikely that the baby will be affected but he or she may be a carrier
- if both parents are carriers for a haemoglobin disorder, there is a chance that the baby will be affected by the condition
- there are implications for the health of an affected baby.

31.5 Practice summary: haemoglobin disorders

**When:** At the first antenatal visit

**Who:** Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker

- **Discuss the reasons for testing for haemoglobin disorders:** Explain that when both parents are carriers for a haemoglobin disorder, the baby may be affected (1 in 4 chance) with possible serious consequences.
- **Offer testing to fathers:** If a woman is identified as a carrier of a significant haemoglobin disorder, testing should be offered to the father. Other family members may also benefit from being offered testing.
- **Take a holistic approach:** Arrange counselling for parents when both are identified as carriers of haemoglobin disorders.
- **Document and follow-up:** Ensure that women receive timely notice of the results of any tests carried out. Have a system in place so that women identified as carriers of haemoglobin disorders receive ongoing support.

31.6 Resources


31.7 References


32  Hyperglycaemia

Identifying the risk or presence of hyperglycaemia in pregnancy enables women to receive early testing if risk factors are present and lifestyle advice, education, blood glucose monitoring and appropriate treatment if diabetes is identified.

32.1  Background

Hyperglycaemia (raised blood glucose level) in pregnancy is defined here as pre-existing type 1 diabetes, pre-existing type 2 diabetes (either previously diagnosed or diagnosed during pregnancy) and gestational diabetes (developing during pregnancy). Gestational diabetes can recur in subsequent pregnancies, and women who develop gestational diabetes are at high risk of developing type 2 diabetes in later life.

This section does not address the care of women diagnosed with Type 1 or type 2 diabetes before pregnancy as the Guidelines cover the antenatal care of healthy pregnant women (ie those who do not have identified pre-existing conditions). For women with diagnosed type 1 or type 2 diabetes, preconception counselling is recommended.

32.1.1  Prevalence of hyperglycaemia in pregnancy

The prevalence of hyperglycaemia in pregnancy varies with the characteristics of the population being tested and the diagnostic criteria used. Population-based studies have estimated prevalence ranging from 1% to 50% (Hartling et al 2012). The prevalence of hyperglycaemia in pregnancy has increased over the past decades in parallel with the increase in rates of obesity (BMI > 30 kg/m²) and type 2 diabetes and this trend is expected to continue (Aljohani et al 2008; Hartling et al 2012).

Among women who gave birth in Australia in 2009-11, 0.7% had known pre-existing diabetes (type 1 or type 2 diabetes) and 5.8% developed gestational diabetes (AIHW 2014).

In 2013, rates of known pre-existing diabetes were (AIHW 2016):

- lowest among women aged <20 years (0.4%) and highest among women aged ≥40 years
- lower among nulliparous women (1.0%) than among women of higher parity (ie 1.9% for parity of four)
- higher among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women (4.4%) than among non-Indigenous women (1.1%)(age-standardised)
- higher among women born overseas (1.2%) than among women born in Australia (0.9%).

32.1.2  Risks associated with diabetes in pregnancy

Cohort studies have found an independent relationship between hyperglycaemia during pregnancy and adverse outcomes for mother and baby (Sacks et al 1995; Sermer et al 1998; Schmidt et al 2001; HAPO Study Cooperative Research Group 2008). The most comprehensive of these studies, the Hyperglycaemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) study, showed a continuum of risk across maternal glucose levels for adverse pregnancy outcomes, including pre-eclampsia, caesarean section, birth trauma, high birth weight (>90th centile) and percentage of body fat as well as premature birth (HAPO Study Cooperative Research Group 2008). High birth weight babies are at risk of birth complications (eg shoulder dystocia) (Crowther et al 2005; Falavigna et al 2012), jaundice (Nold & Georgieff 2004) and of long-term effects including childhood overweight (Li et al 1987; Langer et al 1989) and metabolic factors that may increase risk of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease (Garner et al 1997).

In Australia in 2005-07 (AIHW 2010):

- women with pre-existing type 1 or type 2 diabetes were more likely to have preterm birth, induced labour, caesarean birth, hypertension and hospital stay longer than 7 days than women with gestational diabetes or without type 1 or type 2 diabetes identified in pregnancy and their babies had higher rates of stillbirth, high birth weight, low Apgar score and admission to special care nursery/neonatal intensive care unit
- women with gestational diabetes had a higher risk of induced labour and were more likely to have a preterm birth, caesarean section, hypertension and longer hospital stay than women without gestational diabetes, and their babies were more likely to be admitted to a special care nursery/neonatal intensive care unit
• Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander mothers with pre-existing diabetes or gestational diabetes were at the greatest risk of preterm birth, induced labour, caesarean section and hypertension and their babies had higher rates of stillbirth, low Apgar score and admission to neonatal intensive care unit than non-Indigenous babies of mothers with pre-existing diabetes or gestational diabetes.

While hyperglycaemia is the principal concern of diabetes in pregnancy, hypertension and dyslipidaemia associated with diabetes also contribute to the risk of adverse outcomes.

32.2 Assessing risk of diabetes

32.2.1 Identifying women at risk of diabetes during pregnancy

The risk factors for undiagnosed type 2 diabetes are similar to those for gestational diabetes. There is a considerable body of evidence supporting an independent association between increased risk of gestational diabetes and the following factors.


• **Polycystic ovary syndrome:** The glucose metabolism alterations associated with polycystic ovary syndrome lead to an increased risk of gestational diabetes (Boomsma et al 2006; Toulis et al 2009; Hartling et al 2012; Reyes-Munoz et al 2012).

• **Previous obstetric history:** Risk is increased among women with previous gestational diabetes (Gonzalez-Clemente et al 2007; Radesky et al 2008; Getahun et al 2010; Ogonowski & Miazgowski 2010; Waugh et al 2010; Nanda et al 2011; Teede et al 2011; Teh et al 2011; Hartling et al 2012), a previous high birth weight baby (Cypryk et al 2008; Ogonowski & Miazgowski 2010; Waugh et al 2010; Nanda et al 2011; Hartling et al 2012) or previous pregnancy losses, including spontaneous miscarriage and unexplained stillbirth (Hartling et al 2012).


• **Ethnic origin:** Risk of gestational diabetes is increased among women who originate from an ethnic group with a high prevalence of type 2 diabetes (Waugh et al 2010). These include Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples (Porter et al 2012) and people who are of Hispanic, African, Native American, South or East Asian or Pacific Island origin (Scott et al 2002; Nanda et al 2011; Teede et al 2011; Teh et al 2011; Hartling et al 2012; Makgoba et al 2012; Singh et al 2012).

• **Migration:** Being a migrant (including entering another country as a refugee) rather than being native to the country is associated with increased risk (Hederson et al 2010a; Gagnon et al 2011; Schneider et al 2011).

A recent systematic review (NZ MoH 2014) had similar findings and noted that it is likely that interactions between risk factors, rather than any single risk factor, predispose a woman to gestational diabetes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34 In the first trimester, assess a woman’s risk of hyperglycaemia including: her age, body mass index, previous gestational diabetes or high birth weight baby, family history of diabetes, presence of polycystic ovarian syndrome and whether she is from an ethnic group with high prevalence of diabetes, such as Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022

UNDER REVIEW
32.2.2 Lifestyle interventions for preventing gestational diabetes

- **Physical activity**: A Cochrane review (Han et al 2012) concluded that exercise programs had no clear effect on preventing gestational diabetes among healthy pregnant women. An RCT found that a physical activity intervention did not reduce the risk of healthy pregnant women developing gestational diabetes but did reduce maternal weight gain and the risk of caesarean section and having a high birth weight newborn (Barakat et al 2013).

- **Dietary interventions**: A systematic review of RCTs found that a low glycaemic index diet reduced the risk of a high birth weight baby, that any dietary counselling was effective in reducing the incidence of gestational diabetes compared to standard care and that dietary counselling with probiotics was more effective in reducing incidence of gestational diabetes than dietary counselling alone (Oostdam et al 2011). An RCT found that a low glycaemic index diet during pregnancy did not reduce the risk of having a high birth weight baby among women at risk of gestational diabetes but had a beneficial effect on maternal weight gain and glucose intolerance (Waish et al 2012).

- **Combined interventions**: RCTs into the effect of advice on diet and physical activity in preventing gestational diabetes have inconsistent results. In some studies, intervention did not reduce the risk of gestational diabetes among women at high risk but resulted in lower weight gain among women at high risk and healthy pregnant women (Korpi-Hyovalti et al 2011; Phelan et al 2011; Vinter et al 2011; Hui et al 2012). Other studies found that combined interventions reduced the risk of gestational diabetes and weight gain among women who were overweight or obese (Petrelia et al 2013) and the incidence of high birth weight newborns among women at high risk (Luoto et al 2011).

- **Management plans**: An Australian study reported that a four-step management plan (including continuity of carer, weighing at each visit, brief food technologist intervention and clinical psychology management) aiming to reduce maternal weight gain among women who were obese reduced the incidence of gestational diabetes and maternal weight gain (Quinlivan et al 2011).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qualified recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019 UNDER REVIEW

Chapter 11 includes specific advice on nutrition and physical activity. See Chapter 19 for information on weight management.

32.3 Testing for hyperglycaemia

There is no agreement among current guidelines on whether testing for hyperglycaemia should be offered to all women or only to women with risk factors. However, a number of major international guidelines recommend universal testing for gestational diabetes at 24–28 weeks gestation, including the Australasian Diabetes in Pregnancy Society (ADIPS) (Nankervis et al 2013), RANZCOG (RANZCOG 2014), the Endocrine Society (USA) (Blumer et al 2013), the International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG) (Metzger et al 2010), the United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF 2014), the World Health Organization (WHO 2013), the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (Hod et al 2015) and the International Diabetes Federation (IDF 2015).

The decision whether to test all pregnant women or only those with risk factors depends on the background frequency of abnormal glucose metabolism in the population and on local circumstances (Metzger et al 2010). The WHO guidelines leave it to local health authorities to specify the testing coverage according to local burden, resources and priorities (WHO 2013). Whether testing is universal or risk factor based, it is important that organisational protocols are consistently followed and outcomes audited.

A technical report from the United Kingdom concluded that testing for hyperglycaemia in pregnancy is worthwhile due to the costs of managing pregnancies complicated by diabetes (Waugh et al 2010). An Australian study suggested that treating mild gestational diabetes involved additional costs to hospitals and women but resulted in reductions in perinatal mortality and serious perinatal complications (Moss et al 2007).

These Guidelines recommend a two-stage approach to testing, with women at risk of hyperglycaemia tested early in pregnancy and women who are not part of this group tested later at 24–28 weeks gestation.
32.3.1 Early testing for hyperglycaemia

Detection and treatment of undiagnosed type 1 or type 2 diabetes in early pregnancy has the potential to reduce immediate and long-term harm to the baby and have a positive effect on maternal health (Hughes & Moore 2013). For these reasons, it has been recommended that women with risk factors for type 2 diabetes be tested for hyperglycaemia at the first antenatal visit (Simmons & Campbell 2007; ADA 2013).

In New Zealand, it is recommended that all women are offered glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) testing at the first antenatal visit (NZ MoH 2014). Prospective cohort studies in New Zealand since the introduction of the recommendation have found that:

- HbA1c ≥41 mmol/mol (5.9%) had a sensitivity of 100% (95%CI 91.8 to 100) and specificity of 97.4% (95%CI 95.5 to 99.2) for detecting diabetes and that women with HbA1c of 41-46 mmol/mol (5.9-6.4%) (n=200) had poorer pregnancy outcomes than those with HbA1c <41 mmol/mol (n=7,897; very low quality evidence) (Hughes et al 2014).
- earlier treatment (<24 weeks) for women with an HbA1c of 41-49 mmol/mol (5.9-6.6%) was associated with a reduced risk of pre-eclampsia (but not other pregnancy or neonatal outcomes) compared with treatment ≥24 weeks (1.5 vs 8.0%, adjusted P=0.03; very low quality evidence) (Rowan et al 2016).

However, the evidence on HbA1c as a test in early pregnancy is limited and it is not currently included in the Medicare Benefits Schedule as a diagnostic test in pregnancy. Further research is needed to evaluate the benefit of early treatment for hyperglycaemia in pregnancy.

Consensus-based recommendation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Suggested threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c</td>
<td>≥41 mmol/mol (5.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose</td>
<td>6.1 to 6.9 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: (NZ MoH 2014; McIntyre et al 2016).

32.3.2 Testing for gestational diabetes

A lack of an agreed gold standard for diagnosing hyperglycaemia creates challenges for assessing the accuracy of tests, making comparisons between them and establishing clear thresholds (Hartling et al 2012). There is currently no universally accepted testing or diagnostic regimen. A Cochrane review concluded that, although gestational diabetes was more likely to be detected when all women were tested, the effects of subsequent management on health outcomes are unclear (Tieu et al 2014). A large retrospective cohort study concluded that selective testing would miss one third of women with gestational diabetes (Cosson et al 2013). As the condition is prevalent, asymptomatic and benefits from treatment, universal testing is generally recommended. However, at present, the benefits of treating early hyperglycaemia in pregnancy are uncertain.

International consensus guidelines recommend the use of fasting plasma glucose or plasma glucose 1 hour and 2 hours after 75 g glucose loading for testing for gestational diabetes (Metzger et al 2010; WHO 2013; Hod et al 2015; IDF 2015). HbA1c is not recommended as a test for gestational diabetes due to a lack of sensitivity (NZ MoH 2014).

Consensus-based recommendation

| Between 24 and 28 weeks gestation, advise testing for hyperglycaemia to all women who have not previously been tested in the current pregnancy. Advise repeat testing to women who were tested early in pregnancy due to risk factors and who had a normal result on an initial test. |

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019 UNDER REVIEW
32.3.3 Diagnostic thresholds

The optimal diagnostic threshold for diabetes in pregnancy is uncertain and difficult to determine based on the available evidence.

After review of the findings of the HAPO Study, the IADPSG defined diagnostic values on the basis of an odds ratio of 1.75 for adverse neonatal outcomes (see Table F4). These criteria use a one-step approach to testing for gestational diabetes and have been adopted by the WHO (WHO 2013) and the American Diabetes Association (ADA 2013). Recent ADIPS guidelines on diagnosis of gestational diabetes also include these criteria (Nankervis et al 2013). Other documents, including the RACGP/Diabetes Australia Diabetes Management in General Practice (RACGP/Diabetes Australia 2013) and a US National Institutes of Health consensus development conference statement (VanDorsten et al 2013) support the use of a two-step approach to testing and higher thresholds.

### Table F4: WHO/IADPSG criteria for diagnosis of diabetes in pregnancy

**Diabetes in pregnancy: one or more of the following criteria are met**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Diagnostic Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose</td>
<td>≥ 7.0 mmol/l (126 mg/dl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose following 75g oral glucose load</td>
<td>≥ 11.1 mmol/l (200 mg/dl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random plasma glucose</td>
<td>≥ 11.1 mmol/l (200 mg/dl) in the presence of diabetes symptoms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Gestational diabetes: one or more of the following criteria are met at any time during pregnancy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Diagnostic Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose</td>
<td>5.1-6.9 mmol/l (92-125 mg/dl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-hour plasma glucose</td>
<td>≥ 10.0 mmol/l (180 mg/dl) following a 75g oral glucose load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-hour plasma glucose</td>
<td>8.5-11.0 mmol/l (153-199 mg/dl) following a 75g oral glucose load</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: WHO 2013.

### Consensus-based recommendation

- Use the World Health Organization/International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups tests and criteria to diagnose diabetes and gestational diabetes in pregnancy.

This criteria is Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019.

The WHO criteria for diagnosing pre-existing diabetes are based on the risk of developing microvascular complications, predominantly retinopathy. There are no data available to assess diagnostic accuracy of current diabetes diagnostic criteria if used in pregnancy in untreated women (WHO 2013). The WHO grade the quality of the evidence supporting the criteria for diagnosing gestational diabetes as very low (WHO 2013). The criteria are not based on diagnostic accuracy because there is no reference test to define disease status.

A systematic review found evidence to support a positive association between increasing plasma glucose on a 75 g or 100 g oral glucose tolerance test and high birth weight and primary caesarean section but clear thresholds for increased risk were not identified (Hartling et al 2012). Another systematic review found that the risk of these adverse events was similar between the WHO/IADPSG and former WHO criteria (Wendland et al 2012). Cohort studies have found that women classified as having gestational diabetes under the WHO/IADPSG criteria but not under former criteria had a significantly increased risk of caesarean section (Lapolla et al 2011; O’Sullivan et al 2011), hypertensive complications (O’Sullivan et al 2011) and having a high birth weight baby (Morikawa et al 2010; O’Sullivan et al 2011). However, no RCTs have compared the outcomes of management following diagnosis under the two criteria.

While a full cost-effectiveness analysis has not been published, two studies that modelled the cost effectiveness of the WHO/IADPSG criteria concluded that they would only be cost effective if detection of gestational diabetes reduced the rate at which type 2 diabetes subsequently developed (Werner et al 2012) or if the rate of caesarean section was reduced (Mission et al 2012).

It is acknowledged that using the WHO/IADPSG criteria has the potential to increase the diagnosis of gestational diabetes in Australia, with resource implications. However, calculations of the prevalence in populations may increase or decrease with changes to both testing criteria and uptake, as well as changes in population demographics. For example:

- A prospective study in Wollongong comparing the use of the previous ADIPS criteria with the WHO/IADPSG criteria found that prevalence varied between the public and private sectors: 8.6% vs 9.1% (public sector), 10.5% vs 16.2% (private sector) and 9.6% vs 13.0% (overall) (Moses et al 2011)
• an analysis of the HAPO sites in Australia using the WHO/ IADPSG criteria found a prevalence of gestational diabetes of 13.2% in Brisbane and 13.6% in Newcastle (Sacks et al 2012)

• an analysis of oral glucose tolerance test results from women in two Area Health Services in the Sydney area found that using the WHO/IADPSG criteria rather than the previous ADIPS criteria would increase rates of diagnosis and therefore affect the health service workload for management of gestational diabetes (Flack et al 2010)

• in a cohort of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women in Far North Queensland, gestational diabetes prevalence increased threefold over 2 years due to enhanced testing practices, but prevalence would have been lower if the WHO/ IADPSG criteria had been in place at the time (Davis et al 2013).

Increased diagnosis also has implications for women. Gestational diabetes occurs across a continuum with a variety of potential threshold points. The risk of labelling a woman with gestational diabetes needs to be weighed against any potential benefits to the woman and baby, particularly if lifestyle advice is likely to be the first treatment option. There is a need for evidence on the risks and benefits of testing at different thresholds.

32.4 Discussing diabetes in pregnancy (gestational diabetes, type 1 or type 2 diabetes)

Discussion to inform a woman’s decision-making about testing for diabetes should take place before testing and include that:

• undetected and uncontrolled diabetes during pregnancy is associated with risks to the mother (eg high blood pressure, pre-eclampsia) and to the baby in the short term (eg stillbirth, preterm birth, high birth weight, congenital anomalies, birth complications) and the longer term (childhood overweight and development of diabetes)

• a diagnosis of diabetes in pregnancy may lead to increased monitoring and interventions during pregnancy and labour (eg induced labour, caesarean section).

If diabetes is diagnosed during pregnancy, points for discussion include:

• the role of diet, physical activity and body weight in managing diabetes

• the role of insulin or oral hypoglycaemic agents in the management of diabetes (ie if diet and physical activity do not adequately control blood glucose levels)

• the importance of monitoring and controlling blood glucose levels during pregnancy, labour, birth and early feeding of the baby to reduce the likelihood of the baby having low blood glucose levels after the birth and the associated risks

• the possibility of the baby having low blood glucose levels in the period after the birth, which may require admission to a special care nursery/neonatal intensive care unit

• the risk of the baby developing obesity, heart disease and/or diabetes in the future

• the woman’s increased risk of developing type 2 diabetes and the importance of regular assessment for glucose tolerance and maintaining a healthy weight

• the benefits of registering with the National Gestational Diabetes Register (eg annual reminders for glucose tolerance assessment)

• the benefits of breastfeeding in reducing the risk of the woman developing type 2 diabetes in the future

• whether the woman understands the information she has been given.
32.5 Practice summary: diabetes in pregnancy

When: Assess risk of undiagnosed diabetes or prediabetes at the first antenatal visit and offer testing to women with risk factors

At 24–28 weeks offer testing to women not already tested and repeat testing to women with risk factors with a previous normal blood glucose level

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker; accredited practising dietitian, diabetes educator; endocrinologist; accredited exercise physiologist

- Discuss the reasons for testing blood glucose levels: Explain that diabetes in pregnancy can have effects on the pregnancy and the baby and that early identification and taking steps to manage raised blood glucose as soon as possible can reduce the risk of these effects.

- Take a holistic approach: Provide women with practical advice on healthy eating and physical activity (this information is available in the full Guidelines), taking into consideration the availability of foods and ways of being physically active that are appropriate to the woman’s cultural practices and preferences. Consider a health promotion program to improve community understanding of the effects of diabetes in pregnancy and the importance of healthy lifestyle patterns.

- Consider referral: Where possible, women diagnosed with pre-existing diabetes should be referred for specialist assessment (by an endocrinologist or obstetric physician) and education on nutrition, monitoring and management (eg to a multidisciplinary team involving an accredited practising dietitian, diabetes educator, endocrinologist, obstetric physician). Where specialist allied health professionals are not available, other sources of information (eg written information, video or audio resources, telehealth services) may be useful.

- Document and follow-up: When a woman’s blood glucose is tested, tell her the results and note them in her antenatal record. Have a system in place so that women diagnosed with diabetes receive ongoing follow-up, including further testing of blood glucose levels after pregnancy. Postnatal education and support are important in preventing or delaying the onset of diabetes in the future and women should be encouraged to attend postnatal testing.

32.6 Resources


NHMRC (2011) National Evidence-Based Clinical Care Guidelines for Type 1 Diabetes for Children, Adolescents and Adults. Canberra: National Health and Medical Research Council.


National Gestational Diabetes Register

32.7 References


RANZCOG (2014) *Diagnosis of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM) and Diabetes Mellitus in Pregnancy*. Melbourne: Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists.


Human immunodeficiency virus

33.1 Background

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) is a blood-borne infection that is initially asymptomatic but involves gradual compromise of immune function, eventually leading to acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). The time between HIV infection and development of AIDS ranges from a few months to 17 years in untreated patients (PHLS 1998). Undiagnosed HIV infection during pregnancy has serious implications for the health of both the woman and her child. Early HIV diagnosis can reduce the risk of mother-to-child transmission and the rate of disease progression in the mother (NICE 2008).

33.1.1 HIV in Australia

- **Rates of diagnosis of HIV**: The number of notifications of newly diagnosed HIV among women in Australia has remained stable for the past 10 years and was 0.7 per 100,000 women in 2016 (The Kirby Institute 2017a). In 2016, notification rates were more than three times higher among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women than among Australian-born non-Indigenous women (1.1 vs 0.3 per 100,000) (The Kirby Institute 2017b).
- **Geographical distribution**: Recent trends in the population rate of newly diagnosed HIV have differed across jurisdictions. Over the past 10 years (2007–2016) rates per 100,000 fluctuated in Victoria (range 4.4 to 5.3; 2016 rate 5.0), Queensland (range 3.9 to 5.3; 2016 rate 4.1), Western Australia (range 3.0 to 4.3; 2016 rate 3.6), Tasmania (1.0 to 4.2), the Northern Territory (2.6 to 8.1) and the ACT (range 1.8 to 4.2; 2016 rate 3.0) and declined in New South Wales (from 5.8 to 4.2) (The Kirby Institute 2017a).
- **Country of origin**: In overseas born populations, HIV notification rates per 100,000 in 2016 were 17.3 for people born in the Americas (North and South America), 17.1 for people born in South-East Asia, 10.9 for people born in sub-Saharan Africa and 7.3 for people born in North-East Asia and (The Kirby Institute 2017a).
- **Risk factors**: Transmission of HIV in Australia continues to occur primarily through sexual contact between men. In 2015, 20% of new HIV diagnoses were attributed to heterosexual sex and 3% to injecting drug use (The Kirby Institute 2016). Of new diagnoses attributed to heterosexual sex, 36% were in people from high-prevalence countries or with partners from high prevalence countries.
- **Perinatal exposure**: Among 223 women with HIV who gave birth in the 5-year period 2012–2016, the transmission rate to newborns was 2%, compared to 39% in the period 1985–1991 and 28% in 1992–1996 (The Kirby Institute 2017a). In the past 10 years, the transmission rate has dropped from 9% in 2007 to 0% in 2016.

33.1.2 Risks associated with HIV infection in pregnancy

Globally, most children with HIV acquire infection through mother-to-child transmission during pregnancy, during birth or through breastfeeding (Volmink et al 2007). Maternal viral load is a strong independent determinant of transmission risk (Khouri et al 1995; Mofenson 1995; John & Kreiss 1996; Warszawski et al 2008).

33.2 Testing for HIV infection in pregnancy

Universal testing for HIV in pregnancy is recommended in the United Kingdom (de Ruiter et al 2008; NICE 2008; RCOG 2010), the United States (Branson et al 2006) and Canada (SOGC 2006; CPS 2008). These policies are based on the availability of accurate diagnostic tests and effectiveness of antiretroviral treatment in preventing mother-to-child transmission. They also reflect the fact that testing based on risk factors would miss a substantial proportion of women with HIV (Chou et al 2005).

33.2.1 Diagnostic accuracy of tests

Tests for HIV diagnosis in pregnant women include:

- **standard tests**: the enzyme immunoassay (EIA) and Western blot protocol is highly (>99%) sensitive and specific (Samson & King 1998; Buttery et al 2004; Chou et al 2005; Chappel et al 2009)
• rapid HIV tests, which have similar accuracy (Butlers et al 2004; Chou et al 2005) and provide results within hours without requiring a return visit (Tepper et al 2009), with blood-based tests having greater sensitivity than tests using oral fluids (Pai et al 2007).

The sensitivities and specificities of various commercial HIV assays can be found at the Therapeutic Goods Administration website.

33.2.2 Interventions to prevent mother-to-child transmission

Cochrane reviews into the effectiveness of interventions in preventing mother-to-child transmission have found that:

• short courses of certain antiretroviral medicines are effective and are not associated with any safety concerns in the short term (Volmink et al 2007)
• caesarean section before labour and before ruptured membranes is effective among women with HIV not taking antiretrovirals or taking only zidovudine (Read & Newell 2005)
• vitamin A supplementation is not effective in preventing transmission (Wiysonge et al 2011)
• there is no evidence of an effect of vaginal disinfection (Wiysonge et al 2005)
• complete avoidance of breastfeeding is effective in preventing mother-to-child transmission of HIV (Horvath et al 2009)
• if breastfeeding is initiated, the combination of exclusive breastfeeding during the first few months of life and extended antiretroviral prophylaxis to the infant is effective (Horvath et al 2009).

Prospective cohort studies and meta-analyses have not found a significant association between antiretroviral treatments and intrauterine growth restriction (n=8,192) (Briand et al 2009), congenital anomalies (n=8,576) (Townsend et al 2009), or preterm birth (n=20,426) (Kourtis et al 2007). Recommended interventions appear to be acceptable to pregnant women and are associated with mother-to-child transmission rates of 1% to 2% (Chou et al 2005). In Australia between 1982 and 2005, uptake of interventions to reduce mother-to-child transmission of HIV was high (Giles et al 2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Routinely offer and recommend HIV testing at the first antenatal visit as effective interventions are available to reduce the risk of mother-to-child transmission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Practice point**

**WW.** A system of clear referral paths ensures that pregnant women who are diagnosed with an HIV infection are managed and treated by the appropriate specialist teams.

| Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016 |

33.3 Pre-test and post-test discussions

Pre- and post-test discussions are an integral part of HIV testing.

33.3.1 Considerations before testing

Providing information and support associated with testing aims to minimise the personal and social impact of HIV infection. The Australian Department of Health and Ageing HIV testing guidelines recommend that (DoHA 2006):

• antenatal testing only be performed with the informed consent of the woman
• all women contemplating pregnancy or seeking antenatal care be made aware of the benefits of diagnosis of HIV infection and management, and prevention strategies available for both the mother and the baby
• women receive materials (in written and other formats) outlining the tests that will be offered antenatally and explaining the testing procedure
• women with limited literacy or for whom English is a second language receive appropriate educational resources (eg using media such as video, audio, multimedia or in languages other than English)
• women with a first language other than English be offered access to accredited interpreting services. Women most at risk of HIV may decline testing (Boxhall 2004; Piitt 2007) or may not access testing and available interventions (Ferguson et al 2008; Struik 2008). Women who decline testing should be given opportunities to discuss any concerns.

33.3.2 Considerations after testing

• Women who accept testing may experience anxiety while waiting for the initial test result or while waiting for results of repeat testing.
• Unexpected detection of HIV can result in distress, which is exacerbated in the context of pregnancy. Health professionals delivering the test result should use their best judgement when deciding the most appropriate way to deliver the test result (DoHA 2006).

33.4 Testing in rural and remote areas

Rapid tests improve the availability of HIV testing in situations where there is limited access to pathology services and returning for results may be difficult (DoHA 2006). However, the use of these tests should be limited to situations where (DoHA 2006):
• testing is conducted in, or backed up by, a clinical setting
• testing is conducted under the auspice of a National Association of Testing Authorities/Royal College of Pathologists of Australia medical testing accredited laboratory
• reliable Therapeutic Goods Administration approved rapid tests are available
• high quality information on the tests and their use is available and provided
• the health professional performing the test is suitably trained in conducting and interpreting the test and has the skills to provide pre- and post-test information/discussion (if conducted outside an accredited laboratory)
• quality assurance programs are available to ensure ongoing competency of healthcare professionals performing the tests.

33.5 Practice summary: HIV testing

When: Early in antenatal care
Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker

☐ Discuss HIV testing: Explain that it is important to find out whether a woman has HIV because of the risk of transmission to the baby. Testing also gives the woman the opportunity to receive appropriate treatments.

☐ Document and follow-up: Note the results of HIV testing in the woman’s record and have a follow-up system in place so women who have HIV have access to counselling to discuss the test results and available interventions to prevent transmission during pregnancy.

☐ Take a holistic approach: If a woman is found to have HIV, specialist advice on management is required. Other considerations include psychosocial support, contact tracing, partner testing, testing for other sexually transmitted infections and continuing follow-up.

33.6 Resources
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34 Hepatitis B

Testing in pregnancy allows arrangements to be made for vaccinating the newborn if the mother is found to have hepatitis B.

34.1 Background

Hepatitis B virus is a global acute and chronic communicable disease that causes major hepatic disease (Beasley & Hwang 1984). The virus has an incubation period of 6 weeks to 6 months and is excreted in various body fluids including blood, saliva, vaginal fluid and breast milk. These fluids may be highly infectious. Adults who have hepatitis B may have no symptoms. After infection, some people do not clear the virus; they become carriers and may infect other people.

34.1.1 Hepatitis B in Australia

- **Rates of notification of hepatitis B**: The notification rate for hepatitis B has declined by 17% in the past 10 years (from 33.0 to 27.4 per 100,000). Rates have been consistently higher among males than females, and were 29.9 and 24.8 per 100,000 in 2016, respectively (The Kirby Institute 2017a). In 2016, the age-standardised notification rate of newly diagnosed hepatitis B infection among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women was 1.2 times as high as that for non-Indigenous women (25.3 vs 20.5 per 100,000) (The Kirby Institute 2017a).

- **Age**: Among women, the hepatitis B notification rate in 2016 was highest in the 30-39 age group (57.0 per 100,000) followed by the 25-29 age group (47.0 per 100,000) but, in the latter group, declined by 45% (from 85.0 per 100,000 in 2007). The hepatitis B notification rates also decreased in the 0-14, 15-19 and 20-24 age groups by 50%, 38% and 54% respectively. Rates have been stable in the 30-39 age group over the past 10 years (The Kirby Institute 2017a).

- **Geographical distribution**: The notification rate for hepatitis B infection in Australia has consistently been highest in the Northern Territory, but declined by 64% between 2007 and 2016 (from 118.7 to 43.1 per 100,000) (The Kirby Institute 2017a). In most other jurisdictions, the rate of hepatitis B diagnosis has fluctuated over this period, with small declines in New South Wales (19%, 38.3 to 31.1 per 100,000), Victoria (18%, 37.4 to 30.5 per 100,000) and Western Australia (10%, from 28.9 to 26.1 per 100,000).

- **Country of origin**: The prevalence of hepatitis B carriage varies between and within countries (ATAGI 2009). Carrier rates vary from 0.1-0.2% among Caucasians in the United States, northern Europe and Australia, 1-5% in the Mediterranean countries, parts of eastern Europe, China, Africa, Central and South America, and greater than 10% in many sub-Saharan African, south-east Asian and Pacific island populations (Mast et al 2004; Wood et al 2005; Clements et al 2006). First-generation immigrants usually retain the carrier rate of their country of origin, but subsequent generations show a declining carrier rate irrespective of vaccination (Mast et al 2004).

- **Risk factors**: Routes of transmission of hepatitis B virus include sharing injecting equipment (such as occurs in injecting drug use), needle-stick injury and sexual contact (ATAGI 2009). Based on reported cases, hepatitis B transmission in Australia in 2015 continued to occur predominantly among people with a recent history of injecting drug use (The Kirby Institute 2016).

- **Hepatitis B in pregnancy**: A retrospective cohort study (n=14,857) found around 2% of women to HbsAg positive (Guirgis et al 2009). A prevalence study in the Northern Territory found 3.7% of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women and 0.98% of non-Indigenous women to be HbsAg positive (Schultz et al 2008).

34.1.2 Risks associated with hepatitis B in pregnancy

Mother-to-child transmission occurs frequently either in the uterus, through placental leakage, or through exposure to blood or blood-contaminated fluids at or around the time of birth (Lee et al 2006). Perinatal transmission is believed to account for 35-50% of hepatitis B carriers (Yao 1996).

The risk of perinatal transmission is associated with the hepatitis B envelope antigen (HbeAg) status of the mother. If a woman is both hepatitis surface antigen (HbsAg) and HbeAg positive, 70-90% of her children will
develop hepatitis B (Stevens et al 1975; Akhter et al 1992). If the mother is HbsAg positive but HbeAg negative, the risk is reduced (Okada et al 1976; Beasley et al 1977; Beasley et al 1983; Nayak et al 1987; Aggarwal & Ranjan 2004). In a cohort study of HbsAg-positive, hepatitis B DNA-positive women in Sydney (n=313) (Wiseman et al 2009), transmission rates were 3% among hepatitis B DNA-positive women overall, 7% among HbeAg-positive mothers and 9% among women with very high hepatitis B DNA levels.

It has been estimated that people who are chronic carriers of HbsAg are 22 times more likely to die from hepatocellular carcinoma or cirrhosis than noncarriers (95%CI 11.5 to 43.2) (Beasley & Hwang 1984).

### 34.2 Testing for hepatitis B infection in pregnancy

Testing of all pregnant women for hepatitis B is recommended in the United Kingdom (NICE 2008) and the United States (Mast et al 2005; Lin & Vickery 2009; USPSTF 2009). The *Australian Immunisation Handbook*, while making recommendations on vaccination rather than testing, notes that routine antenatal testing for hepatitis B allows appropriate measures to be implemented to prevent newborn infants developing chronic HBV infection (ATAGI 2017).

Testing of all women is supported by the findings of observational studies into selective testing:

- testing using risk factors to identify 'high-risk' women for HbsAg would miss about half of all pregnant women with HbsAg infection (Summers et al 1987)
- of women offered examination for hepatitis B at 18 weeks pregnancy (n=4,098), one third of women at risk of hepatitis B were not identified by selective testing (Jensen et al 2003)
- universal testing resulted in an estimated detection of 50 additional pregnant women carrying hepatitis B each year who would not have been detected through selective testing (Cowan et al 2006).

A recent systematic review (Lin & Vickery 2009) found no new evidence on the benefits or harms of testing for hepatitis B infection in pregnant women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Routinely offer and recommend hepatitis B virus testing at the first antenatal visit as effective postnatal intervention can reduce the risk of mother-to-child transmission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 34.2.1 Testing method

Testing of blood samples is the accepted standard for antenatal detection of hepatitis B virus (NICE 2008) and consists of stages (Balmer et al 2000) testing for HbsAg and confirmatory testing with a new sample upon a positive result.

### 34.2.2 Other considerations

- **Mother-to-child transmission of the hepatitis B virus is approximately 95% preventable by administering vaccine and hepatitis B immunoglobulin to the baby at birth** (Beasley et al 1983; Nair et al 1984; Wong et al 1984; Lo et al 1985; Xu et al 1985; Sehgal et al et al 1992; Zhu 1997; Lee et al 2006).
- While a meta-analysis (n=5,900) found that multiple hepatitis B immunisation injections in women with a high degree of infectiousness in late pregnancy reduced rates of intrauterine transmission (Shi et al 2010), all studies included were carried out in China and the findings may not be applicable in the Australian context.
- For women with high viral loads (> log 7 IU/mL), discussion with a hepatologist or hepatitis B specialist and maternal antiviral treatment in the third trimester are considerations.
34.3 Practice summary: hepatitis B testing

When: Early in antenatal care

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker

☐ Discuss hepatitis B testing: Explain that it is important to find out whether a woman has or is carrying hepatitis B because of the risk to the baby.

☐ Document and follow-up: Note the results of hepatitis B testing in the woman’s record and have a follow-up system in place so that the babies of women who are found to have hepatitis B are vaccinated on the day of birth.

☐ Take a holistic approach: If a woman is found to have or be a carrier of hepatitis B, other considerations include counselling, contact tracing, partner testing, testing for other sexually transmitted infections and continuing follow-up. Consider testing other children, depending on circumstances.

34.4 Resources


34.5 References
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35  Hepatitis C

While there is currently no way of preventing mother-to-baby transmission of hepatitis C, identifying women who have hepatitis C during pregnancy means that interventions that increase the risk of transmission to the baby can be avoided and effective treatments commenced after the birth or cessation of breastfeeding.

35.1  Background

Hepatitis C is a blood-borne virus that is one of the major causes of liver cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma and liver failure. Perinatal transmission is the main source of hepatitis C in Australian children. Babies with hepatitis C are mostly born to mothers who used intravenous drugs, had invasive procedures overseas or have tattoos (Ridley et al 2010).

35.1.1  Hepatitis C in Australia

- Rates of notification of hepatitis C: Overall there was a 15% decline in the hepatitis C notification rate in Australia between 2007 and 2016 (from 58.8 to 49.9 per 100,000) (The Kirby Institute 2017a). However, since 2012, the rate has increased by 12% (from 44.5 to 49.9 per 100,000), with most of this increase occurring in 2015 and 2016.24 In contrast, the age-standardised notification rate of newly diagnosed hepatitis C infection in the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander population increased by 25% between 2012 and 2016 (from 138.1 to 172.7 per 100,000) (The Kirby Institute 2017b).

- Age: Among women, rates of notification remained stable between 2012 and 2016 in the 40 years and over age group (from 36.9 to 35.6 per 100,000) and declined in the 25-39 age group (from 88.5 to 56.5 per 100,000) and the 15-24 age group in 2016 (45.5 to 25.2 per 100,000) (The Kirby Institute 2017a).

- Geographical distribution: The notification rate of newly diagnosed hepatitis C in 2016 was highest in the Northern Territory (76.0 per 100,000), followed by Queensland (58.7 per 100,000), New South Wales (55.0 per 100,000), and Tasmania (54.4 per 100,000). Hepatitis C notification rates either remained stable or increased slightly between 2012 and 2016. In New South Wales, rates increased by 17% between 2015 and 2016 (from 47.1 to 55.0 per 100,000). Rates of notification in 2016 were higher in inner and outer regional areas (65.3 per 100 000) than in remote and very remote areas (46.8 per 100 000) and major cities (41.2 per 100 000) (The Kirby Institute 2017a).

Observational studies conducted in Australia also identified people who inject drugs (Liu et al 2009; Iversen et al 2010; Islam et al 2013; Graham et al 2016) and people in prison (van der Poorten et al 2008; Miller et al 2009; Teutsch et al 2010; Reekie et al 2014; Graham et al 2016) as at higher risk of testing positive for hepatitis C antibodies or infection.

35.1.2  Risks associated with hepatitis C in pregnancy

The clearest and most serious risk associated with maternal hepatitis C in pregnancy is transmission of the infection to the baby. There are several factors that influence the risk of mother-to-infant transmission:

- risk of transmission is estimated to be 5.8% (95%CI 4.2 to 7.8%) among antibody-positive and RNA-positive women (Benova et al 2014)

- the highest reported transmission rates occur in infants born to mothers who are both hepatitis C and HIV positive, with rates as high as 36% (Panda et al 2010; Benova et al 2014)

- risk of transmission is increased with a higher maternal viral load of hepatitis C (Panda et al 2010; Valladares et al 2010; Garcia-Tejedor et al 2015)

- risk is increased with intrapartum invasive procedures (fetal scalp blood sampling or internal electronic fetal heart rate monitoring via scalp electrode) (OR 10.1; 95% CI 2.6 to 39.02) and episiotomy (OR 4.2; 95%CI 1.2 to 14.16) (Panda et al 2010; Gagnon et al 2014; Rac & Sheffield 2014; Garcia-Tejedor et al 2015)

24 The recent increase in notification rates may reflect increased testing in response to availability of new direct-acting antiviral treatments.
transmission does not appear to be influenced by mode of birth (Panda et al 2010; Ghamar Chehreh et al 2011; Cottrell et al 2013; Rac & Sheffield 2014) or gestational age at birth (Panda et al 2010)

• prolonged rupture of membranes may increase the risk of transmission (Panda et al 2010; Cottrell et al 2013), however this could be related to maternal viral load and length of membrane rupture (Rac & Sheffield 2014)

• amniocentesis in women infected with hepatitis C does not appear to significantly increase the risk of vertical transmission but very few studies have properly addressed this possibility (Panda et al 2010; Gagnon et al 2014; Rac & Sheffield 2014)

• there is no evidence that breastfeeding is associated with an increased risk of hepatitis C transmission to the newborn (Panda et al 2010; Valladares et al 2010; Cottrell et al 2013; ASHM 2015), unless the nipples are cracked and/or bleeding (Rac & Sheffield 2014; ASHM 2015).

35.2 Testing for hepatitis C infection in pregnancy

Internationally, routine testing of pregnant women for hepatitis C has not been recommended (CPS 2008; ACOG reaffirmed 2016; NICE updated 2016). In Australia, RANZCOG suggests that all pregnant women be tested for hepatitis C (RANZCOG 2016).

35.2.1 Targeted versus universal testing

Studies were largely consistent in finding that hepatitis C seropositivity was associated with the following risk factors:


• receipt of blood transfusion or organ transplant (Diab-Elschahawi et al 2013; Wilson & Beckmann 2015)

• history of tattooing or body piercing (Diab-Elschahawi et al 2013; Lambert et al 2013)

• use of intranasal cocaine (Diab-Elschahawi et al 2013; Lambert et al 2013)

• incarceration (Diab-Elschahawi et al 2013)

• origin from a country of high prevalence (Diab-Elschahawi et al 2013; Lambert et al 2013); these include Africa and central and east Asia (WHO 2016).

Additional findings were:

• only high severity risk factors (exposure to intravenous drug use or to the blood of a hepatitis C-positive individual) were significantly associated with testing positive for hepatitis C antibodies ($P=0.002$) (McDermott et al 2010)

• age, history of prior pregnancy and healthcare employment were additional considerations (El-Kamary et al 2015).

However, studies have estimated that, compared to universal testing, targeted testing would fail to identify 2.5 to 27% of seropositive women (Diab-Elschahawi et al 2013; Lambert et al 2013; El-Kamary et al 2015; Wilson & Beckmann 2015).

35.2.2 Clinical utility of testing

The clinical utility of testing for hepatitis C in pregnancy is limited by the lack of effective treatment options to avoid mother-to-child transmission during pregnancy or childbirth (Dunkelberg et al 2014; Rac & Sheffield 2014; Poliquin et al 2015; Aebi-Popp et al 2016).

However, new treatment options (direct-acting antiviral agents) for people living with hepatitis C have become available and were recently listed on the Australian Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS). While these treatments have not been proven to be safe in pregnancy or during breastfeeding (Rac & Sheffield 2014; Aebi-Popp et al 2016), women who are diagnosed with hepatitis C during pregnancy could commence such curative treatment after completion of breastfeeding (or immediately after the birth if the infant is not breastfed), thus reducing their risk of significant liver disease and the risk of perinatal infection for subsequent pregnancies.
In addition, knowledge of a woman’s hepatitis C status means interventions that may increase the risk of mother-to-baby transmission (fetal scalp blood sampling, internal electronic fetal heart rate monitoring via scalp electrode, episiotomy) can be avoided.

35.2.3 Costs of testing
No cost-effectiveness studies relevant to the Australian context were identified. A study in the Netherlands found a modest cost-effective outcome for testing first-generation non-Western women (Coretti et al 2015) and a study conducted in the United States (Hahne et al 2013) found that universal testing was not cost-effective with or without elective caesarean section. However, a study in the United Kingdom found that antenatal testing and postnatal treatment was feasible and effective at an acceptable cost (Selvapatt et al 2015).

Consensus-based recommendation

XLI. At the first antenatal visit, recommend testing for hepatitis C.

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022

35.2.4 Planned invasive procedures
Testing of women who are to have a planned invasive procedure has been recommended, due to the risk of hepatitis C transmission to the baby.

Practice point

XX. For women who have not previously been tested and who are having a planned invasive procedure (eg chorionic villus sampling), recommend testing for hepatitis C before the procedure.

Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022

35.2.5 Testing process
If an initial test for hepatitis C antibodies is positive, a confirmatory hepatitis C ribonucleic acid (RNA) test will allow assessment of the potential implications and associated risks for the woman and her baby (ASHM 2015).

35.2.6 Other considerations
For a woman with a diagnosis of hepatitis C during pregnancy, referral to an infectious diseases specialist or hepatologist, as well as to hepatitis support groups for information and advice, should be made during the pregnancy (ASHM 2015). This will facilitate provision of accurate information, counselling and linkages for follow-up and treatment if desired after the birth.

35.3 Practice summary: hepatitis C testing

When: In the antenatal period

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker

- Discuss hepatitis C testing: Explain that if hepatitis C is identified during pregnancy, interventions that increase the risk of transmission can be avoided and that effective treatment can be started after pregnancy/breastfeeding.

- Document and follow-up: If hepatitis C testing is undertaken, note the results in the woman’s record and advise the woman of her result. Have a system in place so that women who test positive receive education about further transmission (eg to family members) and ongoing support and their babies are followed up after birth.

- Take a holistic approach: If a woman is found to have hepatitis C, specialist advice on management may be required depending on the severity of disease and the health professional’s expertise. Other considerations include counselling and follow-up.

35.4 Resources

35.4.1 Websites

Hepatitis NSW
Hepatitis SA
Hepatitis Victoria
Hepatitis Queensland
Hepatitis WA
Northern Territory AIDS and Hepatitis C Council
Hepatitis ACT
Tasmanian Council on AIDS, Hepatitis C and Related Diseases
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Testing for syphilis in pregnancy aims to detect women who have the infection so that they can be treated and transmission to their babies prevented.

36.1 Background

Syphilis is a sexually acquired infection caused by *Treponema pallidum* subs. *pallidum*. In pregnancy, it can result in spontaneous miscarriage or stillbirth or cause congenital syphilis infection. Syphilis in pregnancy can be safely treated with antibiotics, which can prevent these complications.

36.1.1 Syphilis in Australia

Rates of diagnosis of syphilis

Between 2013 and 2017, the notification rate of infectious syphilis increased 135% from 7.8 per 100,000 in 2013 to 18.3 per 100,000 in 2017, with an increase in both men (119%) and women (309%) (Kirby Institute 2018a). Rates among women in 2017 were highest in the 15–19 year (15.9 per 100,000), 20–24 year (15.1 per 100,000) and 25–29 year (13.7 per 100,000) age groups. The rate of notification for infectious syphilis among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women was 40 times greater than among non-Indigenous women (97.4 vs 2.3 per 100,000) (Kirby Institute 2018b).

Geographical distribution

In 2017, infectious syphilis notification rates were higher in remote and very remote areas (62.9 per 100 000) than in major cities (17.8 per 100,000) (Kirby Institute 2018a). Increases in notification rates occurred in all regions of residence between 2013 and 2017, with the greatest increase in remote areas (284% increase) and regional areas (271%), followed by major cities (127%). Rates of notification among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people were highest in the Northern Territory (351.1 per 100,000) and Queensland (151.0 per 100,000) (Kirby Institute 2018b), corresponding with regions in which there has been an outbreak of infectious syphilis (see below).

Congenital syphilis

Australia is a country of low prevalence for congenital syphilis. However, coinciding with peaks in infectious syphilis notifications, there have been peaks in cases of congenital syphilis (see below). Over the last 10 years (2008–2017), more than half (59%) of the 44 congenital syphilis notifications were in the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander population (Kirby Institute 2018b).

Risk factors

Syphilis in Australia largely continues to be primarily among men having male-to-male sex in urban settings and of young heterosexual Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people in remote communities (The Kirby Institute 2016). However, syphilis is increasing in some geographic areas and among some population groups (Kirby Institute 2018b). A pregnant woman is at high risk of syphilis infection or reinfection when she:

- (or her partner[s]) resides in a declared outbreak area (see Syphilis outbreak below) or an area of known high prevalence
- is aged 15 to 29 years and resides in an area of high prevalence
- has a sexually transmitted infection in the current pregnancy or within the previous 12 months
- has previously had infectious syphilis in pregnancy
- engages in intravenous substance use during pregnancy.

---

An expanded infectious syphilis national case definition was implemented in July 2015 in all jurisdictions except for New South Wales, where it was implemented in July 2016. The new case definition includes a subcategory of ‘probable’ infectious syphilis to capture infectious syphilis cases in people without a prior testing history, particularly young people aged 15–19 years. The probable infectious syphilis cases are included in the number of infectious syphilis notifications in 2015, 2016 and 2017.
Factors that increase the risk of syphilis infection or reinfection about which women may not be aware include when:

- she is a sexual contact of a person with infectious syphilis
- she has unprotected vaginal, oral or anal sex with a male partner at high risk of having syphilis
- she has a male sexual partner who has sex with men
- she and/or her partner(s) have sexual partners from high prevalence countries (eg countries in Africa and Asia, especially among refugees from these countries).

An existing syphilis infection may be undetected among women who have had no or limited antenatal care.

**Syphilis outbreak in Australia**

In January 2011, an increase of infectious syphilis notifications among young Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people was identified in the North-West region of Queensland, which subsequently spread to other regions in north Queensland (Bright & Dups 2016). Subsequent increases in notifications were reported in the Northern Territory and Western Australia in July 2013 and June 2014 respectively, following sustained periods of low notification rates. In March 2017, South Australia declared an outbreak in the Western and Eyre and Far North regions from November 2016 (MJSO 2018). By 30 June 2018, there had been seven confirmed cases of congenital syphilis, six probable cases and six deaths from congenital syphilis (three confirmed and three probable) associated with the outbreak (MJSO 2018). Surveillance reports on the outbreak are published online regularly by the Multi-jurisdictional Syphilis Outbreak Working Group. Outbreak management is discussed briefly in Section 36.5 and detailed in the Communicable Disease Network Australia (CDNA) **Syphilis CDNA National Guidelines for Public Health Units Version 1.1** (see Section 36.7).

**Notification**

Syphilis is a notifiable disease under the public health acts of all states and territories, and nationally. Cases of reactive serology are reported by pathology laboratories to public health authorities (CDNA 2018). In some jurisdictions, the health professional who diagnoses syphilis is also required to notify the jurisdictional public health authority. Probable or confirmed congenital syphilis must also be notified, including syphilis-related stillbirth (CDNA 2018).

### 36.1.2 Risks associated with syphilis in pregnancy

Untreated syphilis during pregnancy is associated with stillbirth (Arnesen et al 2015; Gomez et al 2013; Qin et al 2014) and fetal loss, preterm birth, neonatal death, low birthweight and congenital syphilis (Gomez et al 2013; Qin et al 2014). Early treatment of maternal syphilis improves outcomes for the baby (see Section 36.4).

A baby with congenital syphilis may be severely affected at birth (with hepatomegaly, ascites, hydrops, fetal anaemia) or more frequently, may appear unaffected (CDNA 2015). If the diagnosis is not made then, the baby will present later with non-specific complaints (rhinitis, failure to thrive, pneumonia), nearly always within 3 months of birth. Neonates with severe disease have a poorer prognosis.

### 36.2 Syphilis testing

There are two main classifications of serological tests for syphilis (*T. pallidum*) performed in medical testing laboratories (CDNA 2018):

- treponemal tests, which detect specific treponemal antibodies and can be run on high throughput random access instruments — commonly used assays include EIAs and particle agglutination assays (eg *T. pallidum* particle agglutination [TPPA])
- non-treponemal tests, which detect non-specific antibodies and are performed manually — the assay most commonly used is the rapid plasma reagin (RPR).

In Australia, serum from blood specimens is usually screened with a treponemal assay and confirmed with an alternative treponemal assay using a different platform (ie screening with an EIA and confirmation with a TPPA). In people with prior treated syphilis, because the treponemal assays remain reactive for life, an RPR alone is sometimes used to detect reinfection or treatment success (ASHA 2018).

Due to intralaboratory and interlaboratory variation, when a person has a changing non-treponemal antibody result, the current specimen should be tested in parallel with previous specimens.

Point-of-care tests are now available that present results within 15–20 minutes (see Section 36.2.3).
36.2.1 Universal testing

Given the severity of outcomes associated with syphilis during pregnancy (see Section 36.1.2) and the availability of effective treatment (see Section 36.4), routine testing for syphilis at the first antenatal contact is recommended.

**Evidence-based recommendation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>38</th>
<th>Routinely recommend syphilis testing at the first antenatal contact.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in April 2019; expires April 2024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

36.2.2 Repeat testing in women at high risk of infection or reinfection

Studies in the United States found that universal testing in the third trimester is not cost-effective when prevalence is low (Albright et al 2015; Shiber & Todia 2014). However, testing and treatment early in the third trimester prevented 78% of cases of congenital syphilis in an area of very high prevalence (Matthias et al 2017).

Testing at additional time points is recommended in areas affected by an ongoing syphilis outbreak (see Section 36.5).

**Consensus-based recommendation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XLIV</th>
<th>Recommend repeat testing early in the third trimester (28-32 weeks) and at the time of birth for women at high risk of infection or reinfection.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in April 2019; expires April 2024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This recommendation provides the minimum time points for testing women at high risk of infection or reinfection. The testing schedule may be expanded based on local needs.

Monitoring changes in risk is also important for women at high risk of infection or reinfection.

36.2.3 Point-of-care testing

In Australia, the only syphilis point-of-care test registered by the Therapeutic Goods Administration is the Determine Syphilis TP™ manufactured by Alere, Abbott (CDNA 2018).

Point-of-care tests for syphilis have sensitivity and specificity in the ranges of 0.70 to 0.92 and 0.93 to 0.99 for Determine™ (Rogozinska et al 2017), 0.60 to 0.83 and 0.96 to 1.00 for SD Bioline Syphilis 3.0 (Smit et al 2013; Rogozinska et al 2017), and 0.95 to 1.00 and 0.97 to 1.00 for SD Bioline HIV/Syphilis Duo Kit (Omoding et al 2014; Bristow et al 2016; Shakya et al 2016) (moderate to high certainty).

A systematic review (Swartzendruber et al 2015) reported substantial increases in antenatal syphilis testing following introduction of point-of-care syphilis testing in low and middle income countries. Qualitative data revealed that women were highly satisfied with point-of-care syphilis testing. Adequate training for health care workers and supplies of commodities were cited as key implementation barriers. Another study noted the requirement for health professional training (Smith et al 2015).

Studies into the cost-effectiveness of point-of-care testing conducted in developing countries highlighted that point-of-care tests were more cost-effective than RPR both in the field (Sweeney et al 2014) and in the laboratory (Mallma et al 2016). No cost-effective studies conducted in Australia were identified.

More detailed information on the use and limitations of point-of-care tests in Australia, including the need for serological confirmation, their inability to distinguish between current and previous infection and the need for training of health professionals conducting point-of-care testing, is given in Syphilis CDNA National Guidelines for Public Health Units Version 1.1 (see Section 36.7).

36.3 Caring for women with a positive syphilis test result

All cases of syphilis in pregnancy should be discussed with a health professional with expertise in the area (CDNA 2018).

Women with infectious syphilis need to be informed of the infectious nature of the condition, even in the absence of visible lesions or symptoms, and to abstain from sexual activity for 5 days post-treatment or until symptoms have resolved, 5 days post treatment of their partners or until symptoms have completely resolved (whichever is longer). The risk of reinfection should be reinforced and the possible signs and symptoms of a new syphilis infection discussed. The importance of follow-up and repeat syphilis serology testing to monitor the response to treatment and detect reinfection should be emphasised and women assisted to access this...
follow-up (eg through recall systems). The woman should be informed that she is likely to continue to have positive treponemal specific tests for life, even after successful treatment.

Contact tracing and treatment for the woman’s partner(s) are critical to minimise the potential for re-infection as this represents a particular threat to the unborn baby (CDNA 2018). Where possible, the interview should be conducted by someone known to and trusted by the woman and her partner (CDNA 2018). Contacts should be treated presumptively without waiting for serology results.

**Consensus-based recommendations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XLV.</td>
<td>Seek advice from an expert in sexual health or infectious diseases regarding the care of women who test positive and their partners.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLVI.</td>
<td>Ensure contact tracing (including offering testing and treatment to identified contacts) is carried out. Involve an expert in contact tracing if required or seek advice from a sexual health clinic or other relevant expert.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in April 2019; expires April 2024

### 36.4 Treatment for women with confirmed syphilis

While these Guidelines do not generally make recommendations on treatment, a recommendation on treatment for newly confirmed infectious syphilis is included here due to the current outbreak, deaths from congenital syphilis and rising prevalence nationally. This recommendation is consistent with advice from the CDNA.

Systematic reviews found that:

- treatment of syphilis in pregnancy with at least 1.8 g (2.4 MU) benzathine penicillin intramuscularly as a single dose reduces the incidence of congenital syphilis by 97% (95%CI 93 to 98%; 3 studies; moderate certainty), stillbirth by 82% (95%CI 67 to 90%; 8 studies; low certainty), preterm birth by 64% (95%CI 53 to 73%; 7 studies; low certainty) and neonatal deaths by 80% (95%CI 68 to 87%; 5 studies; low certainty) (Blencowe et al 2011)
- rates of adverse outcomes were higher among women receiving treatment in the third trimester compared to those treated in the first or second trimester (OR 2.24; 95%CI 1.28 to 3.93), although there was considerable heterogeneity (I²=78.3 to 81.7%) for outcomes other than congenital syphilis (odds ratio [OR] 2.92, 95%CI 0.66 to 12.87; I²=48.2%, p=0.165) (low certainty) (Hawkes et al 2013)
- based on the risk of adverse reactions to benzathine penicillin in the general population (pooled absolute risk 0.169%; 95%CI 0.073 to 0.265%; I² = 97%), risks from treatment among pregnant women are likely to be low (very low certainty) (Galvao et al 2013).

Observational studies in settings of very high prevalence were consistent in finding treatment in the first trimester to be more effective than treatment in the third trimester (Hong et al 2017; Zhang et al 2016).

**Evidence-based recommendation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence-based recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39 For women with newly confirmed infectious syphilis, recommend an intramuscular dose of 1.8 g (given as two 900 mg injections) benzathine penicillin as soon as possible, ensuring that women receive treatment at least 30 days before the estimated date of birth to ensure adequate treatment before the birth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in April 2019; expires April 2024

As treatment for syphilis varies depending on its duration, it is advisable to seek expert advice and arrange to see the woman again in one week as further treatment may be required.

Due to the high risk of mother-to-child transmission during pregnancy, particular care is required to conduct follow-up serology to monitor the response to treatment. Further details on monitoring and management of syphilis in pregnancy and postnatally, including treatment for women who are allergic to penicillin, and for congenital syphilis are given in the Syphilis CDNA National Guidelines for Public Health Units Version 1.1 (see Section 36.7).

### 36.5 Outbreak management

This section is based on guidance from the CDNA (CDNA 2018). Local guidance about testing schedules and treatment should be sought in areas affected by an outbreak.

In the jurisdictions affected by an ongoing syphilis outbreak, syphilis serology testing five times around the pregnancy is recommended for women at risk of infection or re-infection within the outbreak areas: at the booking visit, again at 28 weeks, at 36 weeks, at birth, and 6 weeks post-partum (CDNA 2018). As local
guidelines within those areas may recommend different or additional times for testing, it is advisable to seek direction from local authorities (CDNA 2018). In areas where point-of-care tests are used, serology confirmation of current infection or stages should be performed (CDNA 2018).

Women should be reassessed at every antenatal visit for symptoms of syphilis, and/or change in risk factors, and additional testing outside of the routine screening intervals should be considered based on clinical indication. Women at risk of inadequate antenatal care should be screened opportunistically, outside of the set interval where appropriate, whenever they present for care.

Women who present with symptoms consistent with infectious syphilis should be treated at the time of first presentation (CDNA 2018). Women with infectious syphilis diagnosed on serology should be treated as soon as possible (and ideally within 2 days) of diagnosis (CDNA 2018). Contact tracing must be initiated immediately and support provided to ensure that current and previous contacts receive treatment. Women who are named as contacts of syphilis should also be treated at the time of initial presentation without waiting for serology results. Notification of confirmed or probable infectious syphilis in a pregnant woman is an urgent public health priority.

**Consensus-based recommendation**

| XLVII. | In areas affected by an ongoing syphilis outbreak, recommend testing at the first antenatal visit, at 28 and 36 weeks, at the time of birth and 6 weeks after the birth. |
| XLVIII. | In areas affected by an outbreak, treat women as soon as possible without waiting for confirmatory testing, particularly if there is a risk of loss to follow-up. |

Approved by NHMRC in April 2019; expires April 2024

### 36.5.1 Notification of congenital syphilis

One study found that 95% of babies in the Northern Territory meeting CDNA criteria for probable congenital syphilis were not notified between 2009 and 2014 and that improved education regarding CDNA criteria for notification of congenital syphilis is necessary for clinicians and public health staff. This study contributed to the release of new national case definitions for congenital syphilis in July 2015.

### 36.6 Practice summary: syphilis testing

**When:** Early in antenatal care or at five key times in areas experiencing an outbreak (see Section 36.5)

**Who:** Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker, infectious diseases specialist, public health unit staff

- **Discuss the reasons for syphilis testing:** Explain that it is important to find out whether a woman has syphilis because of the effects that infection can have on the pregnancy and the baby.

- **Monitor changes in risk:** Discuss potential symptoms and changes in risk factors at all antenatal visits, particularly in areas experiencing an outbreak.

- **Document and follow-up:** Note the results of syphilis testing in the woman’s record, including whether the syphilis is newly diagnosed or was previously treated. Have a follow-up system in place so that women with confirmed syphilis receive timely treatment or referral. Any positive tests should be notified to the relevant public health authority.

- **Take a holistic approach:** If a woman is found to be infected with syphilis, important considerations include counselling, contact tracing, partner testing, testing for other sexually transmitted infections (if this has not already been done) and follow-up.

### 36.7 Resources


36.8 References


Kirby Institute (2018a) HIV, viral hepatitis and sexually transmissible infections in Australia Annual surveillance report 2018. Sydney: Kirby Institute, UNSW.

Kirby Institute (2018b) Bloodborne viral and sexually transmissible infections in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people: annual surveillance report 2018. Sydney: Kirby Institute, UNSW.


37 Rubella

Rubella testing in pregnancy does not attempt to identify current affected pregnancies. Instead, it aims to identify women who are non-immune, so that they can be vaccinated after the birth and future pregnancies are protected against rubella infection and its consequences.

37.1 Background

Rubella (German measles) is usually a mild self-limiting disease with few complications. However, if contracted during the first trimester, it can affect the pregnancy and lead to congenital rubella syndrome at birth. Preventing congenital infection relies on maintaining high levels of immunity to rubella in the general population. There is no treatment to prevent or reduce mother-to-child transmission of rubella once infection has been detected in pregnancy. Rubella vaccination is contraindicated in pregnancy.

37.1.1 Rubella infection and immunity in Australia

- **Diagnoses of rubella:** In 2014, there were 17 diagnoses of rubella (0.1 per 100,000 population) (NNDSS 2016). Most notified cases were women (65%), with 64% being of childbearing age (15-44 years).
- **Geographical distribution:** Rates of diagnosis of rubella were low and fairly consistent across jurisdictions in 2014, ranging from no reported diagnoses in the Australian Capital Territory, Northern Territory and Tasmania to 0.1 per 100,000 population in Queensland, Victoria, New South Wales, South Australia and Western Australia (NNDSS 2016).
- **Congenital rubella syndrome:** This is rare in Australia and in recent years has mainly occurred among infants of women who were born overseas (NNDSS 2016).
- **Risk factors:** In Australia, populations at risk of non-immunity to rubella have been identified as including:
  - women born overseas who may not have received rubella vaccines in their countries of birth (Francis et al 2003; Sathanandan et al 2005) and are twice as likely to be non-immune than Australian-born women, with a higher likelihood of non-immunity among women born in Asia (Sathanandan et al 2005)
  - Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women from rural and remote communities, with fewer than 75% of women tested antenatally having adequate levels of immunity (compared with more than 90% of women living in an urban area) (Hunt & Lumley 2004)
  - women 35 years of age or older, who were found to be twice as likely to be non-immune as younger women, possibly due to declining immunity over time (Sathanandan et al 2005).

37.1.2 Risks associated with rubella infection in pregnancy

Maternal rubella infection can result in spontaneous miscarriage, fetal infection, stillbirth, or fetal growth restriction (Reef et al 2000). Congenital infection is most likely if the maternal infection occurs in the first 16 weeks of pregnancy, with congenital rubella syndrome occurring in all fetuses infected before the 11th week and in 35% of those infected at 13-16 weeks (Miller et al 1982). If infection occurs after 16 weeks of pregnancy, the risk of fetal damage is negligible.

Features of congenital rubella syndrome include cardiac defects, deafness, ocular defects, thrombocytopenic purpura, haemolytic anaemia, enlarged liver and spleen, and inflammation of the meninges and brain (Sanchez et al 2010). Pneumonitis, diabetes, thyroid dysfunction and progressive panencephalitis are other late expressions of the syndrome (Weil et al 1975; Cooper et al 1995).

37.2 Testing for rubella non-immunity

The NICE guidelines reviewed the evidence on rubella testing in pregnancy and found:

- high sensitivity and specificity of tests for immunity (Grangeot-Keros & Enders 1997)
- high rates of congenital infection among babies born to women with symptoms of rubella in the first 12-16 weeks of pregnancy (Miller et al 1982; Grillner et al 1983)
- no association between congenital infections and inadvertent rubella vaccination in pregnancy (CDC 2001).
The lack of association between inadvertent vaccination in pregnancy and congenital rubella syndrome has been substantiated in subsequent prospective cohort studies (Bar-Oz et al 2004; Hamkar et al 2006; Badilla et al 2007), with no cases reported.

### Recommendation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40 Routinely offer and recommend testing for rubella immunity at the first antenatal visit to identify women at risk of contracting rubella and enable postnatal vaccination to protect future pregnancies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41 Inform women who have been vaccinated against rubella before they were aware of the pregnancy that the baby is highly unlikely to have been affected by the vaccine.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

### Practice point

YY. Women identified as non-immune to rubella antenatally should be advised to avoid contact with people experiencing possible symptoms of rubella.

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

### 37.3 Practice summary: rubella testing

**When:** Early in antenatal care

**Who:** Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker

- **Discuss rubella non-immunity:** Explain that it is important to find out whether a woman is immune to rubella because of the effects that infection can have on the pregnancy and the baby.

- **Document and follow-up:** Note the results of rubella testing in the woman’s record. Have a follow-up system in place so that non-immune women are offered vaccination after the birth. Some women may not develop immunity even after repeated vaccination.

- **Take a holistic approach:** If a woman is found to be non-immune to rubella, offer advice on symptoms and transmission of rubella so that she can avoid contact as far as possible. Advise vaccination of family members who may also be non-immune.

- **Report inadvertent vaccination:** Report inadvertent vaccination with MMR (or MMRV) to the jurisdictional immunisation unit to enable follow-up and collection of data on adverse events following immunisation with this vaccine during pregnancy.

### 37.4 Resources


### 37.5 References


38 Asymptomatic bacteriuria

Testing for asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy allows treatment to be offered to reduce the risk of progression to pyelonephritis.

38.1 Background

Asymptomatic bacteriuria is the persistent bacterial colonisation of the urinary tract (usually by Escherichia coli) without symptoms. It is common in pregnancy.

38.1.1 Asymptomatic bacteriuria in Australia

- **Incidence**: Incidence of asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy has been reported to be 2–10% in the United States (Andrews & Gilstrap 1992; Sweet 1977) and 2.5% in the United Kingdom (Little 1966; Campbell-Brown et al 1987; Foley et al 1987). In Australia, available estimates suggest that asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy may be more common among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women (Hunt 2004; Bookallil et al 2005; Panaretto et al 2006).

- **Risk factors**: The prevalence of infection is most closely related to socioeconomic status and is similar in pregnant and non-pregnant women (Turck et al 1962; Whalley 1967). Other factors associated with an increased risk of bacteriuria include a history of recurrent urinary tract infections, diabetes and anatomical abnormalities of the urinary tract (Golan et al 1989).

38.1.2 Risks associated with asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy

While asymptomatic bacteriuria in non-pregnant women is usually benign, in pregnancy it increases the likelihood of kidney involvement (pyelonephritis), with an incidence of around 30% in affected women (Whalley 1967).

An association between untreated asymptomatic bacteriuria and low birth weight and preterm birth has also been suggested (LeBlanc & McGanity 1964; Kincaid-Smith & Bullen 1965; Little 1966; Savage et al 1967). However, while a reduction in preterm birth and low birth weight is consistent with understanding of the role of infection in pregnancy complications (Smaill 2007; Smaill & Vasquez 2007), other factors may be involved (eg other asymptomatic genitourinary infections) (Campbell-Brown et al 1987; Maclean 2001) or links with socioeconomic status (Romero et al 1989). There may only be an association between asymptomatic bacteriuria and preterm birth if the infection progresses to pyelonephritis (Meis et al 1995).

38.2 Testing for asymptomatic bacteriuria

Universal testing for asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy is recommended in the United Kingdom (NICE 2008), the United States (USPSTF 2004; Nicolle et al 2005), Canada (Nicolle 1994) and Scotland (SIGN 2006), based on the effectiveness of available treatments and the reduced risk of pyelonephritis.

38.2.1 Benefits of testing

Testing for asymptomatic bacteriuria has been shown to reduce the number of women per 1,000 who experience pyelonephritis from 23.2 with no testing, to 16.2 with dipstick testing and 11.2 with urine culture (Rouse et al 1995). Both tests were found to be cost beneficial compared to no testing.

38.2.2 Effectiveness of interventions to treat asymptomatic bacteriuria

A Cochrane review found that antibiotic treatment compared with placebo or no treatment is effective in clearing asymptomatic bacteriuria (RR 0.25; 95%CI 0.14 to 0.48). The incidence of pyelonephritis was reduced by 75% (RR 0.23; 95%CI 0.13 to 0.41) (Smaill & Vasquez 2007).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Routinely offer and recommend testing for asymptomatic bacteriuria early in pregnancy as treatment is effective and reduces the risk of pyelonephritis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016
38.2.3 Testing method

Midstream urine culture is considered the standard for diagnosis of asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy. Dipstick urinalysis of nitrates may be useful for excluding asymptomatic bacteriuria but is not accurate for diagnosis (Devile et al 2004). A meta-analysis (Devile et al 2004) and a small number of RCTs (Teppa & Roberts 2005; Karabulut 2007; Eigbefoh et al 2008; Mignini et al 2009) have shown high specificity (89-100%) but low sensitivity (33-98%), with a mid range around 50%. Lower level studies have had similar results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Use urine culture testing wherever possible, as it is the most accurate means of detecting asymptomatic bacteriuria.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

38.2.4 Timing of the test

There is no consensus in the literature about the optimal timing and testing frequency for asymptomatic bacteriuria. However, in a prospective study (n=3,254), a single urine specimen obtained between 12 and 16 weeks gestation identified 80% of women who ultimately had asymptomatic bacteriuria (Stenqvist et al 1989).

38.2.5 Testing in rural and remote areas

Due to difficulties in transporting specimens to laboratories, dipstick tests are commonly used in remote areas to ‘rule out’ asymptomatic bacteriuria, with samples from women testing positive then sent for culture to confirm infection. While urine culture is the preferred method of testing, this process has been found to be cost effective (Rouse et al 1995). However, factors specific to conditions in rural and remote Australia (eg high humidity and ambient temperatures) may contribute to under diagnosis and overtreatment. Considerations in testing for asymptomatic bacteriuria in these areas include (Bookallil et al 2005):

- whether specimens can be provided to pathology services within the timeframe in which they can still be cultured (ideally within 24 hours)
- the availability of appropriate storage facilities for dipstick tests
- the consequences of treating all women with a positive dipstick result given the high rate of false positives and the risk of increased resistance to antibiotics associated with over-prescribing
- recall systems for women with a positive result on culture.

**Practice point**

ZZ. Where access to pathology services is limited, dipstick tests may be used to exclude infection, with positive results confirmed by urine culture. Appropriate storage of dipsticks is essential to the accuracy of these tests.

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

38.2.6 Repeat testing

Although most guidelines recommend a single urine culture at the first antenatal visit, two prospective studies have concluded that urine should be cultured in each trimester of pregnancy to improve the detection rate of asymptomatic bacteriuria (Mcsaac et al 2005; Tugrul et al 2005). There has been no prospective evaluation of repeated testing during pregnancy (Schnarr & Smaill 2008).

38.3 Practice summary: testing for asymptomatic bacteriuria

**When**: Early in antenatal care

**Who**: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker

- **Discuss testing for asymptomatic bacteriuria**: Explain that identifying urinary tract infection enables women to be treated with antibiotics and avoids the risk of complications.

- **Document and follow-up**: Note the results of testing in the woman’s record and have a follow-up system in place so that appropriate treatment is provided if a woman is found to have bacteriuria.
38.4 Resources


38.5 References


39  Group B streptococcus

Identifying women who are at risk of having a baby with Group B streptococcus enables treatment to be given during labour to prevent transmission of infection to the baby.

39.1  Background

Group B streptococcus is a common bacterium that can colonise people of all ages without symptoms. It is generally found in the gastrointestinal tract, vagina and urethra. The bacteria can be passed from mother to baby during labour and lead to infection in the first week of life (early onset infection). Late onset infection can develop up to 3 months of age. Prevention focuses on early onset, which is the most common cause of serious infection in newborn babies.

39.1.1  Prevalence and incidence

•  **Maternal colonisation:** A systematic review estimated rates of maternal colonisation in Europe to range from 6.5% to 36% (Barcaite et al 2008; 2012), with one-third of included studies reporting rates greater than 20%. Lower level studies in Europe and other regions have found similar rates (Whitney et al 2004; Chohan et al 2006; Valkenburg-van den Berg et al 2006; Busetti et al 2007; Konrad & Katz 2007; Hakansson 2008; Jahromi 2008; Rausch et al 2009; Hong et al 2010; Lee et al 2010; Kunze 2011; Yu et al 2011). Australian studies have identified colonisation rates in the range of 20% to 24% (Hiller et al 2005; Angstetra et al 2007). A study of antenatal care for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women in Townsville (n=456) identified Group B streptococcus as a complication of pregnancy in 15.2% of women (Panaretto et al 2006), with a testing rate of around 60% (Panaretto et al 2006; 2007).

•  **Group B streptococcus infection in the newborn:** The incidence of neonatal Group B streptococcus infection ranges from 0.2/1,000 live births to 1.71/1,000 live births (Ali 2004; Kenyon et al 2004; Mifsud et al 2004; Trotman & Bell 2006; Berardi et al 2007; Trijbels-Smeulders et al 2007; Carbonell-Estrany et al 2008; Berardi et al 2010; Vergnano et al 2010; Kunze 2011; Yu et al 2011).

•  **Risk factors:** Risk factors for early onset Group B streptococcus infection of the newborn include maternal colonisation during the pregnancy, previous infant with Group B streptococcus infection, preterm birth, prolonged rupture of the membranes and maternal fever during labour (Ohlsson & Shah 2009). There is low-level evidence that Group B streptococcus colonisation in a previous pregnancy may be a risk factor for recolonisation in a subsequent pregnancy (Cheng et al 2008; Turrentine & Ramirez, 2008; Tam et al 2012) but this association was not found in all studies (Weintraub et al 2011). HIV infection does not appear to increase the risk of colonisation (Shah et al 2011).

39.1.2  Risks associated with Group B streptococcus colonisation during pregnancy

•  A positive result for Group B streptococcus on urine culture may be a risk factor for preterm labour, premature rupture of the membranes, intrapartum fever and chorioamnionitis (Kessous et al 2012).

•  Early onset Group B streptococcus may affect babies before birth and increase the risk of preterm birth or caesarean section (Tudela et al 2012). In the newborn, the infection is usually evident as respiratory disease, general sepsis, or meningitis within the first week after birth. Population-based surveillance in the United States suggests a neonatal death rate of around 5% of affected babies (CDC 2012).

39.2  Preventing Group B streptococcus

Intravenous antibiotic treatment during labour has been shown to prevent early onset Group B streptococcus infection in 86-89% of newborns of mothers colonised before birth (Lin et al 2001; Schrag et al 2002). Preventive approaches involve offering treatment to all women with a previous infant with Group B streptococcus infection and to other women based on:

•  colonisation as identified by routine antenatal culture of vaginal-rectal swabs: recommended in the United States (Verani et al 2010; Cagno et al 2012; Randis & Polin 2012) and Canada (SOGC 2004); or

•  risk factors for transmission during labour (preterm birth, maternal body temperature >38°C, membrane rupture > 18 hours): recommended in the United Kingdom (NICE 2008; RCOG 2012).

Both routine antenatal testing and risk-based treatment approaches are currently used in Australia.
39.2.1 Benefits and harms of preventive approaches

While there is no high-level evidence on the benefits of approaches to prevent transmission of Group B streptococcus, prospective and retrospective studies have identified reductions in the incidence of Group B streptococcus in the newborn associated with both routine antenatal testing (Angstetra et al 2007; Chen et al 2005; Eberly & Rajnik 2009; Phares et al 2008; Puopolo et al 2005) and risk-based testing (Trijbels-Smeulders et al 2007).

Narrative reviews have identified limitations associated with routine antenatal testing including a lack of predictive certainty that a positive Group B streptococcus culture will lead to infection of the newborn, the potential for a false negative result and maternal anxiety (Daley & Garland 2004; Konrad & Katz 2007; Berardi et al 2010).

Both preventive approaches increase exposure of mother and baby to antibiotics, with possible harmful effects (eg allergic reactions, increase in drug-resistant organisms) (Ohlsson & Shah 2009). However, anaphylaxis following penicillin treatment is rare (4/10,000–4/100,000 women with no known allergy) and the risk is greatly offset by the reduced incidence of neonatal and maternal sepsis (Schrag et al 2002).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44 Offer either routine antenatal testing for Group B streptococcus colonisation or a risk factor-based approach to prevention, depending on organisational policy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
<td>UNDER REVIEW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

39.2.2 Cost-effectiveness

In the United Kingdom, available modelling on cost-effectiveness does not support introducing routine antenatal testing (Colbourn et al 2007; Kaambwa et al 2010). A French study found that PCR testing during the birth was associated with lower hospital costs than antenatal testing (El Helali et al 2012). No Australian evidence on the cost-effectiveness of approaches to preventing early onset Group B streptococcus was identified in the systematic literature review.

An economic analysis carried out to inform the development of these Guidelines (see separate document on economic analyses) found that the benefits of testing do not outweigh the costs involved, whatever approach is taken. This is because of the relatively low number of newborns affected and the absence of robust data on severe or long-term health effects in the event of an infection. Of the strategies evaluated, routine testing only is slightly more cost-effective than routine testing with treatment for certain risk factors, when compared to ‘doing nothing’.

39.2.3 Timing of antenatal testing

A systematic review (Valkenburg-van den Berg et al 2010) into the optimal timing of antenatal testing found cultures collected in late pregnancy had a high positive predictive value for colonisation during labour. These findings are supported by other smaller studies (Hiller et al 2005; Towers et al 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45 If offering antenatal testing for Group B streptococcus, arrange for testing to take place at 35–37 weeks gestation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
<td>UNDER REVIEW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

39.2.4 Type of antenatal test

Detection rates of Group B streptococcus are higher when a combined vaginal-rectal swab is taken (Kovavisarach et al 2007; Daniels et al 2009; Verani et al 2010; RANZCOG 2011), with a sensitivity of 84% compared to 58% for a vaginal swab and 71% for a rectal swab (Daniels et al 2009). Limited low-level evidence suggests vaginal-perianal swabs may be an alternative to vaginal-rectal swabs as culture yields are similar and collection causes less discomfort to the woman (Jamie et al 2004; Trappe et al 2011).

Self-collection of vaginal-rectal specimens has been found to have similar culture yields to collection by a health professional (Arya et al 2008; Hicks & Diaz-Perez 2009; Price et al 2006), without the need for standardised or lengthy information about specimen collection methods (Hicks & Diaz-Perez 2009).
39.2.5 Organisational practice

Prospective studies evaluating the effects of introducing routine antenatal testing have found that, although testing had been extensively and successfully adopted, early onset Group B streptococcal infection still occurred due to culture detection failure, deviation from protocol (Berardi et al 2010) or missed testing (Van Dyke et al 2009; Faro et al 2010). This highlights the importance of consistently following organisational protocols and auditing outcomes.

39.3 Discussing Group B streptococcus

Discussion about Group B streptococcus should take place at around 35 weeks gestation so that women have received information about preventive treatment before they go into labour. This timing also enables testing at 35–37 weeks and receipt of test results, if testing is being offered. Points for discussion include:

- Group B streptococcus is part of the normal bacteria that live in the body and anyone can become colonised with Group B streptococcus without having symptoms
- Group B streptococcus is transmitted to the baby during the birth in 1-2 per 1,000 live births and can cause serious infection in the newborn
- treatment with intravenous antibiotics during labour reduces the risk of transmission of the infection to the baby
- women may be advised to remain in hospital for at least 24 hours after the birth so that the baby can be observed for signs of Group B streptococcus infection.

39.4 Practice summary: Group B streptococcus

When: At around 35 weeks gestation

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker

- Discuss prevention: Explain that treatment during labour is offered to women who are identified as being colonised with Group B streptococcus, have previously had a baby with Group B streptococcus infection and/or have risk factors for transmission during labour.

- If testing is offered, give information about the test: Discuss how the test is carried out and, unless the woman would prefer to have the specimen collected by a health professional, provide the test for her to carry out in the health care setting or at home. For women who choose to self-collect, provide clear explanation of how this is done (eg using diagrams or pictures).

- Take a holistic approach: Explain the implications of a positive test result or a previous baby with Group B streptococcus (eg a woman may not be able to give birth in the setting she had planned, treatment may not be possible if labour is very short). If a woman needs to travel to give birth, explain the importance of the test being carried out at 35-37 weeks (ie she needs to plan to have the test before she travels or arrange to have it where she will give birth).

- Document and follow-up: If antenatal testing is carried out, tell the woman the results and note them in her antenatal record. Have a system in place so that a woman with a positive test result or a previous infant with Group B streptococcus infection is informed about the importance of relaying this information to the health professionals who will care for her during labour.

39.5 Resources


PART G: TARGETED MATERNAL HEALTH TESTS

This section discusses the evidence for offering women a range of tests for women identified as at increased risk (see Table G1). Recommendations are based on evidence about the diagnostic accuracy of available tests, the effectiveness of interventions to prevent mother-to-child transmission of infection or other effects on the unborn baby, and the availability of treatments.

For notifiable infections (chlamydia, gonorrhoea), diagnoses are required to be reported to the National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System. This allows analysis of trends in jurisdictions and groups at risk, although data quality varies for the different conditions and reporting of Indigenous status is incomplete in some States and for some conditions. Evidence on the prevalence and incidence of other conditions is generally from observational studies and may not be representative of the Australian population or groups within the population. While incidence or prevalence data are not always available, each chapter includes a brief discussion that aims to give health professionals an indication of the likelihood that women in their community will be affected.

Table G1: Summary of advice on tests offered to women at increased risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Offer test to:</th>
<th>Test(s)</th>
<th>Rationale/follow-up</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydia*</td>
<td>Women younger than 30 years All pregnant women in areas of high prevalence</td>
<td>First pass urine NAAT</td>
<td>Treatment may reduce the risk of preterm birth, premature rupture of the membranes and low birth weight</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonorrhoea*</td>
<td>Women with known risk factors or living in areas where prevalence is high</td>
<td>Vaginal, urine or endocervical specimens NAAT</td>
<td>Treatment may prevent neonatal infection</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichomoniasis*</td>
<td>Women with symptoms</td>
<td>PCR testing of vaginal swabs</td>
<td>Treatment may prevent certain infections in the newborn but is associated with adverse effects</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxoplasmosis</td>
<td>Women may request testing based on exposure to sources</td>
<td>Studies into tests are limited and inconclusive</td>
<td>Insufficient evidence on treatment. Advice on prevention may reduce the risk of infection</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytomegalovirus</td>
<td>Women who have frequent contact with large numbers of very young children</td>
<td>Studies into tests are limited and inconclusive</td>
<td>Advice on prevention may reduce the risk of infection; seek expert advice if infection is identified</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperglycaemia</td>
<td>Women with risk factors for hyperglycaemia</td>
<td>Glycated haemoglobin or fasting blood glucose</td>
<td>Hyperglycaemia can be minimised during pregnancy to improve outcomes</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis</td>
<td>Women with a previous preterm birth</td>
<td>High vaginal swab Amsel’s criteria Nugent’s criteria</td>
<td>Early treatment (&lt;20 wks) may reduce risk of premature rupture of the membranes and low birth weight</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid function</td>
<td>Women with symptoms or risk factors</td>
<td>Blood test for thyroid-stimulating hormone</td>
<td>Treatment improves maternal and newborn outcomes</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D status</td>
<td>Women considered to be at risk</td>
<td>Blood test for serum 25-OHD</td>
<td>Women at high risk of deficiency may benefit from supplementation</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human papilloma virus</td>
<td>Women who have not had a cervical screen in the recommended time period</td>
<td>Pap smear</td>
<td>Allows detection of precancerous cervical abnormalities</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Psychosocial support, partner testing and contact tracing are required for women with sexually transmitted infections.
25-OHD=25-hydroxyvitamin D; NAAT=nucleic acid amplification test; PCR=polymerase chain reaction; RNA=ribonucleic acid.
40  Chlamydia

Antenatal care provides opportunities for testing women from population groups with a high prevalence of chlamydia infection.

40.1  Background

Chlamydia is caused by the bacterium Chlamydia trachomatis. Chlamydia infection is asymptomatic in at least 70% of women. In women, chlamydia initially infects the cervix and urethra, eventually causing abnormal vaginal discharge and dysuria (Silva et al 2011). Untreated, the infection can reach the fallopian tubes, causing pelvic inflammatory disease and adhesions. In pregnant women, chlamydia may affect normal intra- and extraterine development (Silva et al 2011).

40.1.1  Prevalence of chlamydia

Rates of diagnosis

Chlamydia is the most frequently reported sexually transmissible infection in Australia. The notification rate was relatively stable between 2011 and 2015 and increased by 13% between 2015 and 2017 (Kirby Institute 2018a). Notifications have been higher in women than in men in all years (441.8 vs 349.9 per 100,000 in 2017). In 2017, the rate in the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander population was 2.8 times that in the non-Indigenous population (1,193.9 vs 427.0 per 100,000), increasing to five times higher in remote/very remote areas (Kirby Institute 2018b).

Age

Among women, rates in the 15–19 year age group have declined (from 2302.0 in 2011 to 1,772.8 per 100,000 in 2017), rates in the 20–24 year age group have remained stable (2,226.7 in 2011 and 2,246.0 in 2017) and rates in the 25–29 year age group have increased (from 927.8 in 2011 to 1,111.4 per 100,000 in 2017) (Kirby Institute 2018a). In 2017, rates among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women aged 15–19 and 20–29 years were 3.8 times and 2.7 times higher, respectively, than in the non-Indigenous population (Kirby Institute 2018b).

Geographical distribution

Between 2013 and 2017 in New South Wales, there was a 30% increase in chlamydia notification rates from 289.9 to 377.5 per 100,000 (Kirby Institute 2018a). Similarly, in Queensland there was a steady increase from 437.8 to 488.4 per 100,000. Between 2016 and 2017, notification rates increased by 9% in New South Wales and by 8% in South Australia and Victoria. Notification rates of chlamydia have been highest and remained stable in remote and very remote regions in the 5-year period from 2013 to 2017 (824.6 per 100,000 in 2017) (Kirby Institute 2018a). Notification rates increased by 20% in major cities in the same period (335.8 to 403.4 per 100,000 in 2017), but declined by 5% in inner and outer regional areas (407.2 to 398.9 per 100,000).

40.1.2  Risks associated with chlamydia in pregnancy

A systematic review of cohort studies (Silva et al 2011) found that chlamydia infection during pregnancy was associated with an increased risk of preterm birth (RR 1.35; 95%CI 1.11 to 1.63), low birth weight (RR 1.52; 95%CI 1.24 to 1.87) and perinatal mortality (RR 1.84; 95%CI 1.15 to 2.94). There was no clear evidence of an increased risk of premature rupture of membranes (RR 1.13; 95%CI 0.95 to 1.34), miscarriage (RR 1.20; 95%CI 0.65 to 2.20) or postpartum endometritis (0.89; 95%CI 0.49 to 1.61).

40.2  Chlamydia testing

The Australian STI Management Guidelines recommend nucleic acid amplification testing (NAAT) of first pass urine, endocervical, vaginal or anorectal swabs (if the woman has anal sex or anorectal symptoms) and advise consideration of self-collection of samples by pregnant women (ASHA 2018).

40.2.1  Diagnostic accuracy

No recent studies were identified that explicitly compared a diagnostic test to a reference test among pregnant women with uncomplicated pregnancies. Identified studies found that:

- sensitivity and specificity for chlamydia of urine samples relative to endocervical samples were 96.5% (95%CI 90.1 to 99.3%) and 100% (99.8 to 100%) and positive and negative predictive values were 100% (95%CI 95.6 to 100%) and 99.8% (95%CI 99.5 to 100%) (Roberts et al 2011)
• while pregnant women specifically have not been studied, the results available in non-pregnant populations are encouraging for the ability to test and treat women in antenatal care using point-of-care tests (Herbst de Cortina et al 2016).

**Consensus-based recommendation**

**XLIX. When testing for chlamydia in pregnant women, consider the use of urine samples or self-collected vaginal samples.**

Approved by NHMRC in April 2019; expires April 2024

40.2.2 Availability of effective treatment

A cohort study found that, compared to women whose chlamydia infection was detected after 20 weeks or persisted during pregnancy, women whose chlamydia was detected and treated before 20 weeks gestation had a reduced risk of preterm birth in the less than 20 year age group (RR 0.54; 95%CI 0.37 to 0.80) but there was no clear difference in risk for women in other age groups (Folger 2014).

40.2.3 Cost-effectiveness

An Australian cost-effectiveness study (Ong et al 2016) found that, from an Australian Government perspective, chlamydia testing for all women aged 16–25 years old during an antenatal visit was likely to be cost-effective compared with no testing or selective testing, especially with increasing chlamydia prevalence (the study assumed a prevalence of 3%; in 2016 prevalence was 1.9% among women aged 15-19 years, 2.4% among women aged 20-24 years and 1.1% among women aged 24-29 years).

International cost-effectiveness studies found that:

• universal antenatal testing for chlamydia was cost-saving in the Netherlands (estimated overall prevalence 3.9%), with further increases in savings when testing was targeted to pregnant women younger than 30 years of age (Rours et al 2016)

• cost and benefit of universal testing in pregnancy in the United States was reliant on the prevalence of chlamydia — when prevalence was above 16.9% there were net cost savings; at a prevalence of 8%, expenses were $124.65 million ($19.34/individual); and at a prevalence of 6.7%, net expenditure for screening was $249.08 million ($38.65/individual) (Ditkowsky et al 2017).

40.3 Routine versus targeted testing

No recent studies were identified that directly compared outcomes associated with universal antenatal testing with those associated with targeted or no testing. However, the evidence supports testing of young Australian women at the first antenatal visit based on:

• the high prevalence of chlamydia in young people in Australia and the increase in notifications among women aged 25-29 years (see Section 40.1.1)

• early treatment reducing the risk of preterm birth among young women (see Section 40.2.2)

• the cost-effectiveness of antenatal screening among women aged 16–25 years (see Section 40.2.3)

• the benefits of consistency between recommendations in national guidelines (eg (ASHA 2018; RACGP 2016)).

In areas of high prevalence, repeat testing may be required.

**Consensus-based recommendation**

**L. Routinely offer chlamydia testing at the first antenatal visit to pregnant women younger than 30 years.**

Approved by NHMRC in April 2019; expires April 2024

40.3.1 Uptake of testing recommendations

An Australian study that assessed clinical uptake of the RANZCOG 2006 recommendation to test women younger than 25 found that, in 2010, only about one-fifth of participants routinely offered testing to women in this population group (Li et al 2013). The study highlighted the need for national clinical leadership regarding testing for chlamydia among pregnant women. Current RANZCOG guidance is to selectively test women based on local prevalence (RANZCOG 2016).

40.4 Treatment of chlamydia

The recommended treatment for chlamydia in pregnancy is oral azithromycin 1g as a single dose (ASHA 2018). Testing for other sexually transmitted infections for women with diagnosed chlamydia is also recommended.
40.5 Practice summary: chlamydia

When: At the first contact with women younger than 30 years

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker

- Discuss chlamydia: Explain the association between chlamydia and preterm birth and low birth weight, that tests for the infection are available and that it is easily treated with antibiotics.

- Take a holistic approach: If a woman tests positive for chlamydia, important considerations include counselling, contact tracing, partner testing, testing for other sexually transmitted infections and follow-up. Any positive tests should be notified to public health.

- Learn about locally available resources: Available testing services and support organisations will vary by location.

40.6 Resources


40.7 References


Kirby Institute (2018a) HIV, viral hepatitis and sexually transmissible infections in Australia Annual surveillance report 2018. Sydney: Kirby Institute, UNSW.

Kirby Institute (2018b) Bloodborne viral and sexually transmissible infections in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people: annual surveillance report 2018. Sydney: Kirby Institute, UNSW.


Gonorrhoea is a sexually transmitted infection that can cause complications in pregnancy. Antenatal care provides opportunities for women from population groups with a high prevalence of the infection to be offered testing.

41.1 Background

Gonorrhoea is a sexually acquired infection caused by Neisseria gonorrhoeae. In women it may be asymptomatic, or present as an abnormal vaginal discharge, pelvic pain and/or difficulty urinating. Women with untreated gonorrhoea infection can have high morbidity (eg pelvic inflammatory disease, chronic pelvic pain). In pregnancy, gonorrhoea infection can cause adverse obstetric and neonatal outcomes. There is evidence that tests can accurately detect gonorrhoea infection and that antibiotics are effective in its treatment (USPSTF 2005).

41.1.1 Diagnoses of gonorrhoea in Australia

- Rates of diagnosis: Between 2012 and 2016, there was a 63% increase in notification rates (from 61.9 to 100.8 per 100,000), with a 43% increase among women (from 25.7 to 55.9 per 100,000) (The Kirby Institute 2017a). By 2012, most laboratories had switched to using dual chlamydia and gonorrhoea tests where, if a chlamydia test was ordered, a gonorrhoea test would be conducted automatically. The emphasis on testing for chlamydia in young people has therefore led to a substantial rise in the number of tests conducted for gonorrhoea, which may explain the increase in diagnoses in women before 2012 but not since then.

- In 2016, the gonorrhoea notification rate for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women was 15 times that of non-Indigenous women (611.8 vs 41.8 per 100,000; data do not include New South Wales) (The Kirby Institute 2017b). However, since 2012, the rate of notification of gonorrhoea decreased by 17% in the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander population, compared with a 125% increase in the non-Indigenous population.

- Age: Between 2012 and 2016, the notification rate of gonorrhoea increased in all age groups 20 years and above (The Kirby Institute 2017a). Among women, the largest increases were in the 30-39 year (94%), 25-29 year (93%) and 20-24 year (39%) age groups.

- Geographical location: Between 2007 and 2016, gonorrhoea notification rates increased in all jurisdictions except the Northern Territory, where rates fluctuated (The Kirby Institute 2017a). In 2016, gonorrhoea notification rates were highest in the Northern Territory (699.6 per 100,000), followed by Western Australia (132.8 per 100,000). Notification rates increased in major cities (99% increase) and inner and outer regional areas (15% increase) but declined in remote and very remote areas (8% decline). In 2016, gonorrhoea notification rates were highest in remote areas (532.5 per 100,000), followed by major cities (101.3 per 100,000) and regional areas (50.7 per 100,000).

- Country of origin: In 2008, the World Health Organization estimated the incidence of gonorrhoea per 1,000 women aged 15-49 years to be 50 in Africa, 35 in the Western Pacific, 19 in the Americas, 16 in South-East Asia and 8 in Europe (WHO 2012).

- Incidence in pregnancy: The incidence of gonorrhoea in pregnant women who are not at high risk for infection is generally low. However, it varies by population; approximately 1% among pregnant women in the United States (Goldenberg et al 2005) (range 0.2-4%) (CDC 2004), 3.3% in a developing country setting (Sullivan et al 2004) and 3.4% among adolescent women in a low-income area in the United States (Nicolai et al 2003).

- Risk factors: Increased risk of gonorrhoea has been associated with previous gonorrhoea infection or other sexually transmitted infection, new or multiple sex partners and inconsistent condom use, commercial sex work and drug use and living in communities with a high prevalence of gonorrhoea (USPSTF 2005).

41.1.2 Risks associated with gonorrhoea in pregnancy

Untreated gonorrhoea during pregnancy is associated with adverse outcomes including ectopic pregnancy, septic spontaneous miscarriage, chorioamnionitis, premature rupture of membranes, preterm labour and postpartum infection (Hollier & Workowski 2005; USPSTF 2005).
*N. gonorrhoeae* can be transmitted from the mother’s genital tract to the newborn at the time of birth and occasionally, when there is prolonged rupture of the membranes, it can be transmitted to the baby before birth (Brocklehurst 2009). The usual manifestation of neonatal infection is conjunctivitis (ophthalmia neonatorum), which begins in the first days of life and, if left untreated, may lead to blindness (Brocklehurst 2009). The risk of transmission from an infected mother is between 30% and 47% (Galega et al 1984; Fransen et al 1986).

### 41.2 Testing for gonorrhoea

While testing all women for gonorrhoea during pregnancy is recommended in Canada (PHAC 2008), a number of bodies in the United States recommend testing only women at high risk (AAP 2002; ACOG 2003; AAFP 2004; USPSTF 2005). The Royal Australian College of General Practitioners (RACGP) also supports testing only women considered to be at risk (RACGP 2009). The prevalence of gonorrhoea is regionally variable and, in some areas, high prevalence may occur with that of other sexually transmitted infections, such as chlamydia. It is important for health professionals to be aware of the rates of sexually transmitted infection in their community and develop local protocols accordingly.

#### 41.2.1 Diagnostic accuracy of tests

In Australia, culture methods for detection of *N. gonorrhoeae* have been increasingly replaced by NAATs, especially in remote areas (Smith et al 2005). These tests can be performed on self-collected vaginal swabs, urine and endocervical specimens. The sensitivity and specificity of vaginal swabs are similar whether collected by the woman (96.1%; 99.3%) or health professional (96.2%; 99.3%), identifying as many infections as endocervical swabs and more than first-catch urine samples (Schachter et al 2005). These tests are evolving and guidelines for laboratories on their use and interpretation have been developed to reduce the high risk of false positives associated with some tests (Smith et al 2005). Where possible, positive results should be confirmed with culture for antibiotic sensitivity testing and to exclude false positives, particularly in low-risk individuals.

In a retrospective study, repeat testing of women at high risk at 34 weeks identified additional women with infection (n=751) (Miller et al 2003). In the United States (USPSTF 2005) and Canada (PHAC 2008), testing is recommended in the first trimester, with testing in subsequent trimesters (Canada) or in the third trimester (United States) for women at continued risk or with a new risk factor.

#### 41.2.2 Harms and benefits of testing

There is some evidence that testing and subsequent treatment of pregnant women at high risk of gonorrhoea may prevent complications associated with gonococcal infection during pregnancy (USPSTF 2005; Darling 2009). Potential harms of testing include false-positive results, anxiety and unnecessary antibiotic use (USPSTF 2005). There is insufficient evidence to quantify the magnitude of these harms but it is likely that they are outweighed by the benefits of testing women at increased risk (USPSTF 2005).

No evidence on the cost-effectiveness of testing for gonorrhoea in pregnancy was identified.

#### 41.2.3 Effect of treatments on risks associated with gonorrhoea

The aim of treating gonorrhoea during pregnancy is to eradicate the infection and prevent neonatal infection, postpartum sepsis for the mother and transmission to sexual partners (Brocklehurst 2009). In a systematic review (n=346) (Brocklehurst 2009), all tested antibiotic regimens (penicillins, spectinomycin or ceftriaxone) demonstrated a high level of effectiveness as judged by ‘microbiological cure’, with eradication rates of between 89% and 97%. However, the effects of treatment on substantive outcomes such as ophthalmia neonatorum have not been reported and may vary between different antibiotics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consensus-based recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LI. Do not routinely offer gonorrhoea testing to all women as part of antinatal care. Offer gonorrhoea testing to pregnant women who have known risk factors or who live in or come from areas where prevalence is high.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019
41.3  Discussing gonorrhoea

Discussion to inform a woman’s decision-making should take place before testing takes place and include:

- it is possible to have gonorrhoea without experiencing symptoms
- risk factors for sexually transmitted infection
- the possibility of false positive results
- gonorrhoea causes problems with the pregnancy including spontaneous miscarriage, preterm birth and infection of the newborn
- treatment of gonorrhoea may prevent pregnancy complications associated with infection
- testing and treatment of partners is advisable if infection is identified and the couple should abstain from sex until treatment is complete and symptoms have resolved
- testing for other sexually transmitted infections may be needed
- a second test may be given a week later if symptoms remain
- repeat testing for gonorrhoea may be needed for women at ongoing risk of infection.

41.4  Practice summary: gonorrhoea

When: A woman has risk factors for gonorrhoea infection, lives in an area of high prevalence or has come from a country with high prevalence

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker; sexual health worker

☐ Discuss the reasons for gonorrhoea testing: Explain that it is important to find out whether a woman has gonorrhoea because of the effects that the infection can have on the pregnancy and the baby.

☐ Take a holistic approach: If a woman is found to have gonorrhoea infection, other considerations include counselling, contact tracing, partner testing, testing for other sexually transmitted infections and follow-up.

☐ Document and follow-up: If a woman is tested for gonorrhoea, tell her the results and note them in her antenatal record. Have a follow-up system in place so that infected women receive timely treatment or referral. Consider repeat testing for women who may be at ongoing risk of infection.

41.5  Resources


41.6  References


42 Trichomoniasis

Identifying the cause of vaginitis symptoms enables a woman to make an informed decision about treatment during pregnancy.

42.1 Background

Trichomoniasis is a sexually transmitted vaginitis caused by the single-celled protozoan parasite Trichomonas vaginalis. Around 70% of people with trichomoniasis do not experience symptoms (Workowski & Berman 2010). When symptoms are present in women, they include a smelly, yellow-green vaginal discharge with vulval irritation (Workowski & Berman 2010). Trichomoniasis is associated with infertility, pelvic inflammatory disease and enhanced HIV transmission (Sobel 2005; Sutton et al 2007; Johnston & Mabey 2008; Fichorova 2009).

42.1.1 Prevalence of trichomoniasis in pregnancy

Trichomoniasis is the most common curable sexually transmitted infection globally, with a prevalence among women of 8.1% (WHO 2011). Prevalence varies with age as well as geographical region.

• Population-level data: There are no accurate data available regarding the national prevalence of trichomoniasis in Australia and the infection is not notifiable.

• Geographical location: The reported prevalence of trichomoniasis in different regions of Australia is extremely variable, ranging from virtually zero in the largest cities (Marrone et al 2008; Lusk et al 2010; Uddin et al 2011) to 25% in remote northern Aboriginal communities (Guy et al 2011). A study of prevalence in rural and remote New South Wales found that prevalence increased with remoteness in both Aboriginal and non-Indigenous women (Ryder et al 2012).

• Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women: Small studies of discrete populations have found an prevalence among pregnant women of 15.5–17.6% (Josif et al 2012) in remote areas and 7.2% in an urban area (Panaretto et al 2006).

• Country of origin: Prevalence has been estimated as 3.3–7.7% among women in the United States (French et al 2006; Sutton et al 2007; Mann et al 2009), 0.3–6% among South American women (Lobo et al 2003; Gondo et al 2010), 5.5–8.5% among Asian women (Sam & Baloch 2005; Azargoone & Darvishzadeh 2006; Madhivanan et al 2009), 10–14% among African American women (Caliendo et al 2005; Miller et al 2005; French et al 2006) and 5.4–17.6% among African women (Adu-Sarkodie 2004; Stringer et al 2010).

• Risk factors: Risk factors include multiple sexual partners, previous sexually transmitted infections, non-use of barrier contraceptives, work in the sex industry, intravenous drug use, smoking, low socioeconomic status and incarceration (Brown 2004; Say & Jacynzo 2005; Johnston & Mabey 2008; Workowski & Berman 2010).

42.1.2 Risks associated with trichomoniasis in pregnancy

• Pregnancy risks: Trichomoniasis in pregnancy may be associated with increased risk of preterm birth and low birth weight (Buchmayer et al 2003; Mann et al 2009; Gülmezoglu & Azhar 2011).

• Risks to the baby: Maternal trichomoniasis has been associated with genital and respiratory infections of the newborn (Carter & Whithaus 2008; Trintis et al 2010).

42.2 Testing for trichomoniasis

In the United States (Workowski & Berman 2010), testing for trichomoniasis during pregnancy is only recommended for women with symptoms. Recommendations on testing during pregnancy have not previously been developed in the United Kingdom or Australia.

42.2.1 Specimen collection

Small low-level studies in non-pregnant populations have concluded that:

• self-collected vaginal swabs correlate with specimens collected by health professionals (Smith et al 2005; Kashyap et al 2008; Huppert et al 2010) and are easy to perform (Kashyap et al 2008)
• self-collection by tampon sampling is acceptable to women (van de Wijgert et al 2006), is easily incorporated into practice and may be suitable in remote settings as samples do not require refrigeration (Garland & Tabrizi 2004).

42.2.2 Diagnostic test accuracy

Testing for trichomoniasis in Australia is mostly carried out using PCR, which is rapidly replacing culture testing as it has higher sensitivity and results are available more quickly.

• **PCR testing** of vaginal swabs has high sensitivity (96–100%) and specificity (97–100%) (Lobo et al 2003; Caliendo et al 2005; Smith et al 2005; Pillay et al 2007). Small studies have found that PCR testing of tampon samples has high sensitivity (94–100%) (Knox et al 2002; Sturm et al 2004). PCR testing of urine samples has lower sensitivity and specificity (76.7% and 97%) (Pillay et al 2007).

• **Culture testing** of vaginal swabs has a sensitivity of 63.0–98.2% and specificity of 99.4–100% (Lobo et al 2003; Adu-Sarkodie 2004; Caliendo et al 2005; Smith et al 2005). It requires an incubator and culture medium and may take up to 7 days for a result.

While trichomoniasis is occasionally diagnosed by Pap smear, its use is not adequate as the sole method of diagnosis because of its low sensitivity (60.7–72.1%) and the delay in obtaining results (Lara-Torre & Pinkerton 2003; Lobo et al 2003; Smith et al 2005).

42.2.3 Benefits and harms of testing

While accurate diagnostic tests are available, the benefits of testing are limited by uncertainties about the effect of treatments during pregnancy. Advantages of identifying and treating trichomoniasis include relief of symptoms, reduced risk of further transmission and possible prevention of genital and respiratory infections in the newborn (Workowski & Berman 2010). Potential harms of testing include false positive diagnosis (Johnson et al 2007) and adverse effects associated with treatment (see below).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47 Offer testing to women who have symptoms of trichomoniasis, but not to asymptomatic women.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

42.2.4 Availability of safe and effective treatments for trichomoniasis

Metronidazole and tinidazole are used to treat trichomoniasis (Owen & Clenney 2004; Fung & Doan 2005; Wendel & Workowski 2007; Workowski & Berman 2010). The Therapeutic Goods Administration classifies metronidazole as pregnancy category B2 and tinidazole as B3.

Based on the limited evidence available, treatment with metronidazole provides parasitological cure in around 90% of women and would likely be more effective if partners were also treated (Workowski & Berman 2010; Gülmezoglu & Azhar 2011). However, it does not reduce risk of preterm birth or low birth weight in asymptomatic women (Gülmezoglu & Azhar 2011) and may increase the risk of preterm birth (Carey & Klebanoff 2003; Hay & Czeizel 2007).

Studies into the effect of treatment in women with symptomatic trichomoniasis are also limited and findings are inconsistent. Some suggest an increased incidence of preterm birth (Riggs & Klebanoff 2004; Okun et al 2005) and others found no association with preterm birth (Mann et al 2009). Findings may be affected by method of assessing gestational age (Stringer et al 2010) and timing of diagnosis.

Due to the lack of clarity on the risk of preterm birth, treatment of asymptomatic pregnant women is not recommended but may be a consideration after 37 weeks gestation. Treatment for women with symptoms requires consideration of the risks and benefits for the individual woman.

42.2.5 Repeat testing

Due to the high rates of reinfection among women diagnosed with trichomoniasis, retesting 3 months following treatment may be a consideration, although this approach has not been evaluated (Workowski & Berman 2010).
42.3 Discussing trichomoniasis

Discussion to inform a woman’s decision-making should take place before testing takes place and include:

- trichomoniasis is a sexually transmitted infection and most people do not experience symptoms
- trichomoniasis is associated with increased risk of preterm birth and low birth weight and may cause some types of infection in the newborn
- treatment of trichomoniasis relieves symptoms, reduces the risk of transmission and may prevent related infections in the newborn but may not reduce the risk of preterm birth
- testing and treatment of partners is advisable if infection is identified and the couple should abstain from sex until treatment is complete and symptoms have resolved
- testing for other sexually transmitted infections may be needed.

42.4 Practice summary: trichomoniasis

**When:** A woman has signs or symptoms of vaginitis

**Who:** Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker; sexual health worker

- **Discuss the reasons for testing for trichomoniasis:** Explain that testing is necessary to identify the cause of the symptoms.

- **Take a holistic approach:** If a woman is found to have trichomoniasis, other considerations include counselling, contact tracing, partner testing and treatment and testing for other sexually transmitted infections.

- **Document and follow-up:** If a woman is tested for trichomoniasis, tell her the results and note them in her antenatal record. Have a system in place so that women’s decisions about treatment are documented and women who test positive for trichomoniasis during pregnancy are given ongoing follow-up and information.

42.5 Resources


42.6 References


There is limited evidence to support testing for toxoplasmosis during pregnancy. As infection may be transmitted to the baby during pregnancy, the focus is on providing women with advice about how to avoid sources of toxoplasmosis.

43.1 Background

Toxoplasmosis, which is caused by the parasite Toxoplasma gondii, is usually asymptomatic and self-limiting. Symptoms when they occur include swollen lymph nodes, muscle aches and pains and fever.

When women who have not previously been exposed to the parasite (e.g., are non-immune) become infected during pregnancy, the infection can be transmitted to the baby (Di Mario et al. 2009). The likelihood of a woman acquiring a primary infection during pregnancy varies, depending on local prevalence (Pappas et al. 2009):

- **Low prevalence**: the potential for a woman to become infected is low but if she is infected during pregnancy it will most likely be a primary infection.
- **High prevalence**: primary infection during pregnancy is unlikely due to previous exposure.

Toxoplasmosis infection can be acquired by (Di Mario et al. 2009):

- Eating raw or insufficiently cooked meat
- Not washing hands thoroughly after handling raw meat or gardening
- Contact with cat faeces (directly or indirectly through the soil or cat litter); or
- Contact with contaminated raw vegetables or fruits.

43.1.1 Prevalence and incidence

In Australia, primary infection with toxoplasmosis during pregnancy is rare (Gilbert 2002) although it is estimated that between 60% and 80% of Australians are non-immune (Pappas et al. 2009).

- **Country of origin**: The prevalence of immunity to toxoplasmosis is high in Latin America, parts of Eastern/Central Europe, the Middle East, parts of South-East Asia and Africa. There is a trend towards lower prevalence of immunity in many European countries and the United States (Pappas et al. 2009).
- **Congenital toxoplasmosis**: The incidence of congenital toxoplasmosis has been reported to range from 0.03/1,000 live births in England and Wales (Gilbert et al. 2006) to 0.3/1,000 live births in south-eastern Brazil (Carvalheiro et al. 2005).

43.1.2 Risks associated with toxoplasmosis during pregnancy

- **Mother-to-child transmission rates** have been reported to range from 11.3% (Ricci et al. 2003) to 18.5% (Varella et al. 2009). The risk of transmission increases with gestational age (from 5% at 12 weeks to 80% just before birth) (Dunn et al. 1999). However, babies infected early in pregnancy have a greater risk of congenital anomalies (Di Mario et al. 2009).
- **Congenital toxoplasmosis** has been associated with stillbirth, intracranial anomalies and/or developmental delay, ocular inflammation (Gilbert et al. 2006) and impaired hearing (Andrade et al. 2008; Brown et al. 2009). In a prospective cohort study (n=620), babies with congenital toxoplasmosis had lower gestational age but there was no significant association with low birth weight or small for gestational age (Freeman et al. 2005).

43.2 Testing for toxoplasmosis

The evidence on the benefits to women and babies of testing for toxoplasmosis is limited and inconclusive. Routine testing for toxoplasmosis during pregnancy is not recommended in the United Kingdom (NICE 2008).

43.2.1 Diagnostic accuracy of tests

Tests for toxoplasmosis aim to identify whether maternal infection is acute or chronic. Studies have compared a range of tests for IgG and IgM antibodies and IgG avidity (Petersen et al. 2005; Thalib et al. 2005; Flori et al. 2008; Bobic et al. 2009; Kasper et al. 2009; Lachaud et al. 2009; Elyasi et al. 2010; Wallon et al. 2010; Jost et al. 2011; Leslie et al. 2011; Robert-Gangneux et al. 2011; Yamada et al. 2011). There is great heterogeneity between the studies, making it
difficult to comment on the predictive and diagnostic accuracy of one test over another. Studies into the timing of testing are limited and inconclusive (Gilbert & Gras 2003). No evidence on the cost-effectiveness of testing for toxoplasmosis was identified.

43.2.2 Harms and benefits of testing

No high-level evidence on the harms and benefits of testing for toxoplasmosis was identified. A narrative review found that psychological consequences of testing included parental anxiety due to false positive results and uncertainties related to prognosis of children with an antenatal diagnosis of congenital toxoplasmosis (Khoshnood et al 2007).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48 Do not routinely offer testing for toxoplasmosis to pregnant women.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

43.2.3 Availability of safe and effective treatments

Spiramycin and sulphonamide medications have been used to treat toxoplasmosis with the aim of reducing mother-to-child transmission and the severity of fetal infection (Peyron et al 1999). A systematic review (Thiébaut et al 2007) found weak evidence for an association between early maternal treatment and reduced risk of congenital toxoplasmosis. A subsequent review (Peyron et al 1999) concluded that despite the large number of studies performed, it is still not known whether treatment of pregnant women with presumed toxoplasmosis reduces the transmission of T. gondii.

While some studies have reported a lack of symptoms among babies whose mothers were treated during pregnancy (Berrébi et al 2007; 2010; Cortina-Borja et al 2010), current research is inadequate to assess whether the possible benefits outweigh the potential harm to the baby from treatment (Peyron et al 1999).

43.3 Discussing toxoplasmosis

There is suggestive evidence that women may have low levels of knowledge about the risks associated with T. gondii (Ferguson et al 2011) and that health education approaches may help reduce risk of congenital toxoplasmosis (Gollub et al 2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49 Advise pregnant women about measures to avoid toxoplasmosis infection such as:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• washing hands before handling food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• thoroughly washing all fruit and vegetables, including ready-prepared salads, before eating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• thoroughly cooking raw meat and ready-prepared chilled meals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• wearing gloves and thoroughly washing hands after handling soil and gardening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• avoiding cat faeces in cat litter or in soil.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

43.4 Practice summary: toxoplasmosis

When: Early in pregnancy

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker

Discuss sources of toxoplasmosis: Explain that becoming infected with toxoplasmosis during pregnancy can lead to the infection being transmitted to the baby so it is important to take measures to avoid infection.

Take a holistic approach: Women who are originally from an area of low prevalence are at risk of primary infection if they travel to countries where toxoplasmosis is highly prevalent.

Document and follow-up: If a woman is tested for toxoplasmosis, tell her the results and note them in her antenatal record. Have a system in place so that women who become infected with toxoplasmosis during pregnancy are given ongoing follow-up and information.
43.5 Resources


43.6 References


Cytomegalovirus is the most common infectious cause and the second most common overall cause of congenital malformation in Australia. However, there is limited evidence to support universal testing of pregnant women for cytomegalovirus. As cytomegalovirus may be transmitted to the baby and can have serious consequences, the current focus is on giving women advice about hygiene measures that help to reduce their risk of infection during pregnancy.

44.1 Background

Cytomegalovirus is a member of the herpes virus family transmitted by contact with saliva, urine or genital secretions. Most people who acquire the virus after birth experience few or no symptoms. Cytomegalovirus remains latent (dormant) in the host for their lifetime after primary infection. Cytomegalovirus may become active again particularly during times of compromised immunity, including pregnancy. Congenital cytomegalovirus (infection of the baby that is present at birth) is the most frequent infectious cause of newborn disability in developed countries including Australia (Rawlinson et al 2017).

44.1.1 Incidence

Transmission

Vertical transmission (spread of infection from mother to child) may occur across the placenta resulting in congenital infection in the baby (Naing et al 2016). Mother-to-child transmission rates of cytomegalovirus are higher for primary maternal infection (30-35%) than reactivated (non-primary) infection or reinfection (1-2%) (Kenneson & Cannon 2007; Wang et al 2011). However, reactivation or reinfection during pregnancy represents the higher burden of disease due to the high prevalence of past cytomegalovirus infection in women of childbearing age, and frequent reactivation and reinfection during pregnancy (Manicklal et al 2013; Wang et al 2011).

Congenital infection

The birth prevalence of congenital cytomegalovirus infection in developed countries has been estimated at 0.64%, of whom approximately 10% are symptomatic at birth and a similar number develop symptoms before 5 years of age (Kenneson & Cannon 2007). Based on average global figures in all socioeconomic groups, it has been estimated that each year approximately 400 children in Australia will be born with or develop cytomegalovirus-related disease resulting from primary or non-primary maternal infection (Naing et al 2016).

Risk factors for transmission

Cytomegalovirus is a highly prevalent infection in the general population; seropositivity prevalence in adult women ranges between 40% and 90%, with the highest prevalence occurring in individuals from lower socioeconomic background (Naing et al 2016). Cytomegalovirus transmission occurs via body fluids including saliva and urine. Transmission via objects contaminated with body fluids (eg utensils such as drink bottles, dummies/soothers) occurs between individuals (Naing et al 2016). Children, when infected in the first few years of life, can shed virus in urine and saliva for many years either continuously or intermittently. Cytomegalovirus therefore spreads readily in settings where preschool-aged children are concentrated (Manicklal et al 2013; Zheng et al 2018). This means that there is an increased risk of infection for seronegative pregnant women who have a young child in the home or who work in child care centres.

44.1.2 Risks associated with cytomegalovirus during pregnancy

Adverse effects on the developing baby include late miscarriage, stillbirth, hydrops and growth restriction (McCarthy et al 2011). Approximately 10% of babies infected with cytomegalovirus in utero will have symptoms at birth (including rash, microcephaly, hepatosplenomegaly) and are at high risk of developing sensorineural hearing loss (35%) or cognitive deficits (up to 60%), other neurodevelopmental disabilities (epilepsy and cerebral palsy) or death (4%) (Alarcon et al 2013; Manicklal et al 2013; McMullan et al 2011; Munro et al 2005; Smithers-Sheedy et al 2017). Infants with cytomegalovirus who are born without symptoms (“asymptomatic congenital cytomegalovirus”) are at risk of later onset of symptoms, in particular hearing loss (5-15%) (Goderis et al 2014) and possibly neurodevelopmental abnormality. Asymptomatic infants without hearing loss are expected to have
the best outcome (Bartlett et al 2017; Lanzieri et al 2017). In developed countries, congenital cytomegalovirus accounts for approximately 20% of congenital hearing loss (Dahl et al 2013; Dollard et al 2007; Rawlinson et al 2018).

The risk for adverse outcomes and/or long-term sequelae is highest in infants born to mothers with primary infection in the first half of pregnancy (approximately 10% overall) (Manickal et al 2013) although severe clinical outcomes also occur in infants of mothers with reactivation/reinfection (Hadar et al 2017).

### 44.2 Discussing reducing the risk of cytomegalovirus

Awareness of cytomegalovirus infection in pregnancy is low in Australia among pregnant women (Lazzaro et al 2018) and health professionals (Shand et al 2018). Knowledge about cytomegalovirus among women who are pregnant or planning a pregnancy is limited to one in six women (Lazzaro et al 2018) and only one in ten health professionals routinely discuss cytomegalovirus prevention with pregnant women (Shand et al 2018).

Prevention through hygiene and behavioural interventions reduces maternal infection during pregnancy (Adler et al 1996; Hamilton et al 2014). Provision of information regarding congenital cytomegalovirus prevention strategies to pregnant women improves their knowledge, is acceptable to them and results in no significant increased anxiety (Lazzaro et al 2018).

Women need to be given advice about prevention strategies regardless of their serological status. Women who are aware of the cytomegalovirus negative status are more likely to adhere to prevention strategies (Adler et al 1996).

**Hygiene precautions and behavioural interventions to prevent cytomegalovirus infection in pregnant women**

- Do not share food, drinks, or utensils used by young children
- Do not put a child’s dummy/soother/pacifier in your mouth
- Avoid contact with saliva when kissing a child
- Thoroughly wash hands with soap and water for 15–20 seconds, especially after changing nappies/diapers, feeding a young child, or wiping a young child’s nose or saliva
- Other precautions that can be considered, but are likely to less frequently prevent infection, include cleaning toys, countertops, and other surfaces that come into contact with children’s urine or saliva, and not sharing a toothbrush with a young child

Source: (Rawlinson et al 2017).

### Consensus-based recommendation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lil.</th>
<th>Advise all pregnant women about hygiene measures to help reduce the risk of cytomegalovirus infection, including avoiding contact with a child’s saliva or urine and hand washing after such exposure.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in April 2019; expires April 2024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 44.3 Testing for cytomegalovirus

#### 44.3.1 Diagnostic accuracy of tests for cytomegalovirus

Up to 50% of maternal cytomegalovirus infections have nonspecific clinical manifestations, and most remain undetected unless specific serological testing is undertaken (Munro et al 2005). The combination of serology tests for cytomegalovirus-specific immunoglobulin (Ig)M, IgG and IgG avidity provide improved distinction between primary and secondary maternal infections and better define timing of infection (Naing et al 2016).

#### 44.3.2 Universal versus targeted testing

An International Congenital Cytomegalovirus Recommendations Group (Rawlinson et al 2017) noted that universal testing of pregnant women for primary cytomegalovirus infection is not recommended. The consensus document recommends that cytomegalovirus serology tests (cytomegalovirus-specific IgG, IgM, and IgG avidity) should be offered when a pregnant woman develops an illness, typically fever, fatigue, and headache, not attributable to another specific infection, and/or when imaging findings (ultrasound or magnetic resonance imaging [MRI]) are suggestive of fetal cytomegalovirus infection (Rawlinson et al 2017).
44.3.3 Risks and benefits of maternal testing

Maternal testing to identify primary cytomegalovirus infection in pregnancy may allow for early identification of infected infants. Some countries perform more extensive testing of pregnant women (Lim & Lyall 2017). However, several issues arise with extensive testing: difficulties in accurate diagnosis, absence of effective interventions in preventing transmission of cytomegalovirus from mothers with primary cytomegalovirus infection to their infant, reinfection or reactivation resulting in congenital infection, and the challenges in providing definite prognosis to an individual mother. Therefore, universal testing of asymptomatic pregnant women is not recommended (Lim & Lyall 2017).

Conclusions on the cost-effectiveness of testing for cytomegalovirus are currently limited by insufficient evidence on the effectiveness of treatments in preventing congenital cytomegalovirus (see Section 44.3.4) (Gantt et al 2016).

Consensus-based recommendation

| LIII. | Offer testing for cytomegalovirus to women who come into frequent contact with large numbers of very young children (e.g. child care workers), using serology (cytomegalovirus-specific IgG only). |
| LIV. | Offer testing for cytomegalovirus to pregnant women if they have symptoms suggestive of cytomegalovirus that are not attributable to another specific infection or when imaging findings suggest fetal infection. |

Approved by NHMRC in April 2019; expires April 2024

44.3.4 Caring for a woman with a positive result

Cytomegalovirus hyperimmune globulin and intravenous immunoglobulin treatment do not significantly reduce the risk of congenital infection (Blazquez-Gamero et al 2017; Hamilton et al 2014; Revello et al 2016). The evidence for cytomegalovirus antiviral therapy as prophylaxis or treatment of pregnant women is too limited for conclusions to be drawn (Hamilton et al 2014; Leruez-Ville et al 2016).

Given the absence of an effective treatment, it is advisable to seek expert advice, including regarding assessment of the baby after the birth.

44.4 Practice summary: cytomegalovirus

When: Early in pregnancy

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker; infectious disease specialist

- **Discuss transmission of cytomegalovirus**: Explain that becoming infected with cytomegalovirus during pregnancy can lead to the infection being transmitted to the baby, babies born with cytomegalovirus infection at risk of impaired hearing and developmental delay.

- **Take a holistic approach**: Explain that avoiding contact with a young child’s saliva and frequent hand washing are the most important measures in reducing infection with cytomegalovirus and are especially important after contact with objects contaminated with urine or saliva.

- **Document and follow-up**: If a woman is tested for cytomegalovirus, tell her the results and note them in her antenatal record. If a woman has a result suggestive of recent infection, seek advice or referral to a health professional with appropriate expertise in infections in pregnancy.

44.5 Resources


44.6 References


45 Asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis

As identifying and treating asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis does not appear to change the risk of preterm birth or other pregnancy complications, routine testing in pregnancy is not appropriate.

45.1 Background

Bacterial vaginosis results from the relative deficiency of normal Lactobacillus species in the vagina and relative overgrowth of anaerobic bacteria. This reduces the normal acidity of the vagina. Bacterial vaginosis is asymptomatic for 50% of women in pregnancy (Joesoef & Schmid 2002) but may result in a vaginal discharge that can be grey in colour with a characteristic ‘fishy’ odour (McDonald et al 2007).

45.1.1 Asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis in pregnancy

- Several large prospective, longitudinal studies have found the prevalence of bacterial vaginosis to be in the range 9.23% (Hillier et al 1992; 1995; Meis et al 1995; Goldenberg et al 1996; 1998; Pastore et al 1999). A study in remote central Australia (n=205) (Smith et al 2005) found a prevalence of 26–36% among women attending clinics for a women’s health assessment (for either a symptomatic episode or routine check but not for antenatal care).

- Risk factors: Bacterial vaginosis in pregnancy is more common among women of low socioeconomic status and women who had low birth weight babies in previous pregnancies (Hillier et al 1995; French et al 2006).

45.1.2 Risks associated with asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis in pregnancy

Bacterial vaginosis has been associated with an increased risk of preterm birth (McGregor et al 1990; Kurki et al 1992; Hay et al 1994; Hillier et al 1995), with a review of case-control and cohort studies finding that women with bacterial vaginosis were 1.85 times more likely (95% CI 1.62–2.11) to give birth preterm than women without (Flynn et al 1999). The higher risk of preterm birth remains in women diagnosed with bacterial vaginosis early in pregnancy, even if the bacterial vaginosis spontaneously resolves later in pregnancy (Gratacos et al 1998).

45.2 Testing for asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis

Routine testing for asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis in pregnancy is not recommended in the United Kingdom (NICE 2008), the United States (USPSTF 2008) or Canada (SOGC 2008). The systematic review supporting the United States statement (Nygren et al 2008) found that:

- no studies directly addressed the adverse effects of testing pregnant women who are asymptomatic for bacterial vaginosis
- there is no clear benefit for the general population from testing and treating asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis during pregnancy
- although a subgroup of high-risk women may benefit from testing and treatment for bacterial vaginosis in pregnancy, a sizeable group would receive either no benefit or may experience harm.

45.2.1 Diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis

Bacterial vaginosis is generally diagnosed by either:

- Amsel’s criteria (thin white-grey homogenous discharge, pH greater than 4.5, release of ‘fishy odour’ on adding alkali, clue cells present on direct microscopy) (Amsel et al 1983); or
- Nugent’s criteria (Gram-stained vaginal smear to identify proportions of bacterial morphotypes with a score of greater than six indicating bacterial vaginosis) (Nugent et al 1991), which has both high sensitivity and specificity (Nelson et al 2003; Taylor-Robinson et al 2003; Hogan et al 2007; Nelson et al 2007), does not seem to vary with the vaginal site of collection (Culhane et al 2005) and has greater sensitivity than standard antenatal clinical diagnosis or a commercial test (Hogan et al 2007).

Other forms of testing, including the pH/whiff test or QuickVue Advanced pH and Amines test, have not been found to be reliable in detecting asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis in pregnancy (Charonis & Larsson 2006; Nelson et al 2007).
45.2.2 Effect of treatments on risks associated with bacterial vaginosis

While antibiotics are effective in eradicating bacterial vaginosis (McDonald et al 2007), treatment does not change the risk of preterm birth, low birth weight or premature rupture of the membranes in women at low risk of preterm birth. The Cochrane review (McDonald et al 2007) found:

- no statistically significant decrease in the risk of preterm birth at less than 37 weeks gestation for any treatment versus no treatment or placebo (n=5,888; OR 0.91; 95% CI 0.78-1.06)
- no evidence of an effect on birth before 34 weeks (n=851; OR 1.22; 95% CI 0.67–2.19) or birth before 32 weeks (n=3,565; OR 1.14; 95% CI 0.76-1.70)
- no difference in the incidence of low birth weight (n=4,107; OR 0.95; 95% CI 0.77-1.17)
- no decrease in the risk of preterm rupture of membranes (n=2,579; OR 0.88; 95% CI 0.61-1.28)
- a possible reduction in risk of preterm birth if treatment is given before 20 weeks pregnancy (n=2,387; OR 0.72; 95% CI 0.55-0.95).

In women with a previous preterm birth, treatment did not affect the risk of subsequent preterm birth (n=622; OR 0.83; 95% CI 0.59-1.17). However, two small studies showed a decrease in the risk of preterm rupture of the membranes (OR 0.14; 95% CI 0.05-0.38) and low birth weight (OR 0.31; 95% CI 0.13-0.75; n=114).

Although there is no evidence that testing and treating all women with bacterial vaginosis in the antenatal period will have a major impact on the rate of preterm birth, there is emerging evidence that early treatment may be more effective (McDonald et al 2007).

### Recommendation

**Grade B**

50. Do not routinely offer pregnant women testing for bacterial vaginosis.

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

### Practice point

**AAA.** Early treatment (before 20 weeks pregnancy) of proven bacterial vaginosis may be beneficial for women with a previous preterm birth.

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

45.3 Practice summary: testing for bacterial vaginosis

**When:** In the antenatal period

**Who:** Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker

- Document and follow-up: If a woman is tested for bacterial vaginosis, note the results in her record. Have a system in place so that women who test positive are given information about treatments.

45.4 Resources


45.5 References


46 Thyroid dysfunction

There is currently insufficient evidence to support routine testing for thyroid dysfunction. As there is an association between thyroid dysfunction and adverse pregnancy and fetal outcomes, the focus is on identifying and treating women at high risk of the condition.

46.1 Background

Thyroid dysfunction in pregnancy often results from a pre-existing condition but may arise during pregnancy. Thyroid dysfunction involves either over or under activity of the thyroid gland. E17-181212

- **Hyperthyroidism**, in which thyroid hormone levels are raised, is most commonly caused by Graves’ disease, an autoimmune disorder (Marx et al 2008) but may also be induced by excessive exposure to iodine (de Benoist et al 2008). Symptoms include weight loss, heat intolerance and hypertension. It is generally diagnosed and treated before conception (Mestman 2004; Marx et al 2008).

- **Hypothyroidism** is a thyroid hormone deficiency, which may be overt (with symptoms including cold sensitivity, fatigue and dry skin) (De Groot et al 2012), or subclinical with few or no symptoms but abnormal levels of thyroid hormones (Reid et al 2013). It is most commonly caused by endemic iodine deficiency (Lazarus 2011). Autoimmune thyroid disease (e.g. Hashimoto’s disease) is the most common cause when iodine intake is adequate (Reid et al 2013). Detection of thyroid autoantibodies (to thyroid peroxidase or thyroglobulin) confirms the autoimmune origin of hypothyroidism, or in euthyroid women may indicate increased risk of thyroid dysfunction (Reid et al 2013).

46.1.1 Incidence

- Thyroid dysfunction is the second most common endocrine condition (after diabetes mellitus) affecting women of reproductive age (Reid et al 2013).

- The incidence of hyperthyroidism in pregnancy is in the range of 0.1–0.4% (De Groot et al 2012).

- Studies in relatively iodine-sufficient populations estimate an incidence of 0.3–0.5% for overt hypothyroidism and 3–5% for subclinical hypothyroidism (De Groot et al 2012). It is likely that incidence would be higher in areas of iodine insufficiency.

- The Australian National Health Survey (ABS 2014) found that, in 2011–2012, iodine levels were relatively low among women of childbearing age. Although women aged 16–44 years had sufficient iodine levels overall, around 18% had iodine levels considered moderately deficient (compared to the national average of 13%) and nearly two thirds (62%) had an iodine level below that recommended by WHO for pregnant and breastfeeding women.

- The WHO Global Database on Iodine Deficiency identifies moderate iodine deficiency in some African countries (Algeria, Chad, Senegal), Afghanistan, Belarus and Vietnam (de Benoist et al 2008). Urinary iodine levels associated with a high risk of iodine-induced hyperthyroidism or autoimmune thyroid disease were identified in Brazil, Chile, Ecuador, Liberia and Uganda.

- Thyroid autoantibodies are present in 5–15% of women of childbearing age (De Groot et al 2012).

46.1.2 Risks associated with thyroid dysfunction in pregnancy

- Overt hypothyroidism and hyperthyroidism are associated with a range of adverse obstetric outcomes (miscarriage, pre-eclampsia, placental abruption, preterm birth and post-partum haemorrhage) and risks to the baby (low birth weight, increased neonatal respiratory distress and decreased cognitive function) (Lazarus 2011; Lazarus et al 2012).

- Studies are now focusing on the potential effect of subclinical thyroid dysfunction and autoimmune disease. A systematic review found that subclinical hypothyroidism in pregnancy is associated with pre-eclampsia (OR 1.7; 95%CI 1.1 to 2.6) and perinatal mortality (OR 2.7; 95%CI 1.6 to 4.7) and the presence of maternal thyroid autoantibodies is associated with miscarriage (OR 3.73; 95%CI 1.8 to 7.6) and preterm birth (OR 1.9; 95%CI 1.1 to 3.5) (van den Boogaard et al 2011). A meta-analysis of cohort studies had similar findings for miscarriage (OR 3.90; 95%CI 2.48 to 6.12) (Thangaratinam et al 2011) and another for preterm birth (RR 1.41; 95%CI 1.08 to 1.84) (He et al 2012).
46.2 Testing for thyroid dysfunction

Routine testing for thyroid dysfunction is not recommended by RANZCOG (RANZCOG 2015) or in the United States (ACOG 2015) and is not addressed in the United Kingdom antenatal guidelines (NICE updated 2016).

46.2.1 Benefits and harms of testing for thyroid dysfunction

More evidence is needed to assess the benefits or harms of different approaches to testing for thyroid dysfunction in pregnancy on maternal, infant and child health outcomes. A recent Cochrane review (Spencer et al 2015) found that:

- compared to case finding, universal testing increased diagnosis and subsequent treatment of thyroid dysfunction (moderate to high quality) but there were no clear differences in outcomes reported (pre-eclampsia, preterm birth, miscarriage, fetal or neonatal death) (moderate to high quality)
- compared to no testing, universal testing similarly increased diagnosis and subsequent treatment but there was no clear difference in neurosensory disability for the infant as a child (IQ<85 at 3 years) and other outcomes were not reported.

A subsequent RCT reported that the risk of miscarriage (3.1 vs 8.5%, RR 0.36, 95%CI 0.23 to 0.58, p< 0.001) was lower and the risk of caesarean section higher (41.0 vs 33.5%, RR 1.22, 95%CI 1.08 to 1.39, p<0.001) in the testing group than in the control group (low quality evidence) (Ma et al 2016). The difference in risk of preterm birth did not reach significance (p=0.772) (very low quality).
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46.2.2 Identifying women at high risk of thyroid dysfunction

While this is an evolving area of practice, the American Thyroid Association considers women with the following to be at high risk of thyroid disease (Alexander et al 2017):

- history of thyroid dysfunction
- symptoms or signs of thyroid dysfunction,
- presence of a goitre
- known thyroid antibody positivity.

Other risk factors for thyroid disease include (Alexander et al 2017):

- age >30 years
- history of type 1 diabetes or other autoimmune disorders
- history of pregnancy loss, preterm birth or infertility
- history of head or neck radiation or prior thyroid surgery
- family history of autoimmune thyroid disease or thyroid dysfunction
- BMI ≥40 kg/m²
- use of amiodarone, lithium, or recent administration of iodinated radiologic contrast
- two or more prior pregnancies
- residing in area of moderate to severe iodine deficiency.

Assessment of risk factors at the first antenatal visit is recommended (De Groot et al 2012). However, onset of thyroid dysfunction can occur later in pregnancy (Moleti et al 2009).

Consensus-based recommendation

| LV. | Recommend thyroid testing to pregnant women who are at increased risk of thyroid dysfunction. |
| Approved by NHMRC in October 2017; expires October 2022 |

46.2.3 Timing of testing

Low-level evidence was inconsistent regarding the timing of testing for thyroid dysfunction. One study found that first trimester testing identifies mainly minor elevations in thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH), which do not
predict adverse pregnancy outcomes (Ong et al 2014), while another found that testing in the second and third trimesters was of limited value (Ekinci et al 2015).

However, where thyroid function testing is indicated, ideally testing should take place as early as possible after 6 weeks gestation as women with overt hypothyroidism with a TSH >10 need urgent treatment to avoid effects on the fetus.

46.2.4 Interpreting thyroid function test results

Thyroid function is initially assessed through testing of TSH, with measurement of serum thyroxine if maternal TSH is either elevated or reduced.

Diagnosis of thyroid dysfunction in pregnancy is complicated by the fact that normal TSH levels differ from the non-pregnant state (Stagnaro-Green 2011). Applying the general laboratory reference range for TSH to pregnant women can result in misclassification of thyroid status (Dashe et al 2005; Stricker et al 2007; Gilbert et al 2008; Lee et al 2009). TSH levels vary with gestational age and between single and twin pregnancies (Dashe et al 2005). Pregnancy-specific reference ranges that take into consideration gestational age and fetal number (eg Panesaer et al 2001) should therefore be used. In addition, TSH and free thyroxine values differ according to the laboratory method used to perform testing.

46.2.5 Effectiveness and safety of treatments

For women with pre-existing thyroid disease, hormone levels are monitored throughout pregnancy and medications adjusted to maintain a euthyroid state. Regular monitoring and adjustment of medication dosage is also needed when thyroid dysfunction is detected during pregnancy.

46.2.6 Economic analysis

A review of the cost implications of routine testing for thyroid dysfunction was undertaken in 2014 (see separate document on economic analyses). The review found insufficient clinical evidence to show that treatment reduces adverse obstetric and neonatal outcomes. Additionally, there were no economic evaluations relevant to Australia to enable an assessment of the impact of a routine testing program for thyroid dysfunction to detect women with hypothyroidism who have not already been diagnosed. Further research is needed before a comprehensive economic analysis can be conducted.

46.3 Discussing thyroid dysfunction

Discussion to inform a woman’s decision-making about thyroid function testing should take place before testing and include that:

• thyroid function can be affected by autoimmune disorders or inadequate or excessive exposure to iodine in the diet
• a family history of thyroid dysfunction means that a woman is more likely to be at risk
• an under-active or over-active thyroid can cause complications to the pregnancy and risks to the baby
• as some symptoms of an over-active thyroid may be part of normal pregnancy (eg heat intolerance) and under-active thyroid may not cause symptoms, it is important to test thyroid function in women who have symptoms or are at high risk of thyroid problems (eg if they have recently arrived from a country with a high prevalence of iodine deficiency)
• consultation with a specialist may be necessary if thyroid problems are identified.
46.4 Practice summary: thyroid dysfunction

**When:** A woman has symptoms or risk factors for thyroid dysfunction

**Who:** Midwife; GP; specialist obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker; endocrinologist

- **Discuss the reasons for thyroid function testing:** Explain that it is important to check a woman’s thyroid hormone levels because of the effects that thyroid problems can have on the pregnancy and the baby.

- **Use pregnancy specific ranges:** If interpreting thyroid function test results, use pregnancy-specific reference ranges appropriate to the method used by the laboratory, that take into consideration gestational age and fetal number.

- **Take a holistic approach:** While iodine fortification of bread in Australia means that women will likely enter pregnancy with adequate iodine intake, supplementation (150 micrograms a day) is still recommended during pregnancy and breastfeeding. Women who have recently arrived in Australia may have previous exposure to inadequate or excessive iodine, depending on their country of origin.

- **Document and follow-up:** If a woman’s thyroid function is tested, tell her the results and note them in her antenatal record. Also, note whether thyroid dysfunction is newly diagnosed or was previously treated. Have a follow-up system in place to facilitate timely referral and treatment.

46.5 Resources


46.6 References


47 Vitamin D status

There is limited evidence to support testing of all women for vitamin D status in pregnancy and the benefits and harms of vitamin D supplementation in pregnancy remain unclear.

47.1 Background

Vitamin D is essential for bone development in children and skeletal health in adults. It regulates calcium and phosphate absorption and metabolism. Vitamin D is obtained through the direct action of sunlight on the skin (90%) or through dietary nutrients (10%), in particular, dairy products, eggs and fish.

Definitions of vitamin D sufficiency vary, with Australian organisations generally considering levels lower than 50 nmol/L as suboptimal (Nowson et al 2012; Paxton et al 2013; ABS 2014b; RANZCOG 2015).

47.1.1 Vitamin D status in Australia

The Australian Health Survey 2011-12 (ABS 2014b) found that most Australian adults had Vitamin D levels above 50 nmol/L, with 23% having lower levels. Prevalence of vitamin D levels lower than 50 nmol/L was:

- lower in summer (14%) and higher in winter (36%)
- relatively low across all the States and Territories in summer, ranging from 6% in Queensland to 19% in New South Wales
- particularly high in winter for those living in the south-eastern states of Australia, such as Victoria and ACT (49% compared with only 16% and 13% respectively in summer) but remained relatively low in winter for those in Queensland and the Northern Territory.

Differences were seen across geographical areas, with vitamin D levels lower than 50 nmol/L more common in major cities (27%) than in inner regional (16%), outer regional (13%) and remote areas (9%). Vitamin D levels lower than 50 nmol/L were much more common among people born in Southern and Central Asia, North-East Asia, South-East Asia, North Africa and the Middle East.

The Australian Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Survey (ABS 2014a) found that, in 2012-13, 26.5% Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander adults had a vitamin D level lower than <50 nmol/L. This pattern was similar for both men and women. Vitamin D levels lower than 50 nmol/L were more common in remote areas (38.7%) than in non-remote areas (23.0%) and vitamin D levels varied considerably by season.

Observational studies in Australia have reported vitamin D status in a range of populations:

- at two antenatal clinics in the ACT and New South Wales, the prevalence of levels lower than 50 nmol/L was 35% in Canberra and 25.7% in Campbelltown (Perampalam et al 2011)
- in a largely low-risk antenatal population in rural Victoria, around 5% had levels lower than 25 nmol/L (Teale & Cunningham 2010) and, at a Victorian metropolitan maternity service, 55% of women had vitamin D levels lower than 50 nmol/L (Davies-Tuck et al 2015)
- among women booking for antenatal care in Cairns, there were no significant differences overall in women’s vitamin D levels based on Indigenous status and all women had levels higher than 50 nmol/L (Bendall et al 2012)
- among women attending for antenatal care in Kalgoorlie, 56% of Aboriginal women and 20% of non-Aboriginal women had vitamin D levels lower than 50 nmol/L (Willis et al 2015)
- among Indigenous women receiving antenatal care in the Northern Territory, mean maternal vitamin D level was 104 nmol/L during pregnancy (mean 32 weeks gestation) and 80 nmol/L at birth and mean cord blood level was 54 nmol/L (Binks et al 2016)
- compared to migrant women without a refugee background, vitamin D levels lower than 75 nmol/L were generally more common among refugee women (Gibson-Helm et al 2014; Gibson-Helm et al 2015)
- risk-based testing for vitamin D status in pregnancy (South Australian Perinatal Practice Guidelines, in which ‘high-risk’ groups are defined as veiled, dark-skinned and house-bound women) failed to detect over half of women with vitamin D levels lower than 60 nmol/L (De Laine et al 2013).
47.1.2 Vitamin D status and maternal and pregnancy outcomes

Recent studies have explored possible associations between vitamin D status in pregnancy and subsequent outcomes. This evidence is generally of low quality and heterogeneous (ie in definition of optimal level, timing of serum testing) and findings are inconsistent.

- **Gestational diabetes and glucose tolerance**: One cohort study (Burris et al 2012) suggested that women with vitamin D levels lower than 25 nmol/L may be more likely to experience gestational diabetes (aOR 2.2; 95%CI 0.8 to 5.5), while another found no clear difference (aOR: 1.08; 95% CI: 0.74 to 1.56) (Schneuer et al 2014). A cross-sectional study suggested that, compared to vitamin D levels higher than 74 nmol/L in early pregnancy, levels lower than 50 nmol/L (p=0.008) or 50-74 nmol/L (p=0.005) increased the risk of gestational diabetes (Davies-Tuck et al 2015). Another cross-sectional study found that increases in maternal vitamin D were associated with decreases in fasting glucose (p<0.012) (McLeod et al 2012).

- **Pre-eclampsia**: The evidence was largely consistent in finding no association between vitamin D level and the risk of pre-eclampsia in cohort studies (Bomba-Opon et al 2014; Schneuer et al 2014; Gidlof et al 2015) and a case series (Davies-Tuck et al 2015).

- **Preterm birth**: No significant association between vitamin D level and preterm birth was found in a cohort study (p=0.09) (Schneuer et al 2014) and a case series (p=0.11) (Davies-Tuck et al 2015).

- **Small for gestational age**: The frequency of small-for-gestational-age newborns in cohort studies was similar in women with vitamin D levels below or above 20 nmol/L (Bomba-Opon et al 2014) or was increased with levels below 29.9 nmol/L (aOR 1.9 95%CI 1.4 to 2.7) (Leffelaar et al 2010) or below 25 nmol/L (aOR 1.58 95% CI 1.06 to 2.35, compared to 50-75 nmol/L) (Schneuer et al 2014).

- **Birth weight**: A cohort study (Bomba-Opon et al 2014) and a case series (Davies-Tuck et al 2015) found no association between maternal first trimester vitamin D levels and neonatal birth weight. Another cohort study (Leffelaar et al 2010) found an association between maternal vitamin D levels below 29.9 nmol/L and lower birth weights (-64.0 g, 95%CI -107.1 to -20.9).

- ** Macrosomia and infant growth**: A cohort study (Morales et al 2015) found that maternal vitamin D levels lower than 50 nmol/L were associated with increased risk of fetal macrosomia (abdominal circumference 90th centile; p=0.041) but not with rapid growth (p=0.11). Other cohort studies found an association between maternal vitamin D level below 29.9 nmol/L and accelerated growth (Leffelaar et al 2010) or risk of overweight at age 1 year (p=0.03), but not at 4 years (p=0.721) (Morales et al 2015).

47.2 Vitamin D status in pregnancy

Current guidance in Australia (Paxton et al 2013; RANZCOG 2015), New Zealand (NZ MoH 2013) and the United States (ACOG 2011) suggests that testing be considered for women at high risk of suboptimal vitamin D levels and supplementation advised for pregnant women with levels lower than 50 nmol/L. Guidance in Australia and New Zealand also suggests consideration of a daily dose of 400 IU for pregnant women at higher risk (without testing) (NZ MoH 2013; RANZCOG 2015). In the United Kingdom, it is recommended that all women be advised early in pregnancy to take a supplement of 400 IU daily (NICE updated 2016).

47.2.1 Determinants of vitamin D status in pregnancy

The recent evidence on the determinants of vitamin D status in pregnancy is largely observational and of varying quality. While the definitions used varied across studies, the evidence was consistent that lower vitamin D levels in pregnancy are associated with:


- increasing body mass index (BMI) (Perampalam et al 2011; Bartoszewicz et al 2013; McAree et al 2013; Davies-Tuck et al 2015; Karlsson et al 2015)

47.2.2 Benefits and harms of vitamin D supplementation

The clinical utility of testing vitamin D status is reliant on there being evidence for benefits from supplementation. While numerous studies have investigated vitamin D supplementation with and without calcium compared to placebo or no treatment, the evidence on the harms and benefits of vitamin D supplementation remains unclear (Harvey et al 2014; De-Regil et al 2016).

- **Serum vitamin D levels:** Studies were consistent in finding that vitamin D supplementation increased vitamin D levels in women (low quality) (Perumal et al 2015; Rodda et al 2015; De-Regil et al 2016) and newborns (Perumal et al 2015; Rodda et al 2015). However, a Cochrane review noted that the clinical significance of increased maternal vitamin D concentrations remains unclear (De-Regil et al 2016).

- **Maternal outcomes:** Evidence from the Cochrane review (De-Regil et al 2016) suggests a reduced risk of pre-eclampsia (RR 0.52; 95%CI 0.25 to 1.05; low quality) and gestational diabetes (RR 0.43; 95%CI 0.05 to 3.45; very low quality) among women supplemented with vitamin D compared to those receiving placebo or no treatment, though neither result was statistically significant. Among women supplemented with vitamin D plus calcium, there was a reduced risk of pre-eclampsia (RR 0.51; 95%CI 0.32 to 0.80; moderate quality) and the data suggest a reduced risk of gestational diabetes (data from a single study) (RR 0.33; 95%CI 0.01 to 7.84; low quality).

- **Birth outcomes:** The Cochrane review found a reduced risk of preterm birth compared to no treatment or placebo with vitamin D alone (RR 0.36; 95%CI 0.14 to 0.93; moderate quality) but an increased risk with vitamin D plus calcium (RR 1.57; 95%CI 1.02 to 2.43; moderate quality) (De-Regil et al 2016), while a later RCT found no significant effect on gestational age at birth among women receiving vitamin D plus calcium (p=0.37) (Asemi et al 2016). The Cochrane review of studies comparing vitamin D supplementation alone with no supplement found a reduced risk of low birth weight (RR 0.4; 95%CI 0.24 to 0.67; moderate quality), a possible increase in infant length (mean difference [MD] 0.70, 95%CI -0.02 to 1.43) and head circumference (MD 0.43, 95%CI 0.03 to 0.83) and no clear difference in rates of caesarean section (RR 0.95; 95%CI 0.69 to 1.31), stillbirths (RR 0.35; 95%CI 0.06 to 1.99) or neonatal deaths (RR 0.27; 95%CI 0.04 to 1.67) (De-Regil et al 2016). Another systematic review found that the evidence to support a relationship between maternal vitamin D status and birth weight is limited by its observational nature (Harvey et al 2014). A later RCT found no clear differences in birth weight (p=0.88), length (p=0.94), head circumference (p=0.13) or mode of birth (p=0.26) among newborns of women receiving vitamin D plus calcium and those receiving no intervention (Asemi et al 2016).

- **Infant outcomes:** A systematic review (Harvey et al 2014) found that the evidence to support an association between maternal vitamin D status and infant bone mass was limited by its observational nature and that evidence on serum calcium concentrations was limited by risk of bias. RCTs found that, compared to women receiving no supplement, there was no clear difference in bone mineral content in newborns of mothers receiving vitamin D alone (p=0.21) (Cooper et al 2016) or with calcium (p=0.63) (Diogenes et al 2015).

- **Vitamin D dosage:** Studies were consistent in finding that vitamin D level increased with dose (low quality evidence) (Dawodu et al 2013; Wagner et al 2013; Mutlu et al 2014; March et al 2015; Wall et al 2016). Studies comparing doses of 1000-1200 to 2000 IU daily found no difference in birth weight (p=0.8) (Mutlu et al 2014) or adverse effects (p>0.5) (March et al 2015). One study comparing 4,000 IU to 2,000 IU daily (Wagner et al 2013) found no clear difference in risk of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (RR 2.16; 95%CI 0.68 to 6.90; low quality evidence), gestational diabetes (RR 1.53; 95%CI 0.71 to 3.28; moderate quality evidence) or preterm birth (RR 0.86; 95%CI 0.51 to 1.45; moderate quality evidence) between groups. Adverse effects were not reported.
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47.3 **Practice summary: vitamin D status**

**When:** In the antenatal period

**Who:** Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker; pharmacist

- **Take a holistic approach:** Give women advice on the risks and benefits of sun exposure (see Section 47.4) and the dietary sources of vitamin D (dairy products, eggs and fish), taking cultural considerations into account.

- **Document and follow-up:** If a woman’s vitamin D deficiency status is tested, note the results in her record. Have a system in place so that women who are found to be deficient in vitamin D are given ongoing follow-up and information about supplementation.

47.4 **Resources**

Australian and New Zealand Bone and Mineral Society, the Australasian College of Dermatologists, Cancer Council Australia, Endocrine Society of Australia and Osteoporosis Australia (2016) *Risks and Benefits of Sun Exposure*.


47.5 **References**


Lehotay DC, Smi...


Human papilloma virus testing aims to identify women who may have a cervical abnormality and require further diagnostic testing.

48.1 Background

Cervical cancer is one of the most preventable and curable cancers. Cells in the cervix show changes or ‘abnormalities’ before any progression to cancer, which takes around 15 years. Most low-grade abnormalities regress without treatment. High-grade abnormalities may occur after persistent infection with human papilloma virus (HPV), which is a sexually transmitted infection that generally has no symptoms and resolves within 2 years. In a small number of women, persistent infection with a high-risk type of HPV may eventually lead to cervical cancer (AIHW 2012). HPV 16 and 18 are high-risk types that are detected in 70–80% of cases of cervical cancer in Australia (AIHW 2012). A program of vaccination against HPV types 16 and 18 (as well as types 6 and 11, which have a lower risk of causing cancer but are associated with 90% of genital warts) was introduced in Australia in 2007 (DoHA 2013).

48.1.1 Cervical cancer and screening in Australia

- **Prevalence of HPV infection:** An Australian study (before the introduction of the vaccination program) found that prevalence of HPV types 16 and 18 was similar for Aboriginal (9.4% and 4.1%) and non-Indigenous women (10.5% and 3.8%) (Garland et al 2011). Prevalence of HPV infection is higher among women from developing countries, with regions of high prevalence including Africa (22.1%) and Central America and Mexico (20.4%) (de Sanjose et al 2007). In all world regions, HPV prevalence is highest among women younger than 35 years (de Sanjose et al 2007).

- **Other risk factors for cervical cancer:** The risk of progression of HPV-related abnormalities to cervical cancer is increased by immunodeficiency (such as that caused by HIV infection), higher number of pregnancies, tobacco smoking, co-infection with other sexually transmitted infections and long-term (>5 years) use of oral contraceptives (WHO 2006).

- **Cervical cancer incidence and mortality:** Incidence of, and mortality from, cervical cancer in Australia have remained at historic lows of 9–10 new cases and 2 deaths per 100,000 women since 2002 (AIHW 2012). Incidence does not vary significantly with geographical region but mortality is higher in remote areas (AIHW 2012). In 2004–08, the incidence of cervical cancer was 2.8 times higher among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women than among non-Indigenous women (AIHW & AACR 2012), with data from 2006–10 showing that mortality was 4.4 times higher (AIHW 2012). Women from developing countries have an increased incidence, with the highest incidence (>45 per 100,000 women) found in Central and South America, eastern Africa, South and South-East Asia, and Melanesia (WHO 2006).

- **Uptake of cervical screening:** In 2009–2010, 57% of women in the Australian screening population had Pap smears, with participation highest among women aged 40–54 years (AIHW 2012). While cervical screening among women aged 20–24 years is low and decreasing, Australia is one of the few countries that screen this age group (AIHW 2012). Participation in screening did not vary significantly by geographical region but was lower in areas of social disadvantage (AIHW 2012). Information on participation for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women is not available, as Indigenous status of participants is not collected, although there is evidence that this population group is under-screened (Coory et al 2002; Binns & Condon 2006).
48.2 Testing for human papilloma virus

Current recommendations in Australia are that women be tested for HPV every 5 years.

Table F6: National Cervical Screening Program recommendations

| Who | All women who have ever been sexually active, including women who have received HPV vaccination |
| What | Human papilloma virus testing of cervical samples and liquid-based cytology testing on samples testing positive |
| When | Starting from the age of 25 years, or 1-2 years after first sexual intercourse, whichever is later |
| How often | Every 5 years if human papilloma is not detected |

Consensus-based recommendation

LVII. Offer women cervical screening as specified by the National Cervical Screening Program.

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019 UNDER REVIEW

48.2.1 Management of cervical abnormalities

There are few studies detailing the progression of low-grade abnormalities to cancer during pregnancy but this appears to be extremely rare (NHMRC 2005). The NHMRC recommends investigation of abnormalities during pregnancy as follows (NHMRC 2005):

- in general, women with a low-grade abnormality should have a repeat smear in 12 months
- women with high-grade abnormalities should be referred to a colposcopist experienced in assessing the pregnant cervix.

48.3 Practice summary: cervical abnormalities

When: Early antenatal visit, if the woman has not had a cervical screen in the recommended time period

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker; sexual health worker; women’s health provider

- Discuss the reasons for HPV testing: Explain that 5-yearly tests for HPV are recommended for sexually active women to detect human papilloma virus infection, as persistent infection can cause cervical abnormalities.

- Provide advice to women with a positive result: Explain that the test is not diagnostic.

- Take a holistic approach: Provide advice to assist women in accessing services (eg pathology services that bulk bill). Explain that inclusion on the National Cancer Screening Register is confidential and automatic (unless a woman requests otherwise) and that the registries send reminders to women who are overdue for testing.

- Document results and referrals: If a woman has a cervical screening test, tell her the results and note them in her antenatal record. Also document inclusion on the national registry and any follow-up required.

48.4 Resources


48.5 References


NHMRC (2005) *Screening to prevent cervical cancer: guidelines for the management of asymptomatic women with screen detected abnormalities*. Canberra: NHMRC.

Tests are available that enable women, who choose to do so, to identify whether there is a high probability of them having a baby with a chromosomal anomaly. This refined probability estimate can inform decisions about whether to have diagnostic testing. The level of decision-making needed at all stages in this process requires sensitive engagement with women, partners and family members.

49 Chromosomal anomalies

Fetal chromosomal anomalies identified through antenatal testing include:

- trisomy 21 (Down syndrome), the most common chromosomal condition, which is characterised by distinctive facial features, some intellectual disability and heart or digestive tract problems
- trisomies 18 (Edwards syndrome) and 13 (Patau syndrome), which are characterised by distinctive facial features, severe intellectual disability and other physical problems.

49.1 Chromosomal anomalies in Australia

The National Perinatal Statistics Unit last reported on congenital anomalies in Australia in 2002–03 (Abeywardana & Sullivan 2008). Trisomy 21 was the most commonly reported chromosomal condition at birth (1.11 per 1,000 births) but there was a high proportion (60%) of fetal deaths and terminations. When terminations were included, the estimated rate was 2.63 per 1,000 pregnancies. Trisomies 18 and 13 were associated with a large number of fetal deaths or terminations. All conditions were more common among women aged 40 years or older.

More recent Victorian data on antenatal diagnoses of chromosome anomalies based on amniocentesis and chorionic villus sampling results from 2013–15 gives an estimated detection rate of trisomy 21 of 2.61 per 1,000 births (1 in 380) (Hui et al 2016). Antenatal diagnoses of trisomies 18 and 13 were 0.5 (1 in 2,000) and 0.3 per 1,000 births (1 in 3,333) respectively (Hui et al 2016). Rates of trisomies 21, 18 and 13 were reported as 1.4, 0.5 and 0.1 in Queensland in 2010 (Howell et al 2011). New South Wales data from 2010 gives rates per 1,000 births (ie without terminations included) of 0.5 for trisomy 21 and 0.1 for trisomies 18 and 13 (CEE 2012). In New South Wales, the number of reported terminations of pregnancy associated with chromosomal anomalies rose from 246 in 2004 to 323 in 2009 (CEE 2012).
50 Tests for probability of chromosomal anomalies

50.1 Approaches to testing for high probability of chromosomal anomalies

A range of biochemical tests and ultrasound techniques has been developed that can significantly increase the identification of pregnancies with a high probability of chromosomal anomalies such as trisomy 21 (Down syndrome), trisomy 18 (Edwards syndrome) and trisomy 13 (Patau syndrome) (see Glossary). A high probability test result leads to the offer of a diagnostic test (chorionic villous sampling or amniocentesis) (see Chapter 51).

The suitability of any test depends on the gestational stage. Extensive pre- and post-test information and counselling are required, with consideration also being given to the woman’s preferences, availability of testing facilities, costs to the woman and, for ultrasound, operator expertise.

Current practice in Australia is that testing for chromosomal anomalies is done in the first trimester. The combined first trimester test comprises:

- ultrasound measurement of fetal nuchal translucency thickness between 11 weeks and 13 weeks 6 days gestation (when the fetus has a crown-rump length of 45–84 mm) combined with
- maternal plasma testing of pregnancy-associated placental protein-A (PAPP-A) and free beta-human chorionic gonadotrophin (β-hCG) between 9 weeks and 13 weeks, 6 days gestation.

An emerging practice is the use of cell-free deoxyribonucleic acid (cfDNA) testing (also referred to as non-invasive prenatal testing [NIPT]). cfDNA testing can be performed for detection of fetal anomaly from 10 weeks gestation. The test involves sequencing DNA fragments in maternal serum, mapping each DNA sequence to a reference genome to determine its chromosome of origin, and counting the number of fragments arising from each chromosome. If the fetus is affected by trisomy, a greater than expected number of the relevant chromosome fragments will be present in maternal serum.

Cell-free DNA testing has been used as a first-tier test, as a second-tier test (with women with increased probability on combined first trimester screening offered cfDNA or diagnostic testing) or in a contingent model (where women with an intermediate probability on combined first trimester screening are offered cfDNA testing and those with a very high probability are offered diagnostic testing). Evaluations of the implementation of contingent cfDNA testing in national screening programs have found improved performance of the program (Chitty et al 2016; Gil et al 2016; Oepkes et al 2016). Use of cfDNA as a first-tier test may be appropriate for women with infections where an invasive procedure carries an increased risk of mother-to-child transmission.

Later in pregnancy (14 to 20 weeks), the triple test (maternal serum testing of α-fetoprotein [AFP], free β-hCG [or total hCG] and unconjugated estriol) or the quadruple test (which also includes inhibin A) is used to assess the risk of fetal chromosomal anomaly. While this is an important publicly funded option for women who present later in pregnancy or for whom specialist ultrasound is not available (eg in rural and remote areas) or who cannot afford cfDNA testing (until this becomes publicly funded), the evidence for these tests has not been reviewed as part of the development of these Guidelines. As cfDNA testing can be performed at any gestation from 10 weeks, it should be discussed along with second trimester serum screening for women who have missed the gestational age window for combined first trimester screening.

Consensus-based recommendation
LVIII. In the first trimester, give all women/couples information about the purpose and implications of testing for probability of chromosomal anomalies to enable them to make informed choices.

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016
50.2 Effectiveness of tests for probability of chromosomal anomaly

Offering testing for probability of fetal chromosomal anomaly to all women in the first trimester, regardless of maternal age, is recommended in the United Kingdom (NICE 2008), the United States (ACOG 2007) and Australia (RANZCOG 2015).

50.2.1 Combined first trimester tests

The combined first trimester test identifies factors that are known to be associated with fetal chromosomal anomalies and that are independent of each other.

The probability of chromosomal and other anomalies and fetal and postnatal death increases with nuchal translucency thickness. Favourable outcomes have been observed in 92% of babies with nuchal translucency of 3.4 mm (95th centile) compared to 18% of those with nuchal translucency of $\geq 6.5$ mm (Ayras et al 2013). In some situations, the ultrasound component of first-trimester testing may be difficult or impossible (eg due to high BMI, fetal positioning).

Combining nuchal translucency assessment with testing of maternal serum increases the predictive value (Alexioy et al 2009). Recent evidence on the sensitivity of the combined test had the following findings.

- A systematic review (65 studies) found detection rates of 91.9% for trisomy 18 (false positive rate 3.5%), 83.1% for trisomy 13 (false positive rate 4.4%) and 70.1% for monosomy X (false positive rate 5.4%) (Metcalfe et al 2014).
- Cohort studies found detection rates of:
  - 92.2% for trisomy 21 (false positive rate 8.0%) (n=675,332) (Kagan et al 2015b).
  - 91.3% for trisomy 21, 97.1% for trisomy 18, 92.3% for trisomy 13, 80% for sex chromosome aneuploidies and 87% for atypical aneuploidies (n=21,052) (Kagan et al 2015a).
  - 87% for trisomy 21, 91.8% for trisomies 13 and 18, 86.0% for monosomy X, 8.1% for other sex chromosome aneuploidies, 89.3% for triploidy and 13.0% for other high-risk outcome (n=14,684) (Syngelaki et al 2014).

The pooled rate of invasive procedures was 59 per 1,000 pregnancies tested (Susman et al 2010; Syngelaki et al 2014; Kagan et al 2015a).

As fetal nuchal translucency thickness increases with crown-rump length (Pandya et al 1995; Edwards et al 2003) and the detection rate in serum is influenced by maternal age (Grati et al 2010), these factors are included in assessment algorithms. The inclusion of age in the calculation, either alone or in combination with serum test results, increases identification of the probability of chromosomal anomalies (Wapner et al 2003; Scott et al 2004; Centini et al 2005; Soergel et al 2006; Gebb & Dar 2009; Hagen et a 2010; Schmidt et al 2010). The maternal serum variables are also influenced by gestational age, maternal weight, ethnicity, smoking, in vitro fertilisation, parity and diabetes, the background risk for each being calculated and then included in the algorithm with nuchal translucency and maternal age. A history of a previous trisomy 21 pregnancy increases the chance of an abnormal test result for trisomy 21.

### Consensus-based recommendation

LIX. If a woman chooses to have the combined test (nuchal translucency thickness, free beta-human chorionic gonadotrophin, pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A), make arrangements so that blood for biochemical analysis is collected between 9 weeks and 13 weeks 6 days gestation and ultrasound assessment takes place between 11 weeks and 13 weeks 6 days gestation.
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50.2.2 Cell-free DNA testing

cfDNA testing has a higher detection rate for the more common trisomies than the combined first-trimester test: relative risk of detection 1.13 (1.08 to 1.18) for trisomy 21 and 1.22 (1.18 to 1.26) for trisomies 18 and 13 (Petersen et al 2014; Syngelaki et al 2014; Gyselaers et al 2015; Kagan et al 2015a; Kagan et al 2015b; McLennan et al 2016).

Fewer invasive procedures are required (10 per 1,000 women tested) (Susman et al 2010; Syngelaki et al 2014; Kagan et al 2015a) and rates of procedure-related miscarriage are lower (Morris et al 2014; Gyselaers et al 2015; Mersy et al 2015).
However, cfDNA testing may not detect less common chromosomal anomalies identified through ultrasound assessment: relative risk of detection 0.23 (0.16 to 0.33) for sex chromosome aneuploidies (Syngelaki et al 2014; Kagan et al 2015a; McLennan et al 2016) and 0.01 (0.00 to 0.04) for atypical aneuploidies (Petersen et al 2014; Syngelaki et al 2014; Kagan et al 2015a) if these are not included in the cfDNA test panel. As well, the economic costs of incorporating cfDNA testing for trisomy 21 as a first-tier test into Australian practice are currently higher than those for combined first trimester testing (costs associated with cfDNA testing for other chromosomal anomalies have not been investigated in Australia) (OLeary et al 2013; Ayres et al 2014). However, its use as a contingent screen for trisomy 21 at specific thresholds may be more cost-effective than combined first trimester testing (Maxwell et al 2017).

As cfDNA testing is available in Australia, health professionals need to have an understanding of the test, including that:

- the test may be conducted from 10 weeks onwards (Gil et al 2015a)
- the test is not diagnostic; a positive result requires confirmation by invasive procedures (Gil et al 2015a; Meck et al 2015; Neufeld-Kaiser et al 2015; McLennan et al 2016)
- diagnosis of fetal structural or genetic anomalies may be delayed or missed if the 11-13 week ultrasound is not performed in conjunction with cell-free DNA testing (RANZCOG 2015)
- although the false positive rate is lower than for combined first trimester testing, both false positives and false negatives occur (Gil et al 2015b; Mackie et al 2015; Taylor-Phillips et al 2016)
- low fetal fraction of DNA in the maternal circulation (Benachi et al 2015, Gil et al 2015b, Neufeld-Kaiser et al 2015, McLennan et al 2016), which is common among women with a BMI >30 kg/m² (Benachi et al 2015, Gil et al 2015b, Neufeld-Kaiser et al 2015, McLennan et al 2016), may yield an unreportable result; depending on the timing of the test, this may mean that women with a test failure miss the window for the combined first trimester test
- in rare circumstances, the test may raise suspicions of maternal or fetal conditions other than the fetal anomalies for which the test is being performed (Sachs et al 2015)
- the test is not currently covered by Medicare or private health insurance; costs to women are $400–$500, depending on location.

50.3 Discussing tests with women

At the first antenatal visit or as early as possible in pregnancy, the availability of testing for probability of chromosomal anomalies should be discussed and women given relevant written information or other appropriate materials (eg video, DVD) (see Section 53.1). Providing information is particularly important, due to the complexity of the process and the level of decision-making that may be required. A systematic review found levels of knowledge adequate for decision-making were at times not being achieved despite information leaflets and video having some effect (Green et al 2004). Studies in which knowledge about genetic testing is increased have not observed any corresponding increase in anxiety (Green et al 2004).

In discussing the tests so that women can give informed consent, it is important to talk in terms of ‘probability’ or ‘chance’ rather than ‘risk’ and to explain:

- it is the woman’s/couple’s decision whether any testing takes place
- the chromosomal anomalies for which testing is available and the differences between these conditions
- the different pathways for testing (ie combined first trimester test alone, cfDNA testing as first-tier or second-tier test or in a contingent model; see Section 50.1) and the risks and benefits of each approach
- the testing pathway, the decisions that need to be made at each point and their consequences
- the need for accurate assessment of gestational age so that tests are conducted at the appropriate time
- that results of these tests alone indicate a probability of fetal chromosomal anomaly but do not give a definitive diagnosis of any anomalies
- the sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive value for the woman’s age of the test and a full explanation of the reporting format of the test (eg high probability/low probability, 1 in 10, 1 in 300, 1 in 1,000)
• the options for women who receive a high-probability result, including information about chorionic villus sampling and amniocentesis (see Section 51.2)
• a large nuchal translucency associated with normal chromosomes may indicate other anomalies which may be structural (eg diaphragmatic hernia, cardiac anomaly) or genetic (eg Smith-Lemli-Opitz syndrome, Noonan syndrome)
• factors that increase the probability of fetal chromosomal anomalies (advanced maternal age, family history of chromosomal anomalies)
• where and how tests can be accessed if the woman chooses to have them
• the availability of evaluated decision aids (eg the Ottawa Decision Framework) (Arimori 2006; Nagle et al 2006; 2008) (see Section 53.1)
• the costs involved for the woman and the timeframe for receiving results.

Women may choose not to have a test or may choose to proceed directly to a diagnostic procedure instead (eg due to a preference to receive definitive information and/or concerns about the sensitivity of available tests). The choice a woman and her partner make about testing should not influence the subsequent care she receives.

**Practice point**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BBB.</th>
<th>Provide information about chromosomal anomalies and the tests used to identify their probability in a way that is appropriate and accessible to the individual woman.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**50.4 Care for women with a high probability of having a baby with a chromosomal anomaly**

Following a result that suggests a higher probability of having a baby with a chromosomal anomaly, the offer of referral to a health professional (eg a genetic counsellor) is an important consideration.

Antenatal care for women with a high probability of having a baby with a chromosomal anomaly should be supportive and respectful of women’s choices about continuation of pregnancy.
51 Diagnostic testing

The suitability of diagnostic tests is determined by gestational stage. The tests are invasive and have previously been associated with a 1% increased risk of miscarriage. However, recent studies suggest the additional risk is not significant (Akolekar et al 2015; Wulff et al 2016) and is related to the skill and experience of the person carrying out the test (Bakker et al 2016).

Diagnostic tests are based on chromosomal analysis of cells collected using:
- chorionic villus sampling (tissue from the villi of the chorion [part of the placenta]): testing takes place any time after 11 weeks pregnancy or
- amniocentesis (to sample fetal skin cells in the amniotic fluid): testing takes place after 15 weeks pregnancy.

51.1 Timing of diagnostic tests

There is high quality evidence from a Cochrane review (Alfirevic et al 2003) and a subsequent randomised trial (n=3,775) (Philip et al 2004) that amniocentesis before 15 weeks pregnancy increases the risk of miscarriage and procedure-related indicated terminations and the incidence of talipes equinovarus compared to chorionic villus sampling at that time. Transabdominal chorionic villus sampling is the method of choice for diagnosis of fetal chromosomal anomalies before 14 weeks pregnancy (Philip et al 2004).

Some women may not have the option of chorionic villus sampling (eg if it is not feasible for the test to be conducted before 14 weeks pregnancy or due to placental positioning) and others may choose to wait for amniocentesis after 15 weeks gestation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>If a woman chooses to have a diagnostic test for chromosomal anomaly, base the choice of test on gestational age (chorionic villus sampling before 14 weeks pregnancy and amniocentesis after 15 weeks) and the woman’s/couple’s preferences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

51.2 Discussing diagnostic tests

So that women can give informed consent to diagnostic testing, it is important to explain:
- the chromosomal anomalies that may be diagnosed
- the available tests, the gestational stage at which they should be undertaken, the process of the procedure and the risks involved
- the possibility that the procedure may not be successful or the result may not accurately reflect the fetal status
- the possibility of other fetal anomalies that are not identified by the test
- the timeframe for receiving results and making further decisions if necessary
- options to consider if a chromosomal anomaly is identified (eg continuation of the pregnancy or termination where this is permitted under jurisdictional legislation), the need for additional care if the pregnancy continues (eg specialist management of the pregnancy and the baby) and options for adoption or alternative care arrangements
- the health and developmental outcomes for children diagnosed with this condition and the potential long-term considerations for the woman and her family
- the impact on a woman and her family of a false negative or false positive result (eg anxiety among women receiving false positives may remain [Green et al 2004])
- costs involved and how they are to be met.
51.3 Discussing diagnostic test results

When a woman has a diagnostic test and fetal chromosomal anomaly is detected, follow-up with an appropriate health professional should occur at the earliest opportunity. Appropriate health professionals include obstetricians, midwives experienced in genetic counselling, genetic counsellors and clinical geneticists.

Careful consideration should be given to the way diagnostic test results are conveyed and experienced interpreters should be used when this is necessary to enable effective communication. It is very important that there is no negative commentary on the condition diagnosed and that women do not feel pressured into any course of action. Accurate information about the chromosomal condition should be given and women offered information about relevant support organisations (see Section 53.1).

Women receiving a diagnosis of fetal chromosomal anomaly may be unable to absorb information for some time and follow-up support may require several consultations. Counselling should be sensitive to the nature of decisions to be taken, should respect individual decisions and allow time to reach decisions (NSW Health 2007). Appropriate follow-up when an anomaly is detected may require referral to genetic counselling services, other professional services or support networks.

If a woman has a normal diagnostic test result, she should be advised of the residual probability of having a baby with a chromosomal anomaly as the diagnostic tests have a sensitivity of less than 100%.

**Consensus-based recommendation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LX.</th>
<th>Offer rapid access to appropriate counselling and ongoing support by trained health professionals to women who receive a diagnosis of fetal chromosomal anomaly.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Practice point**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCC.</th>
<th>Refer women with a high-probability test result but negative diagnostic test for further specialist assessment because of the increased likelihood of other fetal anomalies.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
52 Other considerations in testing for fetal chromosomal anomalies

52.1 Availability and uptake of testing

The range of tests available, policies for testing and uptake by women vary regionally (O’Leary et al 2006). Overall, approximately 50% of pregnant women participated in nuchal translucency ultrasound in 2007-08 (Nisbet et al 2010). A Victorian study found the uptake of combined first trimester screening to be 70-80% in recent years (Hui et al 2016).

Studies in Victoria and Queensland have shown higher uptake of testing in metropolitan areas and in private health care and lower rates of diagnosis of Down syndrome in urban areas and public health care (Muggli et al 2006; Coory et al 2007). Lower rates of access to testing in rural areas may reflect lack of transport, low levels of support and income in these areas and women’s attitudes. However, it has been suggested that low uptake of testing among women from low socioeconomic groups reflects lower rates of informed choice rather than women’s attitudes (Dormandy et al 2005).

A West Australian study found the lowest uptake of testing was among women who were Aboriginal (14.9%), living in remote areas (38.0%), under the age of 25 (40.2%), in the lowest socioeconomic group (41.6%) and with three or more children (48.4%). Logistic regression analysis showed all socio-demographic factors to be strongly associated with screening behaviour, with adjustment for ethnicity, socio-economic status, age, parity and area of residence (Maxwell et al 2011).

A more recent study into testing for chromosomal anomalies among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women (MSHR 2010) has highlighted the importance of providing information about testing and identified challenges involved in offering testing, particularly in remote areas. These included late presentation in pregnancy, difficulties establishing accurate gestational age, limited consultation time to discuss the testing process, competing priorities in antenatal care, confusion about what needs to be done and when, and organisational logistics (eg women’s travel, where to send blood, referral procedures).

Practice point

DDD. Support all women to access testing for chromosomal anomalies in a timely manner.

| Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016 |

52.2 Health professional education

Health professionals caring for pregnant women should undertake continuing education regarding testing for probability of chromosomal anomalies and be aware of current tests available and the settings in which they can be implemented (RANZCOG 2015). This includes education about:

- the conditions being tested for, life outcomes, lived experiences of families and individuals with the condition and supports available for families and individuals with the condition
- delivery of ‘challenging news’ rather than ‘bad news’ with respect to chromosomal conditions.

52.3 Accreditation of ultrasound operators

The ability to achieve a reliable measurement of nuchal translucency depends on appropriate training and adherence to a standard technique to achieve uniformity of results among different operators (Nicolaides 2004). Accreditation of ultrasound operators to conduct nuchal translucency measurement should be through the Nuchal Translucency - Ultrasound, Education and Monitoring Program administered through RANZCOG.

52.4 Quality assurance

All laboratories used for testing must be accredited by the National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA). External and internal quality control measures should be in place.
53 Practice summary: testing for chromosomal anomalies

When: At the first antenatal visit

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker; genetic counsellor

- Discuss the process of testing for chromosomal anomalies: Explain the purpose, the process involved, the conditions for which testing is available and that it is the woman’s choice whether any tests are carried out.

- Consider timing: For women who choose to have combined first trimester testing, make arrangements for the tests to be carried out before 13 weeks and 6 days pregnancy. If a woman elects to have cfDNA testing, this may be conducted from 10 weeks. For women who miss the window for combined first trimester testing and do not have access to cfDNA testing, consider advising maternal serum testing.

- Offer women with a high-probability result referral to an appropriately trained health professional: This may assist women in considering options and making decisions about diagnostic testing. If a diagnostic test is carried out and chromosomal anomaly diagnosed, referral for counselling should occur at the earliest opportunity.

- Learn about locally available resources: Available testing services and support organisations will vary by location. Be aware of local support groups and counselling services.

53.1 Resources

53.1.1 Health professional resources

Resources available to health professionals include websites and professional organisations, seminars, courses and printed materials, which are regularly revised and updated so that they reflect current practice. Pamphlets and other information are available from local genetic services and obstetric ultrasound/radiology practices.


53.1.2 Resources for women and their families

- Down Syndrome Australia
- Centre for Genetics Education: Screening tests for your baby
- Centre for Genetics Education: Fact sheet 27 Non-invasive prenatal testing
- Centre for Genetics Education: Fact sheet 36 Trisomy 21: Down syndrome
- Centre for Genetics Education: Fact sheet 37 Trisomy 13: Patau syndrome
- Centre for Genetics Education: Fact sheet 38 Trisomy 18: Edwards syndrome
- Centre for Genetics Education: Fact sheet 39 Klinefelter syndrome
- Centre for Genetics Education: Fact sheet 40 Turner syndrome

Decision Aid for Prenatal Testing for Fetal Abnormalities — Your Choice: Screening & Diagnostic tests in Pregnancy Murdoch Children’s Institute

Genetic Alliance

Ottawa Personal Decision Aid

Support After Fetal Diagnosis of an Abnormality (SAFDA): When your unborn baby has a problem: how to manage the weeks ahead

Support After Fetal Diagnosis of an Abnormality (SAFDA): The impact, options and afterwards

53.2 References


PART I: COMMON CONDITIONS DURING PREGNANCY

A number of conditions are common during pregnancy. While these conditions are not harmful to the pregnancy, they can be distressing or debilitating and women may seek advice about managing symptoms. Recommendations are based on evidence about the effectiveness of interventions in reducing symptoms.

Table H1 presents a summary of advice on common conditions during pregnancy considered a priority for inclusion in these Guidelines. Advice on other conditions, such as vaginal discharge and backache is included in the NICE Guidelines (NICE 2008).

Table H1: Summary of advice for women about common conditions during pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common condition</th>
<th>Advice</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>Although distressing and debilitating for some women, nausea and vomiting usually resolves spontaneously by 16 to 20 weeks pregnancy and is not generally associated with pregnancy complications. Discontinuing iron-containing multivitamins may be advisable while symptoms are present.</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>Increasing dietary fibre intake and taking bran or wheat fibre supplements may relieve constipation. Stimulating laxatives are more effective than preparations that add bulk but are more likely to cause diarrhoea or abdominal pain.</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflux (heartburn)</td>
<td>Heartburn may be improved by having small frequent meals, and reducing foods that cause symptoms on repeated occasions. Medications may also be considered for relieving heartburn.</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemorrhoids</td>
<td>Haemorrhoids may be improved by increasing fibre in the diet and drinking plenty of water; standard haemorrhoid creams can be considered if symptoms continue.</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varicose veins</td>
<td>Varicose veins will not generally cause harm to the woman or baby and usually improve after the birth.</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic girdle pain</td>
<td>Pregnancy-specific exercises, physiotherapy, acupuncture or use of a support garment may provide some relief from pelvic girdle pain.</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpal tunnel syndrome</td>
<td>There is little evidence on the effectiveness of treatments for carpal tunnel syndrome.</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nausea and vomiting are common in pregnancy, particularly in the first trimester, with the severity varying greatly among pregnant women. A range of non-pharmacological and pharmacological interventions can be used to assist in managing nausea and vomiting in pregnancy. Women may find these interventions useful, although the evidence for their effectiveness remains inconclusive.

54.1 Background

Nausea and vomiting in pregnancy ranges from mild discomfort to significant morbidity (King & Murphy 2009). Symptoms generally start around 4-9 weeks of pregnancy (Gadsby et al 1993). Nausea and vomiting due to other conditions (eg gastrointestinal, metabolic, neurologic or genitourinary) should always be excluded, particularly in women who report nausea or vomiting for the first time after 10 weeks (Koch & Frissora 2003).

The most severe form of nausea and vomiting in pregnancy is Hyperemesis gravidarum, which is intractable vomiting in early pregnancy, leading to dehydration and ketonuria severe enough to justify hospital admission and intravenous fluid therapy (Bottomley & Bourne 2009).

The cause of nausea and vomiting in pregnancy is not known but is probably multifactorial (Ebrahimi et al 2010). The rise in human chorionic gonadotrophin during pregnancy has been implicated; however, data about its association with nausea and vomiting are conflicting (Weigel & Weigel 1989).

54.1.1 Nausea and vomiting in pregnancy

- **Prevalence:** Nausea is the most common gastrointestinal symptom of pregnancy, occurring in 80-85% of all pregnancies during the first trimester, with vomiting an associated complaint in approximately 52% of women (Whitehead et al 1992; Gadsby et al 1993). Retching (or dry heaving, without expulsion of the stomach’s contents) has been described as a distinct symptom that is increasingly measured separately to vomiting and nausea (Matthews et al 2010).

- **Timing:** Most women report nausea and vomiting within 8 weeks of their LMP (94%), with over one-third (34%) reporting symptoms within 4 weeks of their LMP (Whitehead et al 1992; Gadsby et al 1993). Most women (87-91%) report cessation of symptoms by 16-20 weeks of pregnancy. Although nausea and vomiting is commonly referred to as ‘morning sickness’, only 11-18% of women report having nausea and vomiting confined to the mornings (Whitehead et al 1992; Gadsby et al 1993).

- **Hyperemesis gravidarum:** This condition is much less common, affecting 0.3-1.5% of women (Bottomley & Bourne 2009). Symptoms typically start between 5 and 10 weeks pregnancy and resolve by 20 weeks. However, up to 10% of women will continue to vomit throughout the pregnancy. The hospital admission rate for the condition falls from 8 weeks onwards (Bottomley & Bourne 2009).

54.1.2 Impact of nausea and vomiting in pregnancy

Although distressing and debilitating for some women, nausea and vomiting do not appear to have a negative impact on pregnancy outcomes. A systematic review of observational studies found a reduced risk of miscarriage associated with nausea and vomiting (OR 0.36; 95%CI 0.32 to 0.42) and conflicting data regarding reduced risk for perinatal mortality (Weigel & Weigel 1989). No studies have reported an association between nausea and vomiting in pregnancy and teratogenicity (Klebanoff & Mills 1986).

However, despite reassurance that nausea and vomiting do not have harmful effects on pregnancy outcomes, these symptoms can have a severe impact on a pregnant woman’s quality of life. Two observational studies have reported on the detrimental impact that nausea and vomiting may have on women’s day-to-day activities, relationships, use of healthcare resources and need for time off work (Smith et al 2000; Attard et al 2002).

54.2 Managing nausea and vomiting in pregnancy

The systematic review conducted to inform these Guidelines identified additional evidence that was consistent with the NICE guidelines. The highest quality study, a Cochrane review (Matthews et al 2010) examined 27 trials of interventions including acustimulation, acupuncture, ginger, vitamin B6 and several antiemetic medicines.
Systematic review of studies in this area is complicated by the heterogeneity of studies and limited information on outcomes (Matthews et al 2010).

The available evidence suggests the following.

- **Ginger**: While small RCTs have found reduced severity of nausea and vomiting with ginger products (syrup or capsules) (Murphy 1998; Vutyanich et al 2001; Keating & Chez 2002), there is limited and inconsistent evidence of their effectiveness, although there is evidence that their use may be helpful to women (Matthews et al 2010). Dosages of up to 250 mg four times a day appear to be safe (Vutyanich et al 2001).

- **Acupressure, acustimulation and acupuncture**: While some evidence from systematic reviews of RCTs (Murphy 1998; Vickers 1996) supports the use of P6 acupressure and it appears to be safe in pregnancy (Smith et al 2000), the evidence on the effectiveness of P6 acupressure, auricular acupressure and acustimulation of the P6 point is inconsistent and limited and there appears to be no significant benefit of acupuncture (P6 or traditional) (Matthews et al 2010).

- **Pyridoxine (vitamin B6)**: There is limited evidence to support the use of pyridoxine (Matthews et al 2010) and concerns about possible toxicity at high doses.

- **Antihistamines**: A meta-analysis of 12 RCTs that compared antihistamines ± pyridoxine with placebo or no treatment found a significant reduction in nausea in the treated group (OR 0.17; 95% CI 0.13 to 0.21) (Jewell & Young 2001). A systematic review of three RCTs (n=389) found that phenothiazines reduced nausea or vomiting when compared with placebo (RR 0.31; 95% CI 0.24 to 0.42) (Mazzotta & Magee 2000), although different phenothiazines were grouped and one of the trials recruited women after the first trimester. The bulk of the evidence demonstrates no association between birth defects and phenothiazine use during pregnancy (n=2,948; RR 1.03; 95% CI 0.88 to 1.22) (Mazzotta & Magee 2000; Attard et al 2002).

- **Other pharmacological treatments**: Antiemetic medicines are more likely to have a place in treatment of severe symptoms and the intractable nausea and vomiting of Hyperemesis gravidarum than in the relief of mild or moderate nausea and vomiting (Matthews et al 2010).

It is currently not possible to identify with certainty interventions for nausea and vomiting in early pregnancy that are both safe and effective (Matthews et al 2010). As nausea and vomiting mostly resolves within 16 to 20 weeks with no harm to the pregnancy, prescribed treatment in the first trimester is usually not indicated unless the symptoms are severe and debilitating (BMA 2003).

### Practice point

**EEE.** Women who experience nausea and vomiting in pregnancy can be advised that, while it may be distressing, it usually resolves spontaneously by 16 to 20 weeks pregnancy and is not generally associated with a poor pregnancy outcome.

*Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016*

### 54.2.1 Discontinuing iron

Iron supplementation may be an aggravating factor in nausea and vomiting. The systematic review conducted for these Guidelines identified a prospective cohort study (Gill et al 2009) in which 63 of 97 (p=0.001) women with severe nausea qualitatively reported an improvement in symptoms after discontinuing iron-containing antenatal multivitamins. If multivitamins are discontinued, consideration should be given to ensuring folate and iodine intake remain sufficient.

### Practice point

**FFF.** Discontinuing iron-containing multivitamins for the period that women have symptoms of nausea and vomiting may improve symptoms.

*Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016*

### 54.2.2 Oral health

Nausea and vomiting have the potential to affect oral health and women should be given advice on how to minimise these effects (see Chapter 15).
54.3 Practice summary: managing nausea and vomiting

When: At the first contact with all women and at subsequent contacts for women who report nausea and vomiting

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker; dietitian; pharmacist

- Inform women that nausea and vomiting is not associated with medium or long-term adverse effects: Explain that nausea and vomiting is common in pregnancy, is not necessarily confined to the morning and is likely to lessen by week 16.

- Provide lifestyle/diet advice: Acknowledge that nausea and vomiting affects quality of life, and suggest tips on managing nausea and vomiting, including drinking plenty of fluids, eating little and often during the day, getting plenty of rest and avoiding fatty or spicy food. Avoiding iron-containing multivitamins while nausea and vomiting are present may also help.

- Discuss non-pharmacological and pharmacological treatments: If the woman asks about treatments for nausea and vomiting, suggest interventions that may help and are thought to be safe, beginning with non-pharmacological approaches. The safety and effectiveness of antiemetics should be discussed with women with more severe symptoms who choose to consider medication.

54.4 Resources


54.5 References


55 Constipation

Constipation is a common gastrointestinal symptom in pregnancy, particularly in the first trimester. Guidance about increasing dietary fibre and appropriate use of laxatives may assist women to treat constipation and reduce the risk of further episodes.

55.1 Background

Constipation is the delay in the passage of food residue, associated with painful defecation and abdominal discomfort. Rising levels of progesterone in pregnancy can cause a reduction in gastric motility and increased gastric transit time. Poor dietary fibre intake can contribute to women experiencing constipation during pregnancy, as at any time of life. Iron supplementation, common during pregnancy, is also associated with constipation (Bradley et al 2007). In Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities with a high prevalence of anaemia, iron supplementation is common.

Constipation is generally defined by Rome II criteria: the presence of at least two of the following symptoms for at least one in four defecations: straining, lumpy or hard stools, sensation of incomplete evacuation, sensation of anorectal obstruction, manual manoeuvres to facilitate defecation, and fewer than three defecations per week.

55.1.1 Prevalence of constipation in pregnancy

Constipation is a commonly reported condition during pregnancy that appears to decrease as the pregnancy progresses.

- A case series study (Meyer et al 1994) found that 39% of pregnant women reported symptoms of constipation at 14 weeks, 30% at 28 weeks and 20% at 36 weeks; this study may have resulted in overestimates, as routine iron supplementation was recommended for all pregnant women in the United Kingdom at the time the study was conducted.

- Later studies have found that constipation affects up to 25% of women during pregnancy:
  
  - a prospective case series study (Bradley et al 2007) found prevalence rates of 24% (95% CI 16-33%), 26% (95% CI 17-38%), 16% (95% CI 8-26%) in the first, second, and third trimesters, respectively. In multivariable longitudinal analysis, iron supplements (OR 3.5; 95% CI 1.04-12.10) and past constipation treatment (OR 3.58; 95% CI 1.50-8.57) were associated with constipation during pregnancy
  
  - a correlational study (Ponce et al 2008) found prevalence rates of 29.6%, 19% and 21.8% in the first, second and third trimesters respectively. This study also reported laxative use among pregnant women as 11% (95% CI 7-16), 15% (95% CI 10-21) and 13.5% (95% C18-19) in the first, second and third trimesters.

55.2 Guidance on managing constipation

The first-line treatment for constipation is increasing dietary fibre and fluid intake. Dietary fibre intake can be improved by eating more wholegrain foods, fruit and vegetables, or through wheat or bran fibre supplementation. Where fibre supplementation does not alleviate symptoms, laxatives (stimulant, bulk-forming or osmotic) may be helpful in the short-term, although they can cause adverse side effects such as abdominal pain and diarrhoea.
55.2.1 Effectiveness of treatments

Findings are consistent across the NICE guidelines and the systematic review conducted to inform these Guidelines.

• **Increasing fluid intake:** while there are no RCTs or cohort studies in this area, there is some evidence to suggest that dietary factors such as water intake may play a role in preventing, or alleviating, bowel habit perturbations during and after pregnancy (Derbyshire et al 2006). In spite of the lack of high-level evidence, increased fluid intake should be recommended as one of the first measures to relieve constipation in pregnancy. Increasing fluid intake is not expensive, is readily available and has several other beneficial effects during pregnancy (Vasquez 2008).

• **Dietary fibre supplementation:** Evidence from a Cochrane review (Jewell & Young 2009) based on two RCTs (n = 215) supports the effectiveness of fibre supplementation in safely treating constipation in pregnancy. Fibre supplements were found to increase the frequency of defecation (OR: 0.18; 95% CI 0.05–0.67), lead to softer stools and appear to have no adverse effects.

• **Laxatives:** The same Cochrane review (Jewell & Young 2009) found that when discomfort was not alleviated by fibre supplementation, stimulant laxatives were more effective than bulk-forming laxatives (Peto OR 0.30; 95% CI 0.14–0.61), although stimulants were associated with significantly more abdominal pain and diarrhoea. Preliminary evidence indicates that osmotic laxatives (eg polyethylene glycol or PEG) are effective and well tolerated during pregnancy (Neri et al 2004) but currently there is insufficient evidence about potential effects on the fetus (Vasquez 2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendations</th>
<th>Grade C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Offer women who are experiencing constipation information about increasing dietary fibre intake and taking bran or wheat fibre supplementation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advise women who choose to take laxatives that preparations that stimulate the bowel are more effective than those that add bulk but may cause more adverse effects such as diarrhoea and abdominal pain.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved by NHMRC in December 2011; expires December 2016

55.3 Practice summary: constipation

**When:** At the first contact with all women and at subsequent contacts for women who report symptoms of constipation

**Who:** Midwife; maternal and child health nurse; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health worker; multicultural health worker; practice nurse; allied health professional; pharmacist

☐ **Advise about fluid intake:** Drinking more fluids has a range of benefits and may assist in easing constipation. Water is a good source of fluids as it hydrates without adding additional energy to the diet. Other drinks such as milks and fruit juices add variety and nutrients. Intake of fluids containing added sugars should be moderated.

☐ **Talk about dietary fibre:** Advise all women to eat a wide variety of nutritious foods, including plenty of vegetables, fruit, wholegrain cereals and breads, nuts, seeds and legumes. Bran or wheat fibre supplementation is safe and effective during pregnancy and may relieve symptoms. Fibre supplements should be introduced slowly and plenty of water consumed while they are being taken.

☐ **Discuss laxative use:** Laxatives can be used to relieve symptoms but should not be used long-term. Bulk-forming laxatives may cause fewer side effects than stimulant laxatives.

55.4 Resources


55.5 References


Reflux (heartburn) is a common symptom in pregnancy. Most women can relieve mild symptoms by modifying their diet and lifestyle. Women with persistent or more severe symptoms may also require advice about specific treatments.

56.1 Background
Reflux (heartburn) is very common antenatally. While it is considered a normal part of a healthy pregnancy, symptoms may be frequent and distressing to women.

Reflux is generally a symptom of gastro-oesophageal reflux disorder (GORD), where some gastric contents are regurgitated into the oesophagus, causing discomfort and a burning sensation behind the sternum and/or throat. Acid regurgitation may also reach the pharynx, resulting in a bitter or sour taste in the mouth. While the exact causes of the increase in reflux during pregnancy are not clear, it is thought that hormonal effects on antireflux barriers in the lower oesophagus and on gastric function may play a part (Ali & Egan 2007; Majithia & Johnson 2012). When symptoms persist, further investigation may identify other causes (e.g. bariatric surgery, stomach cancer and Helicobacter pylori infection (Tiong et al 2006; Cherian et al 2008)) and treatment after the birth may be needed.

56.1.1 Incidence during pregnancy
- Reflux is estimated to occur in 30–50% of pregnancies, with the incidence up to 80% in some groups (Richter 2003; Ali & Egan 2007). Symptoms tend to become both more severe and frequent as pregnancy progresses.
- Older women and those having second or subsequent pregnancies are more likely to experience heartburn (Dowswell & Neilson 2008). There is also evidence suggesting that pre-pregnancy heartburn and weight gain during pregnancy increase the risk of heartburn during pregnancy (Rey et al 2007).

56.2 Discussing reflux
Reflux is not associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes and therefore treatment aims to relieve symptoms for women. There is limited evidence on the effectiveness and safety of current interventions. Generally, the first approach is advice on diet and lifestyle, either to reduce acid production or avoid reflux associated with postural change (Richter 2005).

56.2.1 Lifestyle approaches
Narrative reviews recommend lifestyle modifications for mild symptoms, including (Tytgat et al 2003; Ali & Egan 2007):
- abstaining from alcohol, tobacco and medications that may increase symptoms (e.g. anticholinergics, calcium channel antagonists)
- having smaller more frequent meals
- avoiding lying down within 2-3 hours of eating
- elevating the head of bed by 10-15 cm.

**Consensus-based recommendation**
LXI. Offer women experiencing mild symptoms of heartburn advice on lifestyle modifications and avoiding foods that cause symptoms on repeated occasions.
Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019

56.2.2 Treatments
A range of medications affecting different physiological processes (e.g. antacids, histamine-2 [H2] receptor antagonists, proton pump inhibitors) may be used to relieve persistent or severe symptoms (Dowswell & Neilson 2008).
RCT evidence on the safety of reflux medications during pregnancy is limited (Richter 2005). Available evidence from lower level studies suggests that the use of antacids, proton pump inhibitors and H2 blockers for reflux during pregnancy presents no known significant safety concern for either the mother or baby:

- antacids are considered safe in pregnancy and may be preferred by women as they give immediate relief; calcium-based formulations are preferable to those that contain aluminium (Tytgat et al 2003)
- the use of proton pump inhibitors during pregnancy is not associated with an increased risk for major congenital birth defects, spontaneous miscarriage, preterm birth, perinatal mortality or morbidity (Diav-Citrin et al 2005; Gill et al 2009a; Gill et al 2009b; Pasternak & Hviid 2010; Majithia & Johnson 2012; Matok et al 2012)
- the use of H2 blockers in pregnancy is not associated with any increase in risk of spontaneous miscarriage, preterm birth or small-for-gestational-age baby (Gill et al 2009b).

One small RCT (n=36) (da Silva et al 2009) found that the use of acupuncture in pregnancy may reduce reflux symptoms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>56 Give women who have persistent reflux information about treatments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 56.3 Practice summary: reflux

**When:** A woman is experiencing reflux

**Who:** Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker; accredited dietitian

- **Provide advice:** Advise women that the causes of reflux vary between individuals and avoiding the food and drinks that cause them reflux may reduce symptoms. Sleeping on the left side, raising the head of the bed, and not lying down after eating may also help. Reassure women that symptoms usually subside after pregnancy, but may recur in a subsequent pregnancy.

- **Discuss treatments:** Discuss any remedies the woman may be using to treat reflux. Advise women that if symptoms persist or become more severe, medication can be considered.

- **Take a holistic approach:** Assist women to identify food and drinks that may cause reflux and to find culturally appropriate alternatives. Consider costs if prescribing medication to treat reflux.

### 56.4 Resources


### 56.5 References


57  Haemorrhoids

Haemorrhoid symptoms are common in pregnancy, particularly in the second and third trimesters. Advice on avoiding constipation may assist women to prevent or lessen the effects of haemorrhoids. Topical products can be used to ease continuing symptoms.

57.1  Background

Haemorrhoids are enlarged, swollen veins around the anus that are characterised by anorectal bleeding, painful bowel movements, anal pain and anal itching. While the mechanism is not clear, this is thought to be a result of prolapse of the anal canal cushions, which play a role in maintaining continence. Constipation (see Chapter 55) is the major precipitating factor for haemorrhoids. Pregnancy also facilitates development or exacerbation of haemorrhoids, due to increased pressure in rectal veins caused by restriction of venous return by a woman’s enlarged uterus (Avsar & Keskin 2010).

57.1.1  Incidence during pregnancy

- Haemorrhoids that were present previously may become symptomatic for the first time in pregnancy. Haemorrhoidal symptoms are most common in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy and after birth (Avsar & Keskin 2010).
- While estimates vary, it is thought that 25-35% of pregnant women are affected by haemorrhoids (Staroselsky et al 2008; Abramowitz & Batallan 2003). One observational study found that 8% of pregnant women (n=165) experienced thrombosed external haemorrhoids in the last 3 months of pregnancy (Abramowitz et al 2002).

57.1.2  Diagnosis

Pain with bowel movements, bleeding and itching are often the first signs and symptoms of haemorrhoids. Diagnosis is made by examining the anus and anal canal, usually by inspection. Digital rectal examination and endoscopy (sigmoidoscopy and colonoscopy) may also be used. It is important to rule out more serious causes of bleeding (Avsar & Keskin 2010).

57.2  Discussing haemorrhoids

57.2.1  Effectiveness of treatments

Treatment during pregnancy aims mainly to relieve symptoms and control pain (Avsar & Keskin 2010).

Most evidence for the effectiveness of haemorrhoid treatments comes from studies of non-pregnant patients. Given the overall lack of evidence, there is consensus in clinical reviews for conservative management in pregnancy including avoiding constipation, dietary modification, dietary fibre supplementation and stool softeners (Avsar & Keskin 2010; Dietrich et al 2008; Wald 2003).

Topical products with analgesics and anti-inflammatory effects provide short-term local relief of symptoms. There is no evidence on the effectiveness or safety of creams used in pregnancy; however, the small doses and limited systemic absorption mean that they are unlikely to harm the baby when used in the third trimester (Staroselsky et al 2008).

While surgical removal of haemorrhoids may be a consideration in extreme circumstances, surgery is rarely an appropriate intervention for pregnant women as haemorrhoidal symptoms often resolve spontaneously after the birth (Staroselsky et al 2008).

Consensus-based recommendation

LXII. Offer women who have haemorrhoids information about increasing dietary fibre and fluid intake. If clinical symptoms remain, advise women that they can consider using standard haemorrhoid creams.

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019
57.3 Practice summary: haemorrhoids

When: A woman had haemorrhoids before pregnancy or has symptoms of haemorrhoids

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker; accredited dietitian

☐ Provide advice: Advise women that avoiding constipation (see Chapter 55) is the best way to prevent and manage haemorrhoids during pregnancy and they should also try to avoid straining with bowel motions.

☐ Discuss treatments: Advise women that haemorrhoid creams can be used to further ease their symptoms.

☐ Take a holistic approach: Explore culturally appropriate, low cost ways for women to increase their fibre intake. Advise women who are increasing their fibre intake to make sure they drink adequate fluids.

57.4 Resources


57.5 References


58 Varicose veins

Varicose veins occur often within the general population and are a common symptom during pregnancy. While there is little evidence to support any specific treatment, use of compression stockings may help to relieve symptoms.

58.1 Background

Varicose veins are caused by the pooling of blood in the surface veins as a result of inefficient valves that would normally prevent blood draining back down the leg. They can occur as blue swollen veins on the calves, the inside of the legs and the vulva, and may cause itching and aching. Feet and ankles can also become swollen.

In 70–80% of women who develop problems with varicose veins during pregnancy, the symptoms appear during the first trimester, often within 2 to 3 weeks of gestation (Carr 2006). A family history of varicose veins, increasing number of full term pregnancies and increasing age have been found to be risk factors for the development of varicose veins (Dindelli et al 1993; Jawien 2003; Beebe-Dimmer et al 2005).

58.1.1 Factors influencing varicose veins in pregnancy

- *Elevated pressure*: Increased blood volume early in pregnancy, followed by fetal growth and weight gain, increase women’s intra-abdominal pressure and central venous return, with the potential for the elevated pressure to lead to valve failure and development of varices (Beebe-Dimmer et al 2005).


58.2 Discussing varicose veins

There is a lack of evidence about treatments for varicose veins that are effective and safe in pregnancy. Existing systematic reviews are based on small RCTs with a high risk of bias (Bamigboye & Hofmeyr 2006; Bamigboye & Smyth 2007). The evidence on vulval varices is too limited for conclusions to be drawn.

Given the overall lack of evidence, there is consensus in clinical reviews that advice to women should be based on reassurance, conservative management and symptom relief. Avoiding long periods of standing, use of compression stockings and elevating the feet have been found to improve symptoms in the general population (Carr 2006).

58.2.1 Treatments for varicose veins

- *Compression stockings*: A small RCT on preventing varicose veins in pregnancy with compression stockings (n=42) (Thaler et al 2001) found that compression stockings do not prevent or improve varicose veins, but do improve leg symptoms (pain, discomfort, cramps) (RR 0.74, 95% CI 0.59–0.93).

- *Surgery*: Surgical techniques including stripping and ligation, ablation or scleropathy, may be used to remove varicose veins when people remain symptomatic (Carr 2006). As symptoms of varicose veins often improve after the birth (Bamigboye & Smyth 2007), surgery is rarely considered an appropriate intervention for pregnant women.

**Consensus-based recommendation**

LXIII. Advise women that varicose veins are common during pregnancy, vary in severity, will not generally cause harm and usually improve after the birth. Correctly fitted compression stockings may be helpful.

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019
58.3 Practice summary: varicose veins

When: A woman had varicose veins before pregnancy or has symptoms of varicose veins

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker

- Provide advice: Explain that varicose veins are common in pregnancy, especially in second and subsequent pregnancies and multiple pregnancies.
- Discuss treatments: Advise women that symptoms can be relieved by elevating the feet while resting and avoiding long periods of standing.
- Take a holistic approach: Women may not mention that they have varicose veins and they may not be visible to the health professional. Asking about itching or discomfort in the legs can assist in identifying varicose veins.

58.4 Resources


58.5 References


Pelvic girdle pain

The severity of pelvic girdle pain (symphysis pubis dysfunction) during pregnancy varies widely. Advice should be aimed towards minimising pain.

59.1 Background

Pelvic girdle pain has been described as a collection of signs and symptoms of discomfort and pain in the pelvis and lower back (lumbopelvic) area, including musculoskeletal pain radiating to the upper thighs and perineum. Symptoms occur due to relaxation of the pelvic ligament and increased joint mobility in pregnancy. Symptoms vary from mild discomfort to severe and debilitating pain that can hinder mobility. Other causes of pain in the pelvic area (eg urinary tract infection, preterm labour) should be excluded (Kanakaris et al 2011). Pelvic girdle pain usually resolves spontaneously after the birth (Elden et al 2008), although symptoms may recur during subsequent pregnancies (Leadbetter et al 2004).

59.1.1 Incidence in pregnancy

- The true incidence of pelvic girdle pain in pregnancy is unknown and estimates from low-level evidence are contradictory, ranging from approximately 4% to 84% (Bastiaanssen et al 2005; Morgren & Pohjanen 2005; Robinson et al 2006; 2010). The wide variation can be attributed to various factors including the absence of a precise definition and diagnostic criteria, differences in study design and selection of the study population.
- The incidence of pelvic girdle pain has been found to be higher in late pregnancy (Gutke et al 2006; Leadbetter 2006; Van de Pol et al 2007; Robinson et al 2010; Kovacs et al 2012) and among women with a higher BMI (Kovacs et al 2012).
- There is currently no evidence regarding the incidence of pelvic pain in specific population groups.

59.1.2 Factors influencing pelvic girdle pain

Low-level evidence indicates that (Morgren 2005; Albert et al 2006; Eberhard-Gran & Eskild 2008; Biering 2010):
- pelvic pain is more common in women with a previous history of low back pain (Albert 2006; Bjelland et al 2010) or trauma of the back or pelvis (Albert 2006)
- risk factors for developing pelvic pain include: increased number of previous pregnancies (Albert 2006; Bjelland et al 2010; Robinson et al 2010); physically demanding work (Morgren 2005; Bjelland et al 2010); high BMI (Albert 2006; Eberhard-Gran & Eskild 2008; Bjelland et al 2010); emotional distress (Bjelland et al 2010) smoking (Albert 2006; Biering et al 2010).

The evidence on age as a risk factor for pelvic pain in pregnancy is inconsistent (Eberhard-Gran & Eskild 2008; Bjelland et al 2010).

59.2 Discussing pelvic girdle pain

NICE (2008) found little evidence on which to base clinical practice. Subsequent evidence is limited by the heterogeneity and low quality of studies and the inconsistency of findings.

59.2.1 Treatments for pelvic pain

Systematic reviews into interventions for women with pelvic girdle pain have found low-level evidence:
- women receiving acupuncture or physiotherapy reported less intense pain in the morning or evening than women receiving usual antenatal care and acupuncture was more effective in reducing evening pain than physiotherapy (Pennick & Young 2007)
- acupuncture was more effective than standard treatment, physiotherapy, or stabilising exercises (Ee et al 2008)
- exercise, pelvic support garments and acupuncture improved functional outcomes (Richards et al 2012)
- exercise during pregnancy may decrease pelvic girdle pain (Schiff Boissonnault et al 2012).

RCTs have found benefits from a multimodal approach (manual therapy, stabilisation exercises, patient education) (George et al 2012) and no reduction of pain with exercise (Eggen et al 2012; Stafne et al 2012).
Lower level evidence supports acupuncture as an effective intervention (Ekdahl & Petersson 2010). No serious adverse effects were reported (minor side effects included bruising, pain on needle insertion, bleeding, haematoma and fainting).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Advise women experiencing pelvic girdle pain that pregnancy-specific exercises, physiotherapy, acupuncture or using a support garment may provide some pain relief.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

59.2.2 Advice on managing pelvic girdle pain

There is consensus from low-level evidence and clinical reviews about providing advice on minimising pain, including (Vleeming et al 2008; Leadbetter et al 2004; Aslan & Fynes 2007):

- wearing low-heeled shoes
- seeking advice from a physiotherapist regarding exercise and posture
- reducing non-essential weight-bearing activities (e.g. climbing stairs, standing/walking for long periods of time)
- avoiding standing on one leg (e.g. by sitting down to get dressed)
- avoiding movements involving hip abduction (e.g. taking care getting in/out of cars, baths or squatting)
- applying heat to painful areas.

59.3 Practice summary: pelvic girdle pain

When: A woman has pelvic girdle pain

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker; physiotherapist

☐ Provide advice: Reassure the woman that pelvic girdle pain will not harm her or her unborn child, and is likely to resolve after the birth. Advise the woman about steps she can take to minimise pain.

☐ Take a holistic approach: Consider possible barriers to women being able to make changes to minimise their pain (e.g. work requirements, cultural attitudes to exercise, costs of allied health services).

59.4 Resources


59.5 References


Carpal tunnel syndrome is common during pregnancy, particularly in the third trimester. There is little evidence to support intervention in pregnancy and symptoms are likely to resolve after the birth.

60.1 Background

Carpal tunnel syndrome results from compression of the median nerve within the carpal tunnel in the hand. It is characterised by tingling, burning pain, numbness and a swelling sensation in the hand that may impair sensory and motor function.

60.1.1 Incidence during pregnancy

• Due to differences in methods of diagnosis between studies (e.g. neurophysiologically confirmed, clinically diagnosed, patient-reported), there is great variability in estimates of the incidence of pregnancy-related carpal tunnel syndrome; estimates range from approximately 2% to 72% (Eogan et al 2004; Finsen & Zeitlmann 2006; Baumann et al 2007; Mondelli et al 2007; Padua et al 2010).

60.1.2 Factors influencing carpal tunnel syndrome

• In non-pregnant populations, carpal tunnel syndrome has been reported to occur more frequently in occupations that involve repetitive activity, forceful work or vibration (Palmer et al 2007).

• In pregnancy, likely causes of carpal tunnel syndrome are hormonal changes (Ablove & Ablove 2009) and oedema (Pazzaglia et al 2005; Ablove & Ablove 2009).

• Carpal tunnel syndrome is more common in the third trimester (Shaafi et al 2006; Baumann et al 2007).

• Pre-existing or gestational diabetes may also contribute due to generalised slowing of nerve conduction (Ablove & Ablove 2009) but impaired median nerve conduction also occurs in pregnant women without diabetes (Eogan et al 2004; Baumann et al 2007).

60.2 Discussing carpal tunnel syndrome

60.2.1 Effectiveness of treatments

The recent evidence on interventions to treat carpal tunnel syndrome during pregnancy is limited to small case series studies (n=20–30) that found reduced symptoms associated with night splinting (Finsen & Zeitlmann 2006) or steroid (dexamethasone) injections (Niempoog et al 2007; Moghtaderi et al 2011).

Activity modification, avoiding positions of extreme flexion or extension of the wrists and avoiding exposure to vibration have been suggested as adjuncts to splinting (Mabie 2005; Borg-Stein et al 2006; Ablove & Ablove 2009) but there is no evidence that these are effective for carpal tunnel syndrome.

While carpal tunnel syndrome usually resolves after the birth (Pazzaglia et al 2005), persistence of symptoms has been reported in more than 50% of women after 1 year and in about 30% after 3 years (Padua et al 2010).

Consensus-based recommendation

LXIV. Advise women who are experiencing symptoms of carpal tunnel syndrome that the evidence to support either splinting or steroid injections is limited and symptoms may resolve after the birth.

Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019
60.3 Practice summary: carpal tunnel syndrome

When: A woman has symptoms of carpal tunnel syndrome

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker; physiotherapist; occupational therapist

☐ Provide advice: Explain that carpal tunnel syndrome is common due to increased fluid retention during pregnancy and may resolve after the birth.

☐ Discuss treatments: Explain that there is a lack of research about treatments for carpal syndrome during pregnancy and give advice on avoiding movements that may exacerbate symptoms (e.g. using a splint to keep the joint straight overnight).

☐ Consider referral: Women with persistent and severe symptoms of nerve compression should be referred for specialist evaluation.

☐ Take a holistic approach: For women whose occupations involve repetitive activity or vibration advise frequent breaks or a temporary change in role where possible.

60.4 Resources


60.5 References


This section discusses the evidence for aspects of care during late pregnancy. At this stage, antenatal care becomes more frequent and includes planning and preparing for the birth. Some situations will require additional discussion, and women should be given advice and information to help them make informed decisions about options for interventions and birth. For example, identifying the presentation of the baby (e.g., breech) from 35 weeks allows for timely discussion, planning and referral if necessary. For women who have prolonged pregnancy, the longer the pregnancy the more complex the decisions may become, as the risks to the baby increase.

Recommendations are based on the evidence for interventions that aim to reduce the need for unnecessary induction or unplanned caesarean section. Decisions about women’s care are made after considering the benefits and possible risks, always taking the woman’s preferences into account. When there is a high risk of adverse outcomes, discussion with specialists (e.g., obstetrician, neonatologist, paediatrician) is advisable.
61 Fetal presentation

Identifying fetal presentation and discussing management options with women who have a malpresentation late in pregnancy enables informed planning for the birth.

61.1 Background

Fetal presentation refers to the part of the baby that is overlying the maternal pelvis. Fetal lie refers to the relationship between the longitudinal axis of the baby with respect to the longitudinal axis of the mother (longitudinal lie, transverse lie, oblique lie).

Most babies present with the crown of the head at the cervix (vertex presentation). Less optimal situations are when the presenting part is the face or brow; the buttocks (breech presentation); or foot or feet (footling presentation). Babies that are in a transverse lie may present the fetal back or shoulders, arms or legs, or the umbilical cord (funic presentation). In an oblique lie, generally no palpable fetal part is presenting. This lie is usually transitory and occurs as the baby is moving.

Fetal presentation can be identified by palpation of the maternal abdomen, and confirmed by ultrasound if there is any doubt.

61.1.1 Fetal presentation at birth

Among women who gave birth in Australia in 2010, most fetal presentations were vertex (94.4%). Malpresentations included breech (3.9%), face or brow presentation (0.2%) and shoulder/transverse and compound presentations (0.7%) (Li et al 2012).

61.2 Abdominal palpation

Abdominal palpation is accurate in identifying presentation, especially if carried out by an experienced health professional (Webb et al 2011). In Australia, it is recommended that all health professionals providing antenatal care be experienced in palpation of the pregnant abdomen including identification of the presenting part (RANZCOG 2009). While the positive effects of abdominal palpation are difficult to quantify, no risks have been identified and it provides a point of engagement with the mother and baby. Assessment of presentation by abdominal palpation before 36 weeks is not always accurate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Assess fetal presentation by abdominal palpation at 36 weeks or later, when presentation is likely to influence the plans for the birth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where there is any doubt as to the presenting part, obstetric ultrasound should be used to confirm the palpation findings. Ultrasound can also exclude fetal anomaly, low-lying placenta, hyperextension of the baby’s head and the presence of umbilical cord around the fetal neck (RANZCOG 2009).

**Practice point**

GGG. Suspected non-cephalic presentation after 36 weeks should be confirmed by an ultrasound assessment.

| Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019 |

61.3 Breech presentation

Breech presentation is common in mid pregnancy, with incidence decreasing as the pregnancy approaches term. Turning the baby (eg using external cephalic version [ECV]) reduces the number of babies who are breech at term, thereby improving the chance of a vaginal birth.

The optimal mode of birth for women who have a baby in the breech position is the subject of much controversy. Following the initial findings of the Term Breech Trial of fewer adverse outcomes among babies following planned caesarean section than planned vaginal birth (Hannah et al 2000), breech birth is now more likely to occur by caesarean section. Rates of singleton vaginal breech births in Australia fell from 23.1% in 1991 (Sullivan et al 2009) to 4.0% in 2010 (Li et al 2012).
However, several studies have shown that with careful selection criteria and involvement of experienced health professionals in centres that are supportive, vaginal breech birth can be successful in 49-83% of women, with rates of morbidity equal to that of birth by caesarean section and higher success rates among multiparous women (Sibony et al 2003; Alarab et al 2004; Kumari & Grundsell 2004; Oboro et al 2004; Ulander et al 2004; Krupitz et al 2005; Uotila et al 2005; Goffinet et al 2006; Daskalakis et al 2007; Hopkins et al 2007; Jadoon et al 2008).

Evidence into neonatal or maternal outcomes associated with mode of breech birth is inconsistent:

- **Risks to the infant**: Some cohort studies (Kumari & Grundsell 2004; Doyle et al 2005; Molkenboer et al 2007) found no differences in mortality and morbidity between vaginal births and caesarean sections, while others found higher rates of morbidity following vaginal birth (Gilbert et al 2003; Herbst 2005; Rietberg et al 2005; Daskalakis et al 2007; Hopkins et al 2007; Toivonen et al 2012) and one found a higher risk of neonatal mortality among babies of 1,000-1,500 g following vaginal birth but no significant difference in neonatal mortality above these weights (Demirci et al 2012). An observational study found that the risk of adverse perinatal outcomes following vaginal birth was increased among babies with a birthweight below the 10th percentile and a gestational age of less than 39 weeks (Azria et al 2012). A systematic review of cohort studies found a lower risk of developmental dysplasia of the hip following caesarean section compared with vaginal birth (Panagiotopoulou et al 2012). Importantly, the follow-up study from the babies born in the Term Breech Trial showed that risk of death or developmental delay at 2 years of age did not differ with mode of birth (Whyte et al 2004).

- **Risks to the mother**: Some studies have found lower rates of maternal morbidity following vaginal birth (Kumari & Grundsell 2004; Oboro et al 2004; Hopkins et al 2007; Toivonen et al 2012), while another found a lower risk of maternal complications following caesarean section (Krebs & Langhoff-Roos 2003).

Identifying breech presentation at around 36 weeks gestation enables timely discussion of ECV and referral as required (e.g. to a health professional with expertise in ECV) or referral to a health professional and centre with expertise in vaginal breech birth.

### 61.4 External cephalic version

Offering ECV when clinically appropriate is recommended in the United Kingdom (RCOG 2010), the United States (ACOG 2006) and Australia (RANZCOG 2009).

#### 61.4.1 Effectiveness

The reported success rate of ECV is in the range of 36.7–72.3% (Hutton et al 2003; Fok et al 2005; Nor Azlin et al 2005; Nassar et al 2006; El-Toukhy et al 2007; Weiniger et al 2007; Grootscholten et al 2008; Kok et al 2008c; Rijnders et al 2010; Buhimschi et al 2011; Burgos et al 2011; Gottvall & Ginstman 2011; Obeidat et al 2011; Bogner et al 2012; Cho et al 2012; Cluver et al 2012).

A spontaneous reversion rate of 3–14% has been reported after 36 weeks (Nassar et al 2006; El-Toukhy et al 2007; Buhimschi et al 2011; Cho et al 2012).

#### 61.4.2 Benefits and risks

Successful ECV reduces the rate of caesarean sections, with vaginal birth following ECV being successful in 71–84% of women (El-Toukhy et al 2007; Buhimschi et al 2011; Gottvall & Ginstman 2011; Bogner et al 2012; Reinhard et al 2013).

ECV is a safe procedure when performed in a setting where an urgent caesarean section can be performed (Nassar et al 2006; Grootscholten et al 2008; Gottvall & Ginstman 2011; Bogner et al 2012; Cho et al 2012). In a systematic review, the most frequently reported complications of ECV were transient abnormal cardiotocography patterns (5.7%), persisting pathological cardiotocography (0.37%), vaginal bleeding (0.47%) and placental abruption (0.12%) (Collaris & Oei 2004). Caesarean section was performed in 0.43% of all procedures and perinatal mortality was 0.16%.

Small studies have shown that the moderate degree of pain associated with ECV is well tolerated by the majority of women because of its short duration (Fok et al 2005) and that most women rate ECV as a good experience, whether it is successful (94%) or unsuccessful (71%) (Rijnders et al 2010).
61.4.3 Factors influencing success of ECV

Factors predicting successful ECV include posterior placental location, complete breech position, amniotic fluid index >10, unengaged presenting part, maternal weight <65 kg and thicker fundal myometrium on ultrasound (Hutton et al 2008; Kok et al 2008b; Kok et al 2009; Buhimschi et al 2011; Burgos et al 2011; Obeidat et al 2011; Bogner et al 2012; Burgos et al 2012; Cho et al 2012). ECV is also more successful in multiparous (57-78% than primiparous (27-53%) women (Nassar et al 2006; El-Toukhy et al 2007; Kok et al 2008c; Rijnders et al 2010; Burgos et al 2011; Cho et al 2012), and if the health professional performing the ECV is experienced. ECV at 34-35 weeks versus ≥37 weeks increased the likelihood of cephalic version but did not decrease the rate of caesarean section (Hutton et al 2011).

The use of tocolytics (uterine relaxants) to facilitate ECV has been shown to increase cephalic presentations (RR: 1.38; 95% CI: 1.03-1.85) and reduce the rate of caesarean sections (RR: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.71-0.94) in both nulliparous and multiparous women (Cluver et al 2012). The available evidence supports the use of beta mimetics for tocolysis (Kok et al 2008a; Wilcox et al 2011; Cluver et al 2012).

A small non-randomised study suggested that clinical hypnosis combined with tocolysis before ECV may increase success rates (Reinhard et al 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Offer external cephalic version to women with uncomplicated singleton breech pregnancy after 37 weeks of gestation.</td>
<td>Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consensus-based recommendation**

- Relative contraindications for external cephalic version include a previous caesarean section, uterine anomaly, vaginal bleeding, ruptured membranes or labour, oligohydramnios, placenta praevia and fetal anomalies or compromise.

- Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019

**Practice point**

- External cephalic version should be performed by a health professional with appropriate expertise.

- Approved by NHMRC in June 2014; expires June 2019

61.4.4 Other interventions

- **Acupoint stimulation:** The evidence for the effectiveness and safety of moxibustion (a Chinese medicine treatment that involves burning of *Artemisia argyi* close to the skin at an acupuncture point) is inconsistent and largely based on small studies, many of which are of poor quality with high heterogeneity. Some systematic reviews (van den Berg et al 2008; Li et al 2009; Vas et al 2009), RCTs (Habek et al 2003; Neri et al 2004) and a cohort study (Grabowska & Manyande 2009) have reported a higher rate of cephalic version with moxibustion and other acupuncture point stimulation methods, while others have found no beneficial effect (Cardini et al 2005; Guittier et al 2009). Although small studies have not observed significant maternal or fetal side effects associated with moxibustion (Neri et al 2007; Guittier et al 2008), a recent Cochrane review identified a need for further evidence on its safety and effectiveness (Coyle et al 2012).

- **Posture:** A Cochrane review (*n=417*) found insufficient evidence to support the use of posture management to turn a breech baby (Hofmeyr & Kulier 2011). Combined with moxibustion, postural techniques may reduce the number of non-cephalic presentations at birth (RR: 0.73; 95% CI: 0.57-0.94) (Coyle et al 2012).

61.5 Discussing fetal presentation

If a woman has a baby that is in the breech position, she should be given information in a calm, reassuring manner using appropriate terminology so that she can decide which options are most suitable to her situation.

Points for discussion include that:

- for babies in the breech position, ECV may be offered (this involves a health professional using his or her hands on the woman’s abdomen to gently turn the breech baby and is successful in approximately half of women, with success more likely if medications to relax the uterus are used)
- ECV is not appropriate in some situations (eg when there is vaginal bleeding, a low level of amniotic fluid or fetal or uterine anomalies)
• ECV has low complication rates but should be carried out where there are facilities for emergency caesarean section
• other interventions to turn a breech baby (posture and acustimulation) are less effective than ECV and the evidence on their safety is limited
• if a woman chooses not to have ECV, the procedure is unsuccessful or the baby returns to breech position, vaginal birth may still be possible depending on the individual situation.

61.6 Practice summary: fetal presentation

When: At around 36 weeks gestation

Who: Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker

☐ Discuss the risks associated with malpresentation: Explain that, while most babies turn to present with the crown of the head before labour, the birth process can be complicated if this does not occur.

☐ Discuss ECV with women with a breech baby: Explain that turning the baby before the birth reduces the need for caesarean section. Discuss the benefits and risks of the procedure and where it would take place.

☐ Discuss plans for the birth: Explain the risks and benefits associated with planned vaginal birth and caesarean section.

☐ Take a holistic approach: Encourage women to attend with family members to discuss plans for ECV and birthing options.

61.7 Resources


RANZCOG (2016) Management of Breech Presentation at Term (C-obs 11). Melbourne: RANZCOG.


61.8 References


62 Prolonged pregnancy

Prolonged pregnancy requires careful monitoring and management to reduce the risk of adverse consequences for mother and baby.

62.1 Background

For the purposes of these Guidelines, ‘term’ is defined as 37\(^0\) to 41\(^6\) weeks gestation and ‘post-term’ or ‘prolonged pregnancy’ as ≥42\(^0\) weeks (AIHW 2018a).

However, pregnancy length may differ depending on the woman’s ethnicity, which has implications for monitoring in late pregnancy. A Victorian study found that the average natural onset of labour occurred at 39 weeks in women born in South Asian countries compared to 40 weeks in women born in Australia and New Zealand (Davies-Tuck et al 2017).

Identification of prolonged pregnancy relies on accurate estimation of date of birth, which is discussed in Chapter 20 of the Guidelines. The agreed due date should not be changed without the advice from another health professional with considerable experience in antenatal care.

62.1.1 Incidence and causes of prolonged pregnancy

In 2016, 91.7% of Australian babies were born at 37\(^0\) to 41\(^6\) weeks and 0.6% were born post-term (AIHW 2018a):

- for term births, labour was spontaneous in 48.8% of women and induced in 31.2% of women and 20% of women had no labour
- for post-term births, labour was spontaneous in 35.02% of women and induced in 59.8% of women and 5.1% of women had no labour.

While the aetiology of post-term birth is not well elucidated (Mandruzzato et al 2010), risk factors such as obesity, nulliparity and maternal age greater than 30 years have been associated with an increased risk of post-term birth (Arrowsmith et al 2011; Caughey et al 2009; Heslehurst et al 2017; Roos et al 2010). Placental senescence may play a role in the pathophysiology of post-term birth (Mandruzzato et al 2010), and genetic/epigenetic factors have also been implicated (Schierding et al 2014).

62.1.2 Risks associated with prolonged pregnancy

In a study from the Norwegian Birth Registry (Heimstad et al 2008), the perinatal death rate was 0.018% at day 287 (41 weeks) and 0.51% at day 302+ (>43 weeks). These findings are important in that, even in a setting where early booking allows accurate assessment of gestational age and antenatal services are accessible for most women, post-term pregnancy constitutes a high-risk situation, especially for the baby. In another Norwegian study of nearly two million births from 1967 to 2006, the risk of post-term infant death was strongly associated with fetal growth restriction (OR 3.1; 95%CI 2.5 to 4.0 for non-small-for-gestational-age post-term infants vs OR 4.9, 95%CI 3.8 to 6.4 in small-for-gestational-age post-term infants) (Morken et al 2014).

Among babies born post-term in Australia in 2016 (AIHW 2018b):

- 52% were born vaginally, 31% were born by caesarean section and 17% were instrumental vaginal births
  - (among babies born at 37-41 weeks, 54% were born vaginally, 33% by caesarean section and 13% were instrumental vaginal births)
- 5.9% weighed more than 4,500 g (compared with 1.3% of babies born at 37 to 41 weeks)
- 1.9% had Apgar scores lower than seven at 5 minutes (compared with 1.4% of babies born at 37 to 41 weeks).

The perinatal death rate was 2.2 per 1,000 births (compared to 1.5 per 1,000 births for babies born at 37 to 41 weeks) (AIHW 2018b).

Potential risks for the mother associated with post-term pregnancy include prolonged labour, postpartum haemorrhage and perineal tears. It is likely that some of these outcomes result from intervening when the uterus and cervix are not ready for labour (Caughey & Musci 2004). Women may also experience anxiety, particularly if the woman perceives her prolonged pregnancy as high risk (ACOG 2004; Heimstad et al 2007).
62.2 Options in prolonged pregnancy

Policies vary on intervening in low-risk prolonged pregnancies. Offering labour induction after 41\textsuperscript{0} weeks is recommended in the United Kingdom (NICE 2008; updated 2017) and the United States (ACOG 2014). Factors to be considered include the results of fetal assessment, the woman’s Bishop’s score, gestational age and the woman’s preferences, after discussion of available alternatives and their risks and benefits (ACOG 2004; Norwitz et al 2007).

62.2.1 Sweeping the membranes

Procedures for cervical ripening, such as membrane sweeping, may be of benefit in preventing prolonged pregnancy, particularly in first pregnancies (Mandruzzato et al 2010). Membrane sweeping involves the health professional introducing a finger into the woman’s cervical os (the opening of the cervix into the vagina) and ‘sweeping’ it around the circumference of the cervix during a vaginal examination, with the aim of separating the fetal membranes from the cervix and triggering the release of prostaglandins (NICE 2008; updated 2017).

A Cochrane review (n=2,797) (Boulvain et al 2005) found an association between membrane sweeping, and reduced frequency of pregnancy continuing beyond 41 weeks (RR: 0.59; 95%CI: 0.46 to 0.74) and 42 weeks (RR: 0.28; 95%CI: 0.15 to 0.50). The strength of the review was limited by small sample sizes and heterogeneity of the studies and possible publication bias for some outcomes.

A more recent systematic review found that membrane sweeping is a safe, effective and inexpensive method of labour induction (Heilman & Sushereba 2015). Membrane sweeping can be performed in Group B Streptococcus-positive women with studies showing no increase in adverse outcomes (Heilman & Sushereba 2015). However, there are no data concerning women with HIV or hepatitis infection.

62.2.2 Induction of labour to prevent prolonged pregnancy

Induction of labour for prolonged pregnancy is widely practised with the aim of preventing stillbirth and reducing perinatal morbidity (eg shoulder dystocia in large babies).

Among Australian women (of all gestations) whose labour was induced in 2016, 60.7% had a vaginal birth, 18.6% had an assisted vaginal birth (forceps or vacuum extraction) and 20.8% had a caesarean section (AIHW 2018b).

A recent Cochrane review assessed the evidence on induction of labour for improving birth outcomes for women at or beyond term (Middleton et al 2018). The timing of induction in the trials in the Cochrane review varied and, in some trials, women were induced before pregnancy was prolonged.

For the purposes of these Guidelines, subgroup analysis was conducted for inductions at 41\textsuperscript{0} to 41\textsuperscript{6}, at 42\textsuperscript{0} to 42\textsuperscript{6} weeks and mixed timing crossing 41 and 42 weeks. Trials in which induction occurred before 41 weeks were not included. An RCT published subsequent to the Cochrane review (Grobman et al 2018) was not included in the analysis as women were induced at 39\textsuperscript{0} to 39\textsuperscript{4} weeks (ie before pregnancy was prolonged). There were no clear differences between subgroups for any outcome. Compared with expectant management, labour induction at 41\textsuperscript{0} to 42\textsuperscript{6} weeks was associated with:

- fewer (all-cause) perinatal deaths (RR 0.37, 95% CI 0.10 to 0.87; 15 RCTs, n=8,408, moderate certainty)
- fewer babies with an Apgar score lower than seven at 5 minutes (RR 0.67, 95% CI 0.46 to 0.98; 12 RCTs, n=7,913, low certainty)
- fewer babies with macrosomia (RR 0.65, 95% CI 0.53 to 0.79, 8 RCTs, n=4,736, low certainty), with a higher reduction among women induced at 41\textsuperscript{0} to 41\textsuperscript{6} weeks (RR 0.50, 95% CI 0.37 to 0.66, 6 RCTs, n=1,225)
- fewer caesarean sections (RR 0.90, 95%CI 0.83 to 0.98; 17 RCTs, n=8,803, moderate certainty).

There were no clear differences between expectant management and induction groups for stillbirth (RR 0.34, 95% CI 0.09 to 1.24, 15 RCTs, n=8,404, low certainty), neonatal death (RR 0.37, 95% CI 0.10 to 1.38, 15 RCTs, n=8,408, low certainty) or rates of admission to neonatal intensive care (RR 0.88, 95% CI 0.76 to 1.01, 9 RCTs, n=7,397, low certainty).

The Cochrane review concluded that the optimal timing of offering induction of labour to women at or beyond term warrants further investigation, as does the risk profiles of women and their values and preferences (Middleton et al 2018).
62.3 Monitoring of women with prolonged pregnancy

Increased fetal and maternal monitoring aims to identify risk of adverse outcomes and ensure timely induction of labour if indicated (e.g., fetal compromise or oligohydramnios). Definitive recommendations for fetal monitoring are hampered by the absence of RCTs demonstrating that fetal monitoring decreases perinatal morbidity or mortality between 41 and 42 weeks or in post-term pregnancies (ACOG 2014).

After 42 weeks, increased antenatal monitoring may include twice-weekly cardiotocography and ultrasound estimation of maximum amniotic pool depth (NICE 2008; updated 2017) although the evidence to support this practice is not strong. Specialist referral or consultation is likely to be required.

62.4 Discussing prolonged pregnancy

When a woman is approaching prolonged pregnancy, discuss her preferences and provide information on the risks and benefits of different management options.

This may include that:

- most women go into labour spontaneously by 42 weeks
- there are risks associated with pregnancies that last longer than 42 weeks
- women with prolonged low-risk pregnancies may be offered membrane sweeping to ‘trigger’ labour, which involves the health professional separating the membranes from the cervix as part of a vaginal examination; it is safe but may cause discomfort and vaginal bleeding
- if pregnancy is prolonged, additional monitoring and management plans will be offered following specialist consultation, to reduce the risk of adverse outcomes
- the importance of contacting a health professional promptly if they have any concerns about changes in fetal movements (including decreases, absence or unusually increased) (see Chapter 22 of the Guidelines).

62.5 Practice summary: prolonged pregnancy

**When:** At antenatal visits from 39 weeks onwards

**Who:** Midwife; GP; obstetrician; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Practitioner; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker; multicultural health worker

- Discuss the likelihood of prolonged pregnancy: Explain to the woman that pregnancy beyond 42 weeks is unlikely if dating is accurate.
- Discuss why interventions may be offered: Explain that the risk of complications increases from 42 weeks pregnancy. Decisions about management are made after considering the risks and benefits and taking the woman’s preferences into account.
- Discuss the need for fetal monitoring: Explain that increased fetal monitoring is necessary from 41 weeks, to ensure that there are no risks to the baby from the pregnancy continuing.
- Take a holistic approach: As well as the potential for women to experience anxiety if pregnancy is prolonged, consider practical difficulties (e.g., when the woman has travelled to give birth or arranged additional support around the estimated date of birth) and provide advice on relevant community supports (e.g., available financial assistance).

62.6 Resources


62.7 References
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B  Terms of reference

Modules I and II

Expert Advisory Committee
The Expert Advisory Committee will convene to:
1. provide advice, expertise and direction on the appropriateness of the guidelines to promote optimal care for pregnant women across Australia.
2. supervise the parties that are commissioned to:
   a. consult with a number of advisory groups to draft and review evidence-based guidelines as well as national and international literature on antenatal care with specific attention to the health needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander pregnant women and their families, migrant and refugee women their families and other vulnerable groups
   b. consult widely to develop evidenced based guidelines that will function as a useful resource for health professionals and will be of interest and relevance to pregnant women and their families in a variety of Australian health care contexts
   c. undertake analysis of harms and benefits in the Australian context and determine the costs/benefits and cost effectiveness of proposed interventions in accordance with available literature
   d. produce a dissemination plan for the implementation and determine a process for ongoing monitoring of clinical uptake of the guidelines
3. ensure the guidelines are developed in accordance with the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) protocols and are approved by the NHMRC.

Working Group for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Women’s Antenatal Care
The Working Group will:
1. provide advice, expertise and direction on the appropriateness of the Guidelines to promote optimal care for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander pregnant women across Australia
2. review draft evidence-based Guidelines and provide advice to ensure relevance and applicability of the Guidelines to the cultural and health needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander pregnant women
3. identify additional questions and appropriate sources of evidence
4. identify appropriate sources of evidence relevant to guideline topics, additional to those identified in formal literature searches (this may include grey literature and other unpublished sources)
5. provide advice and draft practice points, where relevant
6. provide advice to the technical writer regarding appropriate terminology and language used throughout the guideline document
7. in consultation with the technical writer contribute to the drafting of a separate guidance around cultural and other issues relevant to antenatal care for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women
8. provide advice regarding the implementation of the Guidelines in settings where Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women receive pregnancy care
9. identify areas and topics for future guideline documents
10. provide ideas for making guidelines as practical as possible.
Working Group for Migrant and Refugee Women’s Antenatal Care

The Working Group will:

1. provide advice, expertise and direction on the appropriateness of the Guidelines to promote optimal care for migrant and refugee pregnant women across Australia

2. review draft evidence-based Guidelines and provide advice to ensure relevance and applicability of the Guidelines to the cultural and health needs of migrant and refugee pregnant women

3. identify additional questions and appropriate sources of evidence

4. identify appropriate sources of evidence relevant to guideline topics, additional to those identified in formal literature searches (this may include grey literature and other unpublished sources)

5. provide advice and draft practice points, where relevant

6. provide advice to the technical writer regarding appropriate terminology and language used throughout the guideline document

7. in consultation with the technical writer contribute to the drafting of a separate guidance around cultural and other issues relevant to antenatal care for migrant and refugee women

8. provide advice regarding the implementation of the Guidelines in settings where migrant and refugee women receive pregnancy care

9. identify areas and topics for future guideline documents

10. provide ideas for making guidelines as practical as possible.

2016-17 and 2017-19 reviews

The Expert Working Group will oversee the review and revision of the National Evidence-based Clinical Practice Guidelines – Antenatal Care (incorporating both Modules I and II of the Guidelines). The role of the Expert Working Group will include:

• providing advice, expertise and direction in relation to the combining of the two modules, and the review of the Guidelines to promote optimal care for pregnant women across Australia;

• reviewing the existing Guidelines to identify topics and guidelines that require updating;

• advising on the review of national and international literature on antenatal care to inform amendments required to the existing Guidelines;

• identifying any new topics and drafting new evidence-based guidelines for inclusion in the Guidelines;

• developing a plan and strategies to promote and disseminate the finalised Guidelines to ensure clinical uptake of the Guidelines;

• advising on the development of a consultation strategy (in the event that the review results in major changes to the existing Guidelines or the inclusion of new guidelines); and

• ensuring the review is conducted in accordance with the National Health and Medical Research Council’s (NHMRC) protocols and submitted to the NHMRC for approval.
C Topics under review

**Lifestyle considerations**
Nutrition, nutritional supplements and physical activity
Vaccines (including influenza, pertussis, varicella)

**Clinical assessments**
Weight and body mass index

**Maternal health testing**
Diabetes
Anaemia
Group B streptococcus
Cervical abnormalities

**Fetal chromosomal anomalies**
Ultrasound assessment for women who have cell-free DNA testing for chromosomal anomalies
Acronyms and abbreviations

25-OHD 25-hydroxyvitamin D
AACR Australasian Association of Cancer Registries
AAFP American Academy of Family Physicians
AAOS American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons
AAP American Academy of Pediatrics
AAS Abuse Assessment Screen
ABS Australian Bureau of Statistic
ACOG American College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists
ACSQHC Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care
ADA American Diabetes Association
ADIPS Australasian Diabetes in Pregnancy Society
AFBP Aboriginal Family Birthing Program
AFP a-fetoprotein
AGREE Appraisal of Guidelines Research and Evaluation
AHMAC Australian Health Ministers’ Advisory Council
AHMC Australian Health Ministers’ Conference
AIDS acquired immunodeficiency syndrome
AIFS Australian Institute of Family Studies
AIHW Australian Institute of Health and Welfare
AMA British Medical Association
ANA Australian Nursing and Midwifery Council
ANZBMS Australian and New Zealand Bone and Mineral Society
ANZSA Australian and New Zealand Stillbirth Association
AOM Association of Ontario Midwives
aOR adjusted odds ratio
APA American Psychiatric Association
APHDPC Australian Population Health Development Principal Committee
APTT activated partial thromboplastin time
ARBD alcohol-related birth defects
ARND alcohol-related neurodevelopmental disorders
aRR adjusted relative risk
ASHA Australasian Sexual Health Alliance
ASHM Australasian Society for HIV Medicine
ATAGI Australian Technical Advisory Group on Immunisation
ATAPS Access to Allied Psychological Services
AusDiab Australian Diabetes, Obesity and Lifestyle Study
AWHN Australian Women’s Health Network
AWST Women Abuse Screen Tool
BASHH British Association for Sexual Health and HIV
BMA British Medical Association
BMI body mass index
BPD biparietal diameter
CARPA Central Australian Rural Practitioners Association
CATSINaM College of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Nurses and Midwives
CBR consensus-based recommendation
CBT cognitive behaviour therapy
CCPHPC Community Care and Population Health Principal Committee
CDAF California Dental Association Foundation
CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (United States)
CDNA Communicable Disease Network Australia
CDSMC Community and Disability Services Ministers’ Conference
CEE Centre for epidemiology and Evidence (NSW)
CEH Centre for Culture and Diversity
CER Centre for Epidemiology and Research
cfDNA cell-free deoxyribonucleic acid
CGE Centre for Genetics Education (NSW Health)
CHF Consumers’ Health Forum
CHWS Child Health and Wellbeing Subcommittee
CI confidence interval
CIE Centre for International Economics
CMACE Centre for Maternal and Child Enquiries
COAG Council of Australian Governments
CPS Canadian Paediatric Society
CRL crown-rump length
CTFPHE Canadian Task Force on the Periodic Health Examination
D&C dilatation and curettage
DAME Diabetes and Antenatal Milk Expression (Study)
DFAT Department of Foreign Affairs and Tourism
DNA deoxyribonucleic acid
DoHA Department of Health and Ageing
dlTaP diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis
DTRS Department of Transport and Regional Services
EAC Expert Advisory Committee
EBR evidence-based recommendation
ECCI European Congenital Cytomegalovirus Initiative
ECV external cephalic version
EIA enzyme immunoassay
EPDS Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale
FAS fetal alcohol syndrome
FASD fetal alcohol spectrum disorders
FGM female genital mutilation
FGM/C female genital mutilation/cutting
FSANZ Food Standards Australia and New Zealand
FTA-abs fluorescent treponemal antibody-absorbed test
GAR Guidelines Assessment Register
GORD gastro-oesophageal reflux disorder
GP general practitioner
GRADE Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation
HAPO Hyperglycaemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (study)
HARK Humiliation, Afraid, Rape, Kick
Hba1c glycated haemoglobin
HbsAg hepatitis B surface antigen
HC head circumference
hCG human chorionic gonadotrophin
Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples: It is recognised that there is no single Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander culture or group, but numerous groupings, languages, kinships, and tribes, as well as ways of living. Furthermore, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples may currently live in urban, rural or remote settings, in urbanised, traditional or other lifestyles, and frequently move between these ways of living.

Acupressure: Acupressure is a noninvasive variation of acupuncture that involves application of constant pressure to specific points or areas.

Acustimulation: Mild electrical stimulation to specific points or areas.

Amniocentesis: A diagnostic test for chromosomal anomalies, such as trisomy 21 (Down syndrome), where an ultrasound guided needle is used to extract a sample of the amniotic fluid.

Antiretroviral treatment: the use of medicines to reduce growth of retroviruses, primarily HIV.

Auscultation: The detection of the fetal heart using Doppler or a Pinard stethoscope.

Cardiotocography: A technical means of recording the fetal heart rate and uterine contractions.

Chorionic villus sampling (CVS): diagnostic test for chromosomal anomalies such as trisomy 21 (Down syndrome) where an ultrasound guided needle is used to extract a sample of the placenta.

Cleft lip and/or palate: variations of a congenital abnormality caused by non-fusion of embryonic facial lobes.

Cognitive-behavioural therapy: Psychological therapy based on the assumption that faulty thinking patterns, maladaptive behaviours and “negative” emotions are all inter-related. Treatment focuses on changing an individual’s thoughts (cognitive patterns) or maladaptive behaviours in order to change emotional states.

Cognitive-behavioural therapy integrates the cognitive restructuring approach of cognitive therapy with the behavioural modification techniques of behavioural therapy.

Ectopic pregnancy: a pregnancy in which implantation of the fertilised egg takes place outside the uterus, usually in a fallopian tube. Ectopic pregnancies usually result in miscarriage but can cause rupture of the fallopian tube and severe internal bleeding.

Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS): The EPDS was developed and validated as a screening tool for depression in the postnatal period. It has subsequently been validated for use in pregnant women and is therefore appropriate for use throughout the perinatal period.

Educational and motivational interviewing strategies: Education strategies given to all members of the intervention group. Counselling delivered by a number of means: through primary carer, medical professional, professional counsellor, targeted printed material etc.

External cephalic version: A procedure in which a health professional uses his or her hands on a woman’s abdomen to turn a breech baby.

First antenatal visit: The first visit specifically for antenatal care following confirmation of the pregnancy.

First contact: The visit in which a woman attends to confirm pregnancy, seek antenatal care or make arrangements for the birth.

Herbal medicines: Preparations such as tablets, tinctures and infusions that are made from plant parts. These preparations are usually formulated based on traditional uses of Western or Chinese herbs.

Induction of labour: A procedure to artificially start the process of labour by way of medical, surgical or medical and surgical means.

Interventions based on stages of change (smoking cessation): Similar to cognitive behavioural and education strategies, except that these interventions were grouped separately as they involve assessment of “readiness” to change and exposure to the intervention may be more selective.

Low birth weight: Birth weight of less than 2,500 g.

Macrosomia: Birth weight higher than 4,000 g.

Maternal serum screening: A blood test performed during pregnancy to detect markers of chromosomal anomaly, such as trisomy 21 (Down syndrome).

Migrant and refugee women: The term ‘migrant and refugee’ is used in these Guidelines to refer both to women who are voluntary migrants and women who come to Australia as refugees, humanitarian entrants or asylum seekers.
**Miscarriage:** the spontaneous end of a pregnancy at a stage where the embryo or fetus is incapable of surviving independently, generally defined in humans as before 20 weeks.

**Neonatal abstinence syndrome:** A withdrawal syndrome occurring among newborns exposed to opiates (and some other substances) in utero.

**Nuchal translucency thickness assessment:** An ultrasound scan performed between 11 and 13 weeks of pregnancy that measures the thickness of the nuchal fold behind the baby’s neck - a marker of chromosomal anomaly, such as trisomy 21 (Down syndrome).

**Oligohydramnios:** A deficiency of amniotic fluid.

**P6 (or Neiguan) point:** an acupuncture point located on the anterior aspect of the forearm near the wrist.

**Passive smoking:** The inhalation of smoke, called second-hand smoke or environmental tobacco smoke, from tobacco products used by others.

**Perinatal period:** For the purposes of these guidelines, ‘perinatal’ is defined as the period covering pregnancy and the first year following pregnancy or birth. It is acknowledged that other definitions of this term are used for data collection and analysis. The definition used here broadens the scope of the term perinatal in line with understanding of mental health in pregnancy and following birth.

**Pernicious anaemia:** An autoimmune condition that results in an inability to absorb vitamin B₁₂.

**Pharmacotherapies (smoking cessation):** Studies cited in Lumley et al 2009 used nicotine replacement therapy, as patches, gum or lozenge. Other studies considered bupropion or other pharmacological agents.

**Placenta praevia:** An obstetric complication in which the placenta is attached to the uterine wall close to or covering the cervix.

**Placental abruption:** A potentially life-threatening obstetric complication in which the uterine wall separates from the placental lining.

**Polyhydramnios:** Accumulation of excess amniotic fluid during pregnancy.

**Preterm birth:** Birth at less that 37 weeks gestation.

**Proteinuria:** The presence of an excess of serum proteins in the urine.

**Psychological preparation:** In the context of these Guidelines, this is defined as using psychological approaches (e.g. focusing on coping skills, cognitive restructuring, problem-solving and decision-making) to assist women and their partners to be prepared for parenthood.

**Psychosocial support (smoking cessation):** Includes discussion groups, provision of support materials (unless CBT-based), provision of telephone support etc.

**Psychosocial:** In the context of these Guidelines, this refers to social factors that have the potential to affect a woman’s emotional well-being.

**Pyelonephritis:** An ascending urinary tract infection that has reached the pyelum (pelvis) of the kidney.

**Rewards and incentives (smoking cessation):** Intervention group provided rewards or incentives (payment; one study provided a lottery for participants), usually based on smoking status evaluated by biochemical markers.

**Singleton breech:** A single baby whose buttocks (rather than head) is overlying the maternal pelvis.

**Stillbirth:** The birth of a baby that has died in the uterus after 20 weeks of pregnancy or reaching a weight of more than 400 g if gestational age is unknown.

**Sudden and unexpected death in infancy:** The sudden death of an infant that is unexpected by history and remains unexplained after a thorough forensic autopsy and a detailed death scene investigation.

**Trisomy 13:** A genetic disorder in which a person has three copies of genetic material from chromosome 13, instead of the usual two copies. Also referred to as Patau syndrome or trisomy D.

**Trisomy 18:** A genetic disorder caused by the presence of all or part of an extra 18th chromosome. Also referred to as Edwards syndrome or trisomy E.

**Trisomy 21:** Chromosomal anomaly due to an additional chromosome 21. Also referred to as Down syndrome.

**Woman-focused communication skills:** These involve techniques and attitudes that indicate respect for the woman, a willingness to listen to her perspectives, values and current life circumstances around antenatal concerns, and not direct the woman into any particular course of action. Woman-centred communication skills can include giving appropriate information, but always includes communication that views the woman as a
capable and responsible person, and creates a respectful, supportive and effective alliance between the woman and the health professional.

Methodological terms

Confidence interval: An interval describing the range of values within which there is reasonable certainty that the true effect lies. Uncertainty increases with the width of the interval.

Consensus-based recommendation: Recommendations based on systematic review of the literature where evidence is found to be limited or lacking.

Mean difference: The absolute difference between the mean value in two groups in a clinical trial, which estimates the amount by which the intervention changes the outcome on average compared with the control.

Odds ratio: the ratio of the likelihood of an event occurring in one group to that of it occurring in another group. An odds ratio of 1 indicates that the condition or event under study is equally likely to occur in both groups. An odds ratio greater than 1 indicates that the condition or event is more likely to occur in the first group and an odds ratio less than 1 indicates that the condition or event is less likely to occur in the first group.

Practice point: For the purposes of these Guidelines, these cover areas of antenatal care that were beyond the scope of the literature reviews but where the EAC determined there was a need for advice. These points are based on best practice clinical judgement.

Randomised controlled trial: A study in which participants are allocated at random to receive one of several clinical interventions. One of these interventions is the standard of comparison or control. The control may be a standard practice, a placebo or no intervention at all.

Recommendation: Evidence-based action statement developed through systematic review of the literature.

Relative risk: The ratio of the risk (rate) of an outcome in an exposed group (eg to a specific medicine) to the risk (rate) of the outcome in an unexposed group in a specified time period.

Sensitivity: The proportion of people with the condition who have a positive test result.

Specificity: The proportion of people without the condition who have a negative test result.

Systematic literature review: A systematic review of evidence focused on a research question(s) that aims to identify, appraise, select and synthesise all high quality research evidence relevant to that question.
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